

Comment 11: Whether the Loans Received by Kumho from the Korea National Oil Company are Countervailable
 Comment 12: Whether Certain Programs are *De Facto* Specific
 Comment 13: Whether Commerce Should Find Kukdo and Several of Its Affiliates to be Cross-Owned
 Comment 14: Whether Commerce Should Clarify or Correct Aspects of the Government of the Republic of Korea Verification Report
 Comment 15: Whether Commerce has the Legal Authority under the World Trade Organization Rules and U.S. Law to Investigate Transnational Subsidies
 Comment 16: Whether Commerce Should Account for Value-Added Taxes on Certain Purchases for ECH from China for LTAR
 Comment 17: Whether Transnational Subsidies Exist in this Investigation
 Comment 18: Whether the Provision of ECH from China for LTAR is a Countervailable Subsidy
 Comment 19: Whether Commerce Should Apply Adverse Facts Available to Kukdo's Inland Freight Costs for Purchases of ECH
 Comment 20: Whether Commerce Should find the Korean ECH Market is Distorted by Chinese Overcapacity and Subsidies
 Comment 21: Whether Commerce Should Reverse Its Decision Not to Initiate an Investigation of the Provision of Certain Other Chemical Inputs for LTAR
 Comment 22: Whether Chinese Subsidies to an International Consortium is a Countervailable Subsidy

IX. Recommendation

[FR Doc. 2025-05751 Filed 4-2-25; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A-549-502]

Circular Welded Carbon Steel Pipes and Tubes From Thailand: Preliminary Results and Partial Rescission of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review; 2023-2024

AGENCY: Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: The U.S. Department of Commerce (Commerce) preliminarily finds that certain producers/exporters subject to this administrative review did

not make sales of subject merchandise at less than normal value (NV) during the period of review (POR) March 1, 2023, through February 29, 2024. Additionally, Commerce is rescinding the review, in part, with respect to 28 companies. Interested parties are invited to comment on these preliminary results.

DATES: Applicable April 3, 2025.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Michael Romani, AD/CVD Operations, Office I, Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 1401 Constitution Avenue NW, Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482-0198.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**Background**

On March 11, 1986, Commerce published in the **Federal Register** the antidumping duty (AD) order on circular welded carbon steel pipes and tubes (CWP) from Thailand.¹ On March 1, 2024, we published in the **Federal Register** a notice of opportunity to request an administrative review of the *Order* for the POR.² On May 8, 2024, based on timely requests for an administrative review, Commerce initiated an administrative review of the *Order*.³ On July 3, 2024, Commerce selected Saha Thai Steel Pipe Public Co., Ltd. (Saha Thai) for individual examination as the sole mandatory respondent in this administrative review.⁴ On December 12, 2024, Commerce notified interested parties of our intent to rescind this administrative review with respect to the 28 companies that had no suspended entries during the POR.⁵

¹ See *Antidumping Duty Order; Circular Welded Carbon Steel Pipes and Tubes from Thailand*, 51 FR 8341 (March 11, 1986) (*Order*).

² See *Antidumping or Countervailing Duty Order, Finding, or Suspended Investigation; Opportunity To Request Administrative Review and Join Annual Inquiry Service List*, 89 FR 15157, 15159 (March 1, 2024).

³ See *Initiation of Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Administrative Reviews*, 89 FR 38867, 38870 (May 8, 2024).

⁴ See Memorandum, "Respondent Selection," dated July 3, 2024.

⁵ See Memorandum, "Intent to Partially Rescind Review," dated December 12, 2024 (Intent to Rescind Memorandum).

On July 22, 2024, Commerce tolled certain deadlines in this administrative proceeding by seven days.⁶ On November 25, 2024, Commerce extended the time limit for these preliminary results to February 28, 2025.⁷ Further, on December 9, 2024, Commerce tolled certain deadlines in this administrative proceeding by 90 days.⁸ The deadline for the preliminary results is now May 29, 2025. For a complete description of the events following the initiation of this administrative review, see the Preliminary Decision Memorandum.⁹

A list of topics included in the Preliminary Decision Memorandum is included in the appendix to this notice. The Preliminary Decision Memorandum is a public document and is on file electronically via Enforcement and Compliance's Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Centralized Electronic Service System (ACCESS). ACCESS is available to registered users at <https://access.trade.gov>. In addition, a complete version of the Preliminary Decision Memorandum can be accessed directly at <https://access.trade.gov/public/FRNoticesListLayout.aspx>.

Scope of the Order

The products covered by the *Order* are CWP from Thailand. For a complete description of the scope of this *Order*, see the Preliminary Decision Memorandum.

Rescission of Administrative Review, in Part

⁶ See Memorandum, "Tolling of Deadlines for Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Proceedings," dated July 22, 2024.

⁷ See Memorandum, "Extension of Deadline for Preliminary Results of the Antidumping Duty Administrative Review," dated November 25, 2024.

