

that you wish to make available publicly. We may redact in part or withhold entirely from publication submitted material that is obscene or subject to copyright protection. All submissions should refer to file number SR-CboeEDGX-2023-050 and should be submitted on or before August 23, 2023.

For the Commission, by the Division of Trading and Markets, pursuant to delegated authority.<sup>47</sup>

**Sherry R. Haywood,**

*Assistant Secretary.*

[FR Doc. 2023-16391 Filed 8-1-23; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 8011-01-P

## SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

[Release No. 34-98010; File No. SR-EMERALD-2023-16]

### Self-Regulatory Organizations; MIAX Emerald, LLC; Notice of Filing and Immediate Effectiveness of a Proposed Rule Change To Make Minor, Non-Substantive Edits to Rules 100, 515A, and 521

July 27, 2023.

Pursuant to Section 19(b)(1) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (“Act”),<sup>1</sup> and Rule 19b-4 thereunder,<sup>2</sup> notice is hereby given that on July 17, 2023, MIAX Emerald, LLC (“MIAX Emerald” or “Exchange”) filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission (“Commission”) the proposed rule change as described in Items I and II below, which Items have been prepared by the Exchange. The Commission is publishing this notice to solicit comments on the proposed rule change from interested persons.

#### I. Self-Regulatory Organization’s Statement of the Terms of Substance of the Proposed Rule Change

The Exchange proposes to make a number of minor, non-substantive edits to Interpretations and Policies .01(b) of Exchange Rule 100, Definitions, Exchange Rule 515A, MIAX Emerald Price Improvement Mechanism (“PRIME”) and PRIME Solicitation Mechanism, and Interpretations and Policies .03 of Exchange Rule 521, Nullification and Adjustment of Options Transactions Including Obvious Errors.

The text of the proposed rule change is available on the Exchange’s website at <https://www.miaxglobal.com/markets/us-options/emerald-options/rule-filings>, at MIAX Emerald’s principal office, and at the Commission’s Public Reference Room.

#### II. Self-Regulatory Organization’s Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

In its filing with the Commission, the Exchange included statements concerning the purpose of and basis for the proposed rule change and discussed any comments it received on the proposed rule change. The text of these statements may be examined at the places specified in Item IV below. The Exchange has prepared summaries, set forth in sections A, B, and C below, of the most significant aspects of such statements.

##### A. Self-Regulatory Organization’s Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

###### 1. Purpose

###### Amendment to Exchange Rule 515A

The Exchange proposes to amend Exchange Rule 515A to make minor, non-substantive edits and clarifying changes to provide accuracy and precision within the rule text.

Specifically, the Exchange proposes to amend current subparagraphs (a)(1)(ii) and (a)(1)(iii) to remove the periods at the end of the sentences and replace them with semicolons for grammatical correctness and clarity in the Rule text. Additionally, the Exchange proposes to remove the word “and” at the end of subparagraph (a)(1)(i) and add the word “and” at the end of subparagraph (a)(1)(iii). Furthermore, the Exchange proposes to amend current subparagraph (a)(1)(iii) by changing the first word “With” to lowercase at the beginning of the sentence. Accordingly, with the proposed changes, subparagraphs (a)(1)(i) through (a)(1)(iv) will read as follows:

(i) the Agency Order is in a class designated as eligible for PRIME as determined by the Exchange and within the designated Auction order eligibility size parameters as such size parameters are determined by the Exchange;

(ii) the Initiating Member must stop the entire Agency Order as principal or with a solicited order at the better of the NBBO or

the Agency Order’s limit price (if the order is a limit order);

(iii) with respect to Agency Orders that have a size of less than 50 contracts, if at the time of receipt of the Agency Order, the NBBO has a bid/ask differential of \$0.01, the System will reject the Agency Order; and

(iv) Post-only OOs may not participate in PRIME as an Agency Order, principal interest or solicited interest.

###### Amendment to the Interpretations and Policies of Exchange Rules 100 and 521

The Exchange proposes to amend the Interpretations and Policies of Exchange Rules 100 and 521 to make minor, non-substantive edits and clarifying changes to provide accuracy and precision within the Interpretations and Policies of the Rule text.

Specifically, the Exchange proposes to amend current subparagraph .01(b) of the Interpretations and Policies of Exchange Rule 100 to replace the capitalized word “Complex” with the lowercase word “complex” at the beginning of the second sentence. Accordingly, with the proposed changes, subparagraph .01(b) will provide as follows:

(b) Complex orders comprised of eight (8) options legs or fewer shall be counted as a single order. For complex orders comprised of nine (9) options legs or more, each leg shall count as its own separate order.

