will be conducted and input will be solicited.

Preliminary Issues. Preliminary issues identified include the potential effects of the proposed action on long-term forest conditions and sustainability, fish and wildlife habitat, hydrology and water quality, soils and scenic values.

Comment. Public comments on this proposed action are requested to identify issues and alternatives to the proposed action and to focus the scope of the analysis. Comments received in response to this solicitation, including names and address of those who comment, will be considered part of the public record on this proposed action, and will be available for public inspection. Comments submitted anonymously will be accepted and considered; however, those who submit anonymous comments will not have standing to appeal the subsequent decisions under 36 CFR parts 215 or 217. Additionally, pursuant to 7 CFR 1.27(d), any person may request the agency to withhold a submission from the public record by showing how the Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) permits such confidentiality. Persons requesting such confidentiality should be aware that under the FOIA, confidentiality may be granted in only very limited circumstances such as to protect trade secrets. The Forest Service will inform the requester of the agency's decision regarding the request for confidentiality, and where the request is denied; the agency will return the submission and notify the requester that the comments may be resubmitted with or without name and address within a specified number of days.

Early Notice of Importance of Public Participation in Subsequent Environmental Review. A draft EIS will be filed with the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) and made available for public review by January 2008. The EPA will publish a Notice of Availability (NOA) of the draft EIS in the Federal Register. the final EIS is scheduled to be available April 2008.

The Forest Service believes at this early stage, it is important to give reviewers notice of several court rulings related to public participation in the environmental review process. First, reviewers of draft impact statements must structure their participation in the environmental review of the proposal so that it is meaningful and alerts the agency to the reviewer's position and contentions. Vermont Yankee Nuclear Power Corp. v. NRDC, 435 U.S. 519, 553 (1978). Also, environmental objections that could be raised at the draft environmental impact stage but that are not raised until after completion of the

final environmental impact statement may be waived or dismissed by the courts. City of Angoon v. Hodel, 803 f. 2d 1016, 1022 (9th Cir. 1986) and Wisconsin Heritages, Inc., v. Harris, 490 F. Supp. 1334, 1338 (E.D. Wis. 1980). Because of these court rulings, it is very important that those interested in this proposed action participate by the close of the 45-day comment period so that substantive comments and objections are made available to the Forest Service at a time when it can meaningfully consider them and respond to them in the final environmental impact statement.

To assist the Forest Service in identifying and considering issues and concerns on the proposed action, comments on the draft environmental impact statement should be as specific as possible. It is also helpful if comments refer to specific pages or chapters of the draft statement. Comments may also address the adequacy of the draft environmental impact statement or the merits of the alternatives formulated and discussed in the statement. Reviewers may wish to refer to the Council on Environmental Quality Regulations for implementing the procedural provisions of the National Environmental Policy Act at 40 CFR 1503.3 in addressing these points.

In the final EIS, the Forest Service is required to respond to substantive comments received during the comment period for the draft EIS. The Forest Service is the lead agency and the responsible official is Craig Dixon, District Ranger, North Fork John Day Ranger District, Umatilla National Forest. The responsible official will decide where, and whether or not to salvage timber, and remove potential hazard trees. The responsible official will select the treatment alternative(s) for the Farley Vegetation Management, as well as potential mitigation and monitoring measures that may be needed. The decision will be documented in a record of decision. The decision will be subject to Forest Service Appeal Regulations (36 CFR part 215).

Dated: November 2, 2007.

Kevin Martin,

Forest Supervisor.

[FR Doc. 07–5773 Filed 11–20–07; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3410-11-M

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Submission for OMB Review; Comment Request

The Department of Commerce will submit to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for clearance the following proposal for collection of information under the provisions of the Paperwork Reduction Act (44 U.S.C. Chapter 35).

AGENCY: National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA).

Title: NOAA Fisheries Northeast Region Gear Identification Requirements.

OMB Approval Number: 0648–0351. *Form Number(s):* None.

Type of Request: Regular submission. *Burden Hours:* 24,429.

Number of Respondents: 200

Average Hours per Response: 1 minute.

Needs and Uses: Regulations at 50 CFR 648.84(a),(b), and (d), § 648.123(b)(3), § 648.144(b)(1), § 648.264(a)(5), and § 697.21(a) and (b) require that Federal fishing permit holders using specified fishing gear mark that gear with specified information for the purposes of identification (e.g., official vessel number, permit number, or other methods identified in the regulations). The regulations also specify how the gear is to be marked for the purposes of visibility (e.g., buoys, radar reflectors, or other methods identified in the regulations). The display of the identifying characters on fishing gear aids in fishery law enforcement. The marking of gear for visibility increases safety at sea.

 $\label{eq:Affected Public: Business or other for-profit organizations.}$

Frequency: Every three years.

Respondent's Obligation: Mandatory.

OMB Desk Officer: David Rostker,
(202) 395–3897.

Copies of the above information collection proposal can be obtained by calling or writing Diana Hynek, Departmental Paperwork Clearance Officer, (202) 482–0266, Department of Commerce, Room 6625, 14th and Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20230 (or via the Internet at dHynek@doc.gov).

Written comments and recommendations for the proposed information collection should be sent within 30 days of publication of this notice to David Rostker, OMB Desk Officer, FAX number (202) 395–7285, or David_Rostker@omb.eop.gov.

Dated: November 16, 2007.