⁸ See Memorandum, "Tolling of Deadlines for Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Proceedings," dated December 9, 2024.

⁹ See Memorandum, "Decision Memorandum for the Preliminary Results of the Administrative Review of the Antidumping Duty Order on Circular Welded Carbon Steel Pipes and Tubes from Thailand; 2023-2024," dated concurrently with, and hereby adopted by, this notice (Preliminary Decision Memorandum).

Pursuant to 19 CFR 351.213(d)(3), Commerce will rescind an administrative review, in whole or in part, when it concludes that there were no suspended entries of subject merchandise during the POR. Normally, upon completion of an administrative review, the suspended entries are liquidated at the AD assessment rate for the review period.¹⁰ Therefore, for an administrative review to be conducted, there must be a reviewable, suspended entry that Commerce can instruct U.S. Customs and Border Protection (CBP) to liquidate at the calculated AD assessment rate for the review period.¹¹ Based on our analysis of CBP information, we determined that 28 companies had no suspended entries of subject merchandise during the POR. On December 12, 2024, we notified the parties of our intent to rescind the administrative review with respect to the 28 companies listed in Appendix II that had no suspended entries of subject merchandise during the POR.¹² No party commented on our Intent to Rescind Memorandum. As a result, we are rescinding this review for the 28 companies listed in Appendix II of this notice.

Methodology

Commerce is conducting this review in accordance with section 751(a)(1)(B) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act). We calculated export price and NV in accordance with sections 772 and 773 of the Act, respectively. For a complete description of the methodology underlying our conclusions, see the Preliminary Decision Memorandum.

¹⁰ See, e.g., *Certain Carbon and Alloy Steel Cut-to Length Plate from the Federal Republic of Germany: Recission of Antidumping Administrative Review; 2020–2021*, 88 FR 4154 (January 24, 2023).

¹¹ See, e.g., *Shanghai Sunbeauty Trading Co., Ltd. v. United States*, 380 F. Supp. 3d 1328, 1337 (CIT 2019) (referring to section 751(a) of the Act, the U.S. Court of International Trade held that “[w]hile the statute does not explicitly require that an entry be suspended as a prerequisite for establishing entitlement to a review, it does explicitly state the determined rate will be used as the liquidation rate for the reviewed entries. This result can only obtain if the liquidation of entries has been suspended”); see also *Certain Frozen Fish Fillets from the Socialist Republic of Vietnam: Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review and Final Determination of No Shipments; 2018–2019*, 86 FR 36102 (July 8, 2021), and accompanying Issues and Decision Memorandum at Comment 4; and *Solid Fertilizer Grade Ammonium Nitrate from the Russian Federation: Notice of Rescission of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review*, 77 FR 65532 (October 29, 2012) (noting that “for an administrative review to be conducted, there must be a reviewable, suspended entry to be liquidated at the newly calculated assessment rate”).

¹² See Intent to Rescind Memorandum.

Rate for Non-Examined Company

The Act and Commerce’s regulations do not address the establishment of a rate to be applied to companies not selected for individual examination when Commerce limits its examination in an administrative review pursuant to section 777A(c)(2) of the Act. Generally, Commerce looks to section 735(c)(5) of the Act, which provides instructions for calculating the all-others rate in a market economy investigation, for guidance when calculating the rate for companies which were not selected for individual examination in an administrative review. Under section 735(c)(5)(A) of the Act, the all-others rate is normally “an amount equal to the weighted average of the estimated weighted average dumping margins established for exporters and producers individually investigated, excluding any zero or *de minimis* margins, and any margins determined entirely {on the basis of facts available}.”

In this review, we have preliminarily calculated a weighted-average dumping margin of zero percent for the sole mandatory respondent, Saha Thai. Consistent with the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit’s decision in *Albemarle*,¹³ and Commerce’s practice,¹⁴ we assigned the sole non-examined company under review, Thai Premium Pipe Co. Ltd. (TPP), a weighted-average dumping margin of zero percent, based on the rate calculated for Saha Thai, pursuant to section 735(c)(5)(B) of the Act.

Preliminary Results of Review

We preliminarily determine that the following estimated weighted-average dumping margins exist for the period March 1, 2023, through February 29, 2024:

Producer or exporter	Weighted-average dumping margin (percent)
Saha Thai Steel Pipe Public Co., Ltd. (also known as Saha Thai Steel Pipe (Public) Company, Ltd.)	0.00
Thai Premium Pipe Co. Ltd	0.00

¹³ See *Albemarle Corp. v. United States*, 821 F.3d 1345 (Fed. Cir. 2016) (*Albemarle*).

¹⁴ See *Certain Cold-Rolled Steel Flat Products from the Republic of Korea: Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review; 2020–2021*, 87 FR 60989 (October 7, 2022), unchanged in *Certain Cold-Rolled Steel Flat Products from the Republic of Korea: Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review; 2020–2021*, 88 FR 20218 (April 5, 2023).