Similarly, the Exchange proposes to amend current paragraph “.03 Complex Orders” of the Interpretations and Policies of Exchange Rule 521 to replace all the capitalized occurrences of the word “Complex” with the lowercase word “complex”. Accordingly, with the proposed changes, paragraph “.03 Complex Orders” will provide as follows:

###### .03 Complex Orders.

(a) If a complex order executes against individual legs and at least one of the legs qualifies as an Obvious Error under paragraph (c)(1) or a Catastrophic Error under paragraph (d)(1), then the leg(s) that is an Obvious or Catastrophic Error will be adjusted in accordance with paragraphs (c)(4)(A) or (d)(3), respectively, regardless of whether one of the parties is a Customer. However, any Customer order subject to this paragraph (a) will be nullified if the adjustment would result in an execution price higher (for buy transactions) or lower (for sell transactions) than the Customer’s limit price on the complex order or individual leg(s). If any leg of a complex order is nullified, the entire transaction is nullified.

<sup>47</sup> 17 CFR 200.30-3(a)(12).

<sup>1</sup> 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(1).

<sup>2</sup> 17 CFR 240.19b-4.

(b) If a complex order executes against another complex order and at least one of the legs qualifies as an Obvious Error under paragraph (c)(1) or a Catastrophic Error under paragraph (d)(1), then the leg(s) that is an Obvious or Catastrophic Error will be adjusted or busted in accordance with paragraph (c)(4) or (d)(3), respectively, so long as either: (i) the width of the National Spread Market for the complex order strategy just prior to the erroneous transaction was equal to or greater than the amount set forth in the wide quote table of paragraph (b)(3), or

(ii) the net execution price of the complex order is higher (lower) than the offer (bid) of the National Spread Market for the complex order strategy just prior to the erroneous transaction by an amount equal to at least the amount shown in the table in paragraph (c)(1). If any leg of a complex order is nullified, the entire transaction is nullified. For purposes of this Rule 521, the National Spread Market for a complex order strategy is determined by the National Best Bid/Offer of the individual legs of the strategy.

The purpose of all these proposed changes is to provide consistency and uniformity within the Exchange's Rulebook.

## 2. Statutory Basis

The Exchange believes that the proposed rule changes are consistent with Section 6(b) of the Act<sup>3</sup> in general, and furthers the objectives of Section 6(b)(1) of the Act<sup>4</sup> in particular, in that they are designed to enforce compliance by its Members<sup>5</sup> and persons associated with its Members, with the provisions of the rules of the Exchange. In particular, the Exchange believes that the proposed rule changes will provide greater clarity to Members and the public regarding the Exchange's Rules by correcting grammatical errors and providing consistency within the Exchange's Rulebook. The proposed changes will also make it easier for Members to interpret the Exchange's Rulebook.

### *B. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement on Burden on Competition*

The Exchange does not believe that the proposed rule changes will impose any burden on competition not necessary or appropriate in furtherance of the purposes of the Act. Specifically, the Exchange believes the proposed changes will not impose any burden on intra-market competition as there is no functional change to the Exchange's

System<sup>6</sup> and because the rules of the Exchange apply to all MIAX Emerald participants equally. The Exchange believes the proposed rule changes will not impose any burden on intra-market competition as the proposed changes are not designed to address any competitive issue but rather are designed to remedy minor non-substantive issues and provide added precision and accuracy to the rule text of Exchange Rule 515A and the Interpretations and Policies of Exchange Rules 100 and 521. In addition, the Exchange does not believe the proposal will impose any burden on inter-market competition as the proposal does not address any competitive issues and is intended to protect investors by providing further transparency and precision for referencing the Exchange's Rules.

### *C. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement on Comments on the Proposed Rule Change Received From Members, Participants, or Others*

Written comments were neither solicited nor received.

## III. Date of Effectiveness of the Proposed Rule Change and Timing for Commission Action

The Exchange has filed the proposed rule change pursuant to Section 19(b)(3)(A)(iii) of the Act<sup>7</sup> and Rule 19b-4(f)(6) thereunder.<sup>8</sup> Because the proposed rule change does not: (i) significantly affect the protection of investors or the public interest; (ii) impose any significant burden on competition; and (iii) become operative prior to 30 days from the date on which it was filed, or such shorter time as the Commission may designate, if consistent with the protection of investors and the public interest, the proposed rule change has become effective pursuant to Section 19(b)(3)(A) of the Act<sup>9</sup> and Rule 19b-4(f)(6)(iii) thereunder.<sup>10</sup>

At any time within 60 days of the filing of such proposed rule change, the Commission summarily may temporarily suspend such rule change if it appears to the Commission that such

action is necessary or appropriate in the public interest, for the protection of investors, or otherwise in furtherance of the purposes of the Act. If the Commission takes such action, the Commission shall institute proceedings under Section 19(b)(2)(B)<sup>11</sup> of the Act to determine whether the proposed rule change should be approved or disapproved.

