Closings are scheduled to occur on December 21, 2005.

These are the essential terms of sale. The Loan Sale Agreement, which will be included in the BIP, will contain additional terms and details. To ensure a competitive bidding process, the terms of the bidding process and the Loan Sale Agreement are not subject to negotiation.

### **Due Diligence Review**

The BIP will describe the due diligence process for reviewing loan files in MHLS 2005–3. Qualified bidders will be able to access loan information remotely via a high-speed Internet connection. Further information on performing due diligence review of the Mortgage Loans will be provided in the BIP

### **Mortgage Loan Sale Policy**

HUD reserves the right to add Mortgage Loans to or delete Mortgage Loans from MHLS 2005–3 at any time prior to the Award Date. HUD also reserves the right to reject any and all bids, in whole or in part, without prejudice to HUD's right to include any Mortgage Loans in a later sale. Mortgage Loans will not be withdrawn after the Award Date except as is specifically provided in the Loan Sale Agreement.

This is a sale of unsubsidized mortgage loans. Pursuant to the Multifamily Mortgage Sale Regulations, 24 CFR 290.30 et seq., the Mortgage Loans will be sold without FHA insurance. Consistent with HUD's policy as set forth in 24 CFR 290.35. HUD is unaware of any Mortgage Loan that is delinquent and secures a project (1) for which foreclosure appears unavoidable, and (2) in which very-low income tenants reside who are not receiving housing assistance and who would be likely to pay rent in excess of 30 percent of their adjusted monthly income if HUD sold the Mortgage Loan. If HUD determines that any Mortgage Loans meet these criteria, they will be removed from the sale.

# Mortgage Loan Sale Procedure

HUD selected a competitive sale as the method to sell the Mortgage Loans primarily to satisfy the Mortgage Sale Regulations. This method of sale optimizes HUD's return on the sale of these Mortgage Loans, affords the greatest opportunity for all qualified bidders to bid on the Mortgage Loans, and provides the quickest and most efficient vehicle for HUD to dispose of the Mortgage Loans.

### **Bidder Eligibility**

In order to bid in the sale, a prospective bidder must complete, execute and submit both a Confidentiality Agreement and a Qualification Statement acceptable to HUD. The following individuals and entities are ineligible to bid on any of the Mortgage Loans included in MHLS 2005—3:

- (1) Any employee of HUD, a member of such employee's household, or an entity owned or controlled by any such employee or member of such an employee's household;
- (2) any individual or entity that is debarred, suspended, or excluded from doing business with HUD pursuant to Title 24 of the Code of Federal Regulations, Part 24;
- (3) any contractor, subcontractor and/ or consultant or advisor (including any agent, employee, partner, director, principal or affiliate of any of the foregoing) who performed services for or on behalf of HUD in connection with MHLS 2005–3;
- (4) any individual who was a principal, partner, director, agent or employee of any entity or individual described in subparagraph 3 above, at any time during which the entity or individual performed services for or on behalf of HUD in connection with MHLS 2005–3;
- (5) any individual or entity that uses the services, directly or indirectly, of any person or entity ineligible under subparagraphs 1 through 4 above to assist in preparing any of its bids on the Mortgage Loans;
- (6) any individual or entity which employs or uses the services of an employee of HUD (other than in such employee's official capacity) who is involved in MHLS 2005–3;
- (7) any mortgagor (or affiliate of a mortgagor) that failed to submit to HUD on or before November 30, 2005, audited financial statements for 1998 through 2004 for a project securing a Mortgage Loan; and
- (8) any individual or entity and any Related Party (as such term is defined in the Qualification Statement) of such individual or entity that is a mortgagor in any of HUD's multifamily housing programs and that is in default under such mortgage loan or is in violation of any regulatory or business agreements with HUD, unless such default or violation is cured on or before November 30, 2005.

In addition, any entity or individual that serviced or held any Mortgage Loan at any time during the two-year period prior to November 30, 2005, is ineligible to bid on such Mortgage Loan or on the

pool containing such Mortgage Loan, but may bid on loan pools that do not contain Mortgage Loans that they have serviced or held at any time during the two-year period prior to November 30, 2005. Also ineligible to bid on any Mortgage Loan are: (a) Any affiliate or principal of any entity or individual described in the preceding sentence; (b) any employee or subcontractor of such entity or individual during that two-year period; or (c) any entity or individual that employs or uses the services of any other entity or individual described in this paragraph in preparing its bid on such Mortgage Loan.

Prospective bidders should carefully review the Qualification Statement to determine whether they are eligible to submit bids on the Mortgage Loans in

MHLS 2005-3.