Gwellnar Banks,

Management Analyst, Office of the Chief Information Officer.

[FR Doc. E7–22767 Filed 11–20–07; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 3510–22–P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Foreign-Trade Zones Board

[Order No. 1530]

Reorganization and Expansion of Foreign-Trade Zone 138; Columbus, OH. Area

Pursuant to its authority under the Foreign-Trade Zones Act of June 18, 1934, as amended (19 U.S.C. 81a–81u), the Foreign-Trade Zones Board (the Board) adopts the following Order:

Whereas, the Columbus Regional Airport Authority, grantee of Foreign-Trade Zone 138, submitted an application to the Board for authority to modify and restore acreage to Site 1A; to expand Site 1E and incorporate Temporary Site 6 on a permanent basis; to reorganize Areas 3 & 4 and remove Area 6 within Site 1G; to expand Site 4 to restore acreage and incorporate Temporary Site 4A on a permanent basis; to expand Site 7 to restore acreage and incorporate Temporary Site 8 on a permanent basis; to make Temporary Site 1 permanent as Site 12; to make Temporary Site 2 permanent as Site 13; to make Temporary Site 5 permanent as Site 14 and expand to include additional acreage; and, to make Temporary Site 7 permanent as Site 15 and expand to include additional acreage, within and adjacent to the Columbus Customs and Border Protection port of entry (FTZ Docket 5-2007; filed 2/6/07);

Whereas, notice inviting public comment was given in the **Federal Register** (72 FR 7403, 2/15/07) and the application has been processed pursuant to the FTZ Act and the Board's regulations; and,

Whereas, the Board adopts the findings and recommendations of the examiner's report, and finds that the requirements of the FTZ Act and Board's regulations are satisfied, and that the proposal is in the public interest; Now, therefore, the Board hereby orders:

The application to reorganize and expand FTZ 138 is approved, subject to the FTZ Act and the Board's regulations, including Section 400.28, and further subject to the Board's standard 2,000-acre activation limit for the overall zone project, and further subject to sunset provisions that would terminate

authority on December 31, 2008, for Sites 1G and 7, and would terminate authority on December 31, 2011, for Site 15, where no activity has occurred under FTZ procedures before those dates.

Signed at Washington, DC, this 2nd day of November 2007.

David M. Spooner,

Assistant Secretary of Commerce for Import Administration, Alternate Chairman, Foreign-Trade Zones Board.

Attest:

Andrew McGilvray,

Executive Secretary.

[FR Doc. E7–22762 Filed 11–20–07; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 3510–DS–P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Foreign-Trade Zones Board

[Order No. 1532]

Reissuance of the Grant of Authority for Subzone 50B National Steel and Shipbuilding Company, San Diego, CA (Docket 1–2007)

Pursuant to its authority under the Foreign-Trade Zones Act of June 18, 1934, as amended (19 U.S.C. 81a–81u), the Foreign-Trade Zones Board (the Board) adopts the following Order:

After consideration of the request with supporting documents (filed 1/9/ 2007) from the Board of Harbor Commissioners of the City of Long Beach, California, grantee of FTZ 50, for the reissuance of the subzone grant of authority for the National Steel and Shipbuilding Company facilities in San Diego, California to the City of San Diego, California, grantee of Foreign-Trade Zone 153, which has joined in the request, the Board, finding that the requirements of the Foreign-Trade Zones Act, as amended, and the Board's regulations are satisfied, and that the proposal is in the public interest, approves the request and recognizes the City of San Diego as the new grantee of the National Steel and Shipbuilding Company Subzone, which is hereby redesignated as Subzone 153E.

The approval is subject to the FTZ Act and the FTZ Board's regulations, including Section 400.28.

Signed at Washington, DC this 2nd day of November 2007.

David M. Spooner,

Assistant Secretary of Commerce for Import Administration, Alternate Chairman, Foreign-Trade Zones Board. Attest:

Andrew McGilvray

Executive Secretary.

[FR Doc. E7–22758 Filed 11–20–07; 8:45 am]

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration [A–570–898]

Chlorinated Isocyanurates from the People's Republic of China: Notice of Extension of Time Limit for the Final Results of the Antidumping Duty Administrative Review

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce

EFFECTIVE DATE: November 21, 2007. **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Katharine Huang or Charles Riggle, AD/CVD Operations, Office 8, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW, Washington, DC 20230; telephone (202) 482–1271 or (202) 482–

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

0650, respectively.

On July 27, 2006, the Department of Commerce ("the Department") published the initiation of the administrative review of the antidumping duty order on chlorinated isocyanurates from the People's Republic of China ("PRC"). See Initiation of Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Administrative Reviews and Request for Revocation in Part, 71 FR 42626 (July 27, 2006). On July 17, 2007, the Department published the preliminary results. See Chlorinated Isosyanurates from the People's Republic of China: Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review, 72 FR 39053 (July 17, 2007). This review covers the period December 16, 2004, through May 31, 2006. The final results are currently due by November 14, 2007.

Extension of Time Limit for Final Results of Review

Pursuant to section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended ("the Act"), the Department shall make a final determination in an administrative review of an antidumping duty order within 120 days after the date on which the preliminary results are published. The Act further provides, however, that the Department may extend that 120-day period to 180 days after the preliminary results if it determines it is not practicable to complete the review within the foregoing time period.