## IV. Solicitation of Comments

Interested persons are invited to submit written data, views, and arguments concerning the foregoing, including whether the proposed rule change is consistent with the Act. Comments may be submitted by any of the following methods:

### *Electronic Comments*

- Use the Commission's internet comment form (<https://www.sec.gov/rules/sro.shtml>); or
- Send an email to [rule-comments@sec.gov](mailto:rule-comments@sec.gov). Please include file number SR-EMERALD-2023-16 on the subject line.

### *Paper Comments*

- Send paper comments in triplicate to Secretary, Securities and Exchange Commission, 100 F Street NE, Washington, DC 20549-1090. All submissions should refer to file number SR-EMERALD-2023-16. This file number should be included on the subject line if email is used. To help the Commission process and review your comments more efficiently, please use only one method. The Commission will post all comments on the Commission's internet website (<https://www.sec.gov/rules/sro.shtml>). Copies of the submission, all subsequent amendments, all written statements with respect to the proposed rule change that are filed with the Commission, and all written communications relating to the proposed rule change between the Commission and any person, other than those that may be withheld from the public in accordance with the provisions of 5 U.S.C. 552, will be available for website viewing and printing in the Commission's Public Reference Room, 100 F Street NE, Washington, DC 20549, on official business days between the hours of 10 a.m. and 3 p.m. Copies of the filing also will be available for inspection and copying at the principal office of the Exchange. Do not include personal identifiable information in submissions; you should submit only information that you wish to make available publicly. We may redact in part or

<sup>6</sup> The term "System" means the automated trading system used by the Exchange for the trading of securities. See Exchange Rule 100.

<sup>7</sup> 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(3)(A)(iii).

<sup>8</sup> 17 CFR 240.19b-4(f)(6).

<sup>9</sup> 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(3)(A).

<sup>10</sup> 17 CFR 240.19b-4(f)(6). In addition, Rule 19b-4(f)(6) requires a self-regulatory organization to give the Commission written notice of its intent to file the proposed rule change, along with a brief description and text of the proposed rule change, at least five business days prior to the date of filing of the proposed rule change, or such shorter time as designated by the Commission. The Exchange has satisfied this requirement.

<sup>11</sup> 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(2)(B).

<sup>3</sup> 15 U.S.C. 78f(b).

<sup>4</sup> 15 U.S.C. 78f(b)(1).

<sup>5</sup> The term "Member" means an individual or organization approved to exercise the trading rights associated with a Trading Permit. Members are deemed "members" under the Exchange Act. See Exchange Rule 100.

withhold entirely from publication submitted material that is obscene or subject to copyright protection. All submissions should refer to file number SR-EMERALD-2023-16 and should be submitted on or before August 23, 2023.

For the Commission, by the Division of Trading and Markets, pursuant to delegated authority.<sup>12</sup>

**Sherry R. Haywood,**  
Assistant Secretary.

[FR Doc. 2023-16390 Filed 8-1-23; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 8011-01-P

## SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

[Release No. 34-98012; File No. SR-OPRA-2023-01]

### Options Price Reporting Authority; Notice of Filing and Immediate Effectiveness of Proposed Amendment To Modify the OPRA Fee Schedule Regarding Caps on Certain Port Fees

July 27, 2023.

Pursuant to Section 11A of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (“Act”)<sup>1</sup> and Rule 608 thereunder,<sup>2</sup> notice is hereby given that on July 17, 2023, the Options Price Reporting Authority (“OPRA”) filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission (“Commission”) a proposed amendment to the Plan for Reporting of Consolidated Options Last Sale Reports and Quotation Information (“OPRA Plan”).<sup>3</sup> The proposed OPRA Plan amendment (“Amendment”) would amend the OPRA Fee Schedule. The Commission is publishing this notice to provide interested persons an opportunity to submit written comments on the Amendment.

The Amendment has been filed by the Participants pursuant to Rule 608(b)(2) under Regulation NMS.<sup>4</sup> The Commission is publishing this notice to solicit comments from interested persons on the proposed Amendment. Set forth in Section I, which was prepared and filed with the Commission by the Participants, is the statement of the purpose and summary of the

Amendment, along with information pursuant to Rule 608(a) under the Act.<sup>5</sup> A copy of the OPRA Fee Schedule, marked to show the proposed Amendment, is Attachment A to this notice.