## **Freedom of Information Act Requests**

HUD reserves the right, in its sole and absolute discretion, to disclose information regarding MHLS 2005-3, including, but not limited to, the identity of any successful bidder and its bid price or bid percentage for any pool of loans or individual loan, upon the closing of the sale of all the Mortgage Loans. Even if HUD elects not to publicly disclose any information relating to MHLS 2005-3, HUD will have the right to disclose any information that HUD is obligated to disclose pursuant to the Freedom of Information Act and all regulations promulgated thereunder.

## **Scope of Notice**

This notice applies to MHLS 2005–3 and does not establish HUD's policy for the sale of other mortgage loans.

Dated: December 4, 2005.

# Brian D. Montgomery,

Assistant Secretary for Housing—Federal Housing Commissioner.

[FR Doc. E5–7269 Filed 12–12–05; 8:45 am]  $\tt BILLING$  CODE 4210–27–P

# DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

# **Bureau of Land Management**

[UT-070-1610-DP-011J]

Supplement to Notice of Availability of the Draft Resource Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Price Field Office To List Proposed Areas of Critical Environmental Concern and Specific Associated Resource Use Limitations for Public Lands in Carbon and Emery Counties, UT

**AGENCY:** Bureau of Land Management, Interior.

**ACTION:** Notice of availability.

SUMMARY: A notice of availability for the Draft Resource Management Plan (RMP) and Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the Price Field Office planning area in Carbon and Emery Counties, Utah was published in the Federal Register, volume 69, number 136, Friday, July 16, 2004. This supplements that Notice with information on existing and potential Areas of Critical Environmental Concern (ACECs) considered within the Draft RMP and EIS, as required in 43 CFR 1610.7–2. The CFR also provides an associated 60-day comment period.

**DATES:** The comment period will commence with the publication of this notice in the **Federal Register** and end 60 days after its publication.

ADDRESSES: Written comments on ACECs should be sent to the Bureau of Land Management, Price Field Office, 125 South 600 West, Price, UT 84501. If you have already submitted comments during the comment period for the Draft RMP and EIS held from July 16, 2004, to November 30, 2004, they will be considered for the Proposed RMP and Final EIS, and there is no need to resubmit them in response to this notice.

Comments, including names and street addresses of respondents, will be available for public review at the Price Field Office during regular business hours, 8 a.m. to 4:30 p.m., Monday through Friday, except holidays and will be subject to disclosure under the Freedom of Information Act (FOIA). They may be published as part of the EIS and other related documents. Individual respondents may request confidentiality. If you wish to withhold your name or street address from public review and disclosure under the FOIA, you must state this prominently at the beginning of your written comment. Such requests will be honored to the extent allowed by law. All submissions from organizations or businesses will be made available for public inspection in their entirety.

### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Floyd Johnson, Assistant Field Manager, BLM Price Field Office, 125 South 600 West, Price, UT 84501, phone 435–636– 3600.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Price Field Office planning area includes all of the public land and Federal mineral ownership managed by the Price Field Office in Carbon and Emery Counties, Utah. This area includes approximately 2.5 million acres of BLM-administered surface lands and 2.8 million acres of Federal mineral lands under Federal,

State, and private surface in the area. The decisions of the Price RMP will only apply to BLM-administered public lands and Federal mineral estate.

The Draft RMP/EIS addresses five alternatives and provides proposed management decisions and impact analysis of the alternatives. The number and acreages of ACECs that would be designated vary by alternative. For example, Alternative A would designate eight ACECs comprising 167,439 public surface acres, while Alternative C would designate 19 ACECs comprising 642,516 acres. Resource use limitations applied to the ACECs also vary by alternative in some cases. There are presently 13 existing designated ACECs (289,629 total acres) in the Price Field Office, which were established by the San Rafael RMP (1991). These are reflected in the No Action Alternative of the Draft RMP and EIS. There are also 9 potential ACECs (286,416 total acres), each of which is being considered in at least one action alternative. Specific ACEC proposals, including resource use limitations, are described in detail by alternative in Chapter 2 of the Draft RMP/EIS. A summary of this information follows:

The 13 currently designated ACECs that are being reconsidered are as follows: Big Flat Tops ACEC (192 acres), Copper Globe ACEC (128 acres), San Rafael Canvon ACEC (49,791 acres), Sids Mountain ACEC (55,165 acres), Bowknot Bend ACEC (1,087 acres), Dry Lake Archaeological District ACEC (18,007 acres), Pictographs ACEC (43 acres), San Rafael Reef ACEC (74,102 acres), Muddy Creek ACEC (25,751 acres), Segers Hole ACEC (7,369 acres), Highway I-70 Scenic ACEC (39,488 acres), Swasey's Cabin ACEC (60 acres) and Temple Mountain ACEC (2,442 acres). These currently designated ACECs would continue to be designated in the No Action Alternative and in at least one of the action alternatives. Boundaries and acreages vary by alternative for the San Rafael Canyon ACEC, Sids Mountain ACEC, and Highway I–70 Scenic ACEC (acreage figures shown above are for existing ACEC boundaries). Resource use limitations for all currently designated ACECs vary by alternative and relate to leasing for oil and gas, locatable mineral entry, right-of-way (ROW) grants, private or commercial use of woodland products, livestock use, land treatments and range improvements, visual resource management (VRM), offhighway vehicle (OHV) use, disposal of mineral materials, and fire suppression activities. In addition, cultural resource considerations are made for Dry Lake Archaeological District ACEC,

Pictographs ACEC, San Rafael Reef ACEC, Muddy Creek ACEC, Segers Hole ACEC, and Highway I–70 Scenic ACEC. Under all action alternatives, the Pictographs ACEC name would change to the Rock Art ACEC and 12 sites would be added, totaling 16,047 acres. Under Alternatives C and D, Swasey's Cabin ACEC and Temple Mountain ACEC would be included as a part of the Heritage Sites Potential ACEC.

The 9 potential ACECs being considered are the Lower Green River Potential ACEC (38,317 acres), Gordon Creek Potential ACEC (2,620 acres), Beckwith Plateau Potential ACEC (50,531 acres), Temple-Cottonwood-Dugout Wash Potential ACEC (72,796 acres), Nine Mile Canyon Potential ACEC (48,861 acres), Range Creek Potential ACEC (65,495 acres), Cleveland-Lloyd Dinosaur Quarry Potential ACEC (767 acres), Heritage Sites Potential ACEC (2,862 acres, 7 locations) and Uranium Mining Districts Potential ACEC (4,167 acres, 4 locations).

The Lower Green River Potential ACEC, Gordon Creek Potential ACEC, and Beckwith Plateau Potential ACEC are proposed for designation under at least one action alternative. Resource use limitations would vary by alternative and relate to livestock grazing, leasing for oil and gas; disposal of mineral materials, locatable mineral entry, OHV use, and VRM. Use limitations for ROWs within the Beckwith Plateau Potential ACEC would vary by alternative, and protective measures would have to be taken within the Gordon Creek Potential ACEC before surface-disturbing activities could occur.

The Temple-Cottonwood-Dugout Wash Potential ACEC, Nine Mile Canyon Potential ACEC, and the Range Creek Potential ACEC are proposed for designation under at least one action alternative. Resource use limitations relate to oil and gas leasing, OHV use, disposal of mineral materials, and locatable mineral entry. Within the Range Creek potential ACEC, public access would be limited to hiking and horseback riding only. The Nine Mile Canyon Potential ACEC would have restrictions relating to VRM and cultural resources as well.

The Cleveland-Lloyd Dinosaur Quarry Potential ACEC would be designated in all action alternatives. Resource use limitations would vary by alternative and relate to public access, OHV use, construction of facilities, recreation, oil and gas leasing, disposal of mineral materials, locatable mineral entry; and collection of nonrenewable resources.

The Heritage Sites Potential ACEC and the Uranium Mining Districts Potential ACEC would be designated under Alternatives C and D. Resource use limitations for the Heritage Sites Potential ACEC relate to oil and gas leasing, locatable mineral entry, disposal of mineral materials, ROW grants, land treatments and range improvements, and VRM. Resource use limitations for the Uranium Mining Districts Potential ACEC relate to firewood collection, livestock use, oil and gas leasing, disposal of mineral materials, locatable mineral entry, and cultural resources.

Dated: October 18, 2005.

#### Jeff Rawson,

Acting State Director.
[FR Doc. E5–7254 Filed 12–12–05; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 4310–DK–P

### DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

# Bureau of Land Management [UT-080-1610-DP-010J]

Supplement to Notice of Availability of the Draft Resource Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Vernal Field Office To List Proposed Areas of Critical Environmental Concern and Specific Associated Resource Use Limitations for Public Lands in Daggett, Duchesne, Uintah and Grand Counties, UT

**AGENCY:** Bureau of Land Management, Interior.

**ACTION:** Notice of availability.

SUMMARY: A notice of availability for the Draft Resource Management Plan (RMP) and Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the Vernal Field Office planning area in Daggett, Duchesne, and Uintah Counties, Utah was published in the Federal Register, volume 70, number 10, on Friday, January 14, 2005. This supplements that Notice with information on existing and potential Areas of Critical Environmental Concern (ACECs) considered within the Draft RMP and EIS, as required in 43 CFR 1610.7-2. The CFR also provides an associated 60-day comment period on the potential ACECs.

**DATES:** The comment period will commence with the publication of this notice in the **Federal Register** and end 60 days after its publication.

ADDRESSES: Written comments on ACECs should be sent to the Bureau of Land Management, Vernal Field Office, 170 South 500 East, Vernal, UT 84078; Fax 435–781–4410. If you have already submitted comments during the comment period for the Draft RMP and EIS held from January 14, 2005, to June 24, 2005, they will be considered for the Proposed RMP and Final EIS, and there is no need to resubmit them in response to this notice.

Comments, including names and street addresses of respondents, will be available for public review at the Vernal Field Office during regular business hours, 8 a.m. to 4:30 p.m., Monday through Friday, except holidays and will be subject to disclosure under the Freedom of Information Act (FOIA). They may be published as part of the EIS and other related documents. Individual respondents may request confidentiality with respect to their name, address, and phone number. If you wish to withhold your name or street address from public review and disclosure under the FOIA, you must state this prominently at the beginning of your written comment. Such requests will be honored to the extent allowed by law. All submissions from organizations or businesses will be made available for public inspection in their entirety.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Jerry Kenczka, Planner, BLM Vernal Field Office, 170 South 500 East, Vernal, UT 84078, phone 435–781–4400.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Vernal Field Office planning area includes all of the public land and Federal mineral ownership managed by the Vernal Field Office in Daggett, Duchesne, and Uintah Counties, in northeast Utah, and about 3,000 acres in Grand County. This area includes approximately 1.8 million acres of BLM administered surface lands and 2.1 million acres of Federal mineral lands under Federal, state, private, and Ute Tribal surface in the four county areas.

The Draft RMP/EIS addresses four alternatives and provides proposed management decisions and impact analysis of the alternatives. The number and acreages of ACECs that would be designated vary by alternative. For example, Alternative D would designate seven ACECs comprising 165,944 public surface acres, while Alternative C would designate 13 ACECs comprising 681,310 acres. Resource use limitations often vary by ACEC and alternative as well.

There are presently 7 designated ACECs (165,944 acres) in the Vernal Field Office, which were established by the Diamond Mountain Resource Management Plan (RMP) (1994). These are reflected in the No Action Alternative (Alternative D) of the Draft RMP and EIS. There are also 6 potential ACECs (515,366 acres), each of which is being considered in at least one alternative. Specific ACEC proposals,

including resource use limitations, are described in detail by alternative in Chapter 2 of the Draft RMP/EIS. A summary of this information follows:

The 7 currently designated ACECs that are being reconsidered are as follows (acreage figures shown are for existing ACEC boundaries): Red Mountain-Dry Fork ACEC (24,285 acres), Nine Mile Canyon ACEC (44,181 acres), Browns Park ACEC (52,721 acres), Lower Green River ACEC (8,470 acres), Lears Canyon ACEC (1,375 acres) and Pariette Wetlands ACEC (10,437 acres), and the Red Creek Watershed ACEC (24,475 acres). Resource use limitations for these ACECs would vary by alternative, and relate to oil and gas leasing, visual resource management (VRM) and management of off-highway vehicle (OHV) use. In addition, there are limitations regarding disposal of mineral materials within the Lears Canyon and Pariette Wetlands ACECs. The Red Mountain-Dry Fork ACEC, Browns Park ACEC, Lears Canyon ACEC, Pariette Wetlands ACEC, Red Creek Watershed ACEC, and Nine Mile Canyon ACEC would continue to be designated in all alternatives, although boundaries and acreages vary by alternative for the Nine Mile Canyon and Brown's Park ACEC. The Lower Green River ACEC would continue to be designated in Alternatives A, C, and D, and boundaries and acreages for this ACEC would vary by alternative.

The 6 potential ACECs being considered are as follows: Middle Green River Potential ACEC (6,768 acres), Four Mile Wash Potential ACEC (50,280 acres), Main Canyon Potential ACEC (100,915 acres), Coyote Basin Potential ACEC, White River Potential ACEC, and Bitter Creek Potential ACEC. Boundaries and acreages would vary by alternative for the Coyote Basin, White River, and Bitter Creek Potential ACECs. When included in more than one alternative. resource use limitations for these ACECs would vary and relate to oil and gas leasing, visual resource management, and management of OHV use.

Dated: October 18, 2005.

# Jeff Rawson,

Acting State Director. [FR Doc. E5–7253 Filed 12–12–05; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4310–DK–P