#### I. Rule 608(a)

##### (a) Statement of Purpose

The purpose of the amendment is to amend the OPRA Fee Schedule to provide public notice of the fact that OPRA negotiated terms in the 2021 Processor Services Agreement (the “2021 Processor Agreement”) between OPRA and the Securities Industry Automation Corporation (“SIAC”) which impose caps on certain port fees that can be charged per month when SIAC, either directly or through a third party, provides direct access to OPRA data to any person authorized by OPRA to receive direct access to OPRA data.

Under the 2021 Processor Agreement, SIAC is OPRA’s “processor,” meaning that SIAC gathers the last sale and quote information from each of the OPRA members, consolidates that information, and disseminates the consolidated OPRA data. As the processor, SIAC works directly with OPRA members and data vendors to provide connectivity to SIAC. Connectivity to SIAC is currently provided by an affiliate of SIAC, the ICE Global Network (“IGN”), and IGN both sets and charges the port fees associated with that connectivity. OPRA, in contrast, does not provide access ports, it does not charge any port fees, it does not collect any fees on behalf of OPRA members in connection with access to SIAC, and it does not receive any portion of port fees charged by other entities. As a result, OPRA does not believe that the caps that OPRA negotiated with SIAC concerning the amount of port fees that can be charged either (1) establishes or changes a fee or charge collected on behalf of the members of the OPRA Plan in connection with access to, or use of, any OPRA facilities or (2) represents a fee or charge imposed by OPRA as contemplated by Rule 608(a)(5)(ii) of Regulation NMS.<sup>6</sup> Nonetheless, OPRA is submitting this proposed plan amendment because OPRA wishes to provide the public with notice of the contractual fee caps that it negotiated with SIAC and because Commission Staff requested that it do so.

In 2014, OPRA was engaged in a competitive bidding process involving firms that were seeking to become OPRA’s data processor for a five-year

term to begin in 2015. As part of that process, OPRA was considering many factors raised by the materials submitted by several entities in response to OPRA’s request for proposals. Although OPRA does not own, or have any control over, the myriad locations where a data recipient might choose to receive OPRA data and OPRA has no role in setting the port connection fees that might be charged by the entities that control access at those locations, OPRA requested that the two finalist bidders each outline any commitments that they could make to cap the 10G and 40G network connection fees that might be charged to data recipients and to the OPRA members during the term of the new processor agreement. In response, SIAC, the bidder that was eventually selected to continue as the OPRA processor, stated its expectation that the 10G port fee would not rise above the then current rate of \$16,000 per month (including the cross-connect) and that the 40G port fee would not rise above the then current rate of \$20,500 per month (including the cross-connect).

As OPRA negotiated the terms of a new processor agreement with SIAC, OPRA’s Management Committee requested that SIAC’s expectation that port fees would not increase above the existing levels be included in the agreement, and SIAC agreed. SIAC also agreed to the inclusion of a provision providing that, whenever higher capacity ports might become available during the term of the agreement, OPRA would have the right to approve a cap on the port fees that could be charged for those higher capacity ports.

Effective as of January 1, 2015, OPRA and SIAC entered into a new Processor Agreement for a term ending on December 31, 2020 (the “2015 Processor Agreement”). Consistent with the parties’ negotiations, the 2015 Processor Agreement contained the following provision:

During the Term, SIAC will provide, directly or through a third party, access to OPRA Data to any person authorized by OPRA to receive direct access to OPRA Data for total fees not to exceed \$16,000 per month per 10G port and \$20,500 per month per 40G port, in each case, inclusive of cross-connect (whether or not such fees also cover direct access to data in addition to the OPRA Data). If and when during the Term, direct access to the OPRA Data becomes available via higher capacity ports, SIAC will provide, directly or through a third party, access to OPRA Data to any person authorized by OPRA to receive direct access to OPRA Data for total fees not to exceed an amount approved by OPRA (such approval not to be unreasonably withheld) and not inconsistent with the 10G and 40G port rates.

<sup>12</sup> 17 CFR 200.30-3(a)(12).

<sup>1</sup> 15 U.S.C. 78k-1.

<sup>2</sup> 17 CFR 242.608.

<sup>3</sup> The OPRA Plan is a national market system plan approved by the Commission pursuant to Section 11A of the Act and Rule 608 thereunder. See Securities Exchange Act Release No. 17638 (March 18, 1981), 22 S.E.C. Docket 484 (March 31, 1981). The full text of the OPRA Plan and a list of its participants are available at <https://www.opraplan.com/>. The OPRA Plan provides for the collection and dissemination of last sale and quotation information on options that are traded on the participant exchanges.

<sup>4</sup> 17 CFR 242.608(b)(2).

<sup>5</sup> 17 CFR 242.801(a).

<sup>6</sup> 17 CFR 242.608(a)(5)(ii).