Disclosure

We intend to disclose the calculations and analysis performed for these preliminary results to interested parties within five days of any public announcement or, if there is no public announcement, within five days of the date of publication of this notice in the **Federal Register** in accordance with 19 CFR 351.224(b).

Public Comment

Case briefs or other written comments may be submitted to the Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance. Pursuant to 19 CFR 351.309(c)(1)(ii), we have modified the deadline for interested parties to submit case briefs to Commerce to no later than 21 days after the date of the publication of this notice.¹⁵ Rebuttal briefs, limited to issues raised in the case briefs, may be filed not later than five days after the date for filing case briefs.¹⁶ Interested parties who submit case or rebuttal briefs in this administrative review must submit: (1) a table of contents listing each issue; and (2) a table of authorities.¹⁷

As provided to 19 CFR 351.309(c)(2) and (d)(2), in prior proceedings we have encouraged interested parties to provide an executive summary of their brief that should be limited to five pages total, including footnotes. In this administrative review, we instead request that interested parties provide at the beginning of their briefs a public, executive summary for each issue raised in their briefs.¹⁸ Further, we request that interested parties limit their executive summary of each issue to no more than 450 words, not including citations. We intend to use the public executive summaries as the basis of the comment summaries included in the issues and decision memorandum that will accompany the final results in this administrative review. We request that interested parties include footnotes for relevant citations in the public executive summary of each issue.

Pursuant to 19 CFR 351.310(c), interested parties who wish to request a hearing, limited to issues raised in case and rebuttal briefs, must submit a written request to the Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and

¹⁵ See 19 CFR 351.309.

¹⁶ See 19 CFR 351.309(d); see also *Administrative Protective Order, Service, and Other Procedures in Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Proceedings*, 88 FR 67069, 67077 (September 29, 2023) (*APO and Final Service Rule*).

¹⁷ See 19 CFR 351.309(c)(2) and (d)(2).

¹⁸ We use the term “issue” here to describe an argument that Commerce would normally address in a comment of the Issues and Decision Memorandum.

Compliance via ACCESS within 30 days after the date of publication of this notice. Hearing requests should contain: (1) the party's name, address, and telephone number; (2) the number of participants and whether any participant is a foreign national; and (3) a list of issues to be discussed. Issues raised at the hearing will be limited to those raised in the case and rebuttal briefs. If a hearing request is made, parties will be notified of the date and time of the hearing.¹⁹ Parties should confirm the date and time of the hearing two days before the scheduled date.

All submissions, including case and rebuttal briefs, as well as hearing requests, should be filed using ACCESS.²⁰ An electronically filed document must be received successfully in its entirety by ACCESS by 5:00 p.m. Eastern Time on the established deadline. Note that Commerce has amended certain of its requirements pertaining to the service of documents in 19 CFR 351.303(f).²¹

Assessment Rates

Pursuant to section 751(a)(2)(A) of the Act, upon completion of the final results of this administrative review, Commerce shall determine and CBP shall assess, antidumping duties on all appropriate entries of subject merchandise covered by this review.²² If Saha Thai's weighted-average dumping margin is not zero or *de minimis* (i.e., less than 0.50 percent) in the final results of this review, we will calculate importer-specific *ad valorem* assessment rates on the basis of the ratio of the total amount of dumping calculated for each importer's examined sales and the total entered value of those same sales in accordance with 19 CFR 351.212(b)(1).²³ If the respondent's weighted-average dumping margin or an importer-specific assessment rate is zero or *de minimis* in the final results of review, we intend to instruct CBP to liquidate relevant entries without regards to antidumping duties.²⁴

In accordance with Commerce's "automatic assessment" practice, for entries of subject merchandise during the POR produced by Saha Thai for which it did not know that the merchandise was destined to the United

States, we will instruct CBP to liquidate those entries at the all-others rate if there is no rate for the intermediate company(ies) involved in the transaction.²⁵

For TPP, which was not selected for individual review, we will instruct CBP to assess antidumping duties on all appropriate entries at a rate equal to the weighted-average dumping margin determined in the final results of this review, unless that rate is zero or *de minimis*, in which case we intend to instruct CBP to liquidate relevant entries without regards to antidumping duties. The final results of this review shall be the basis for the assessment of antidumping duties on entries of merchandise covered by the final results of this review and for future deposits of estimated duties, where applicable.²⁶

For the companies for which this review is rescinded with these preliminary results, we will instruct CBP to assess antidumping duties on all appropriate entries at a rate equal to the cash deposit of estimated antidumping duties required at the time of entry, or withdrawal from warehouse, for consumption, during the period March 1, 2023, through February 29, 2024, in accordance with 19 CFR 351.212(c)(1)(i). Commerce intends to issue assessment instructions to CBP for the rescinded companies no earlier than 35 days after the date of publication of this notice in the **Federal Register**.

The final results of this administrative review shall be the basis for the assessment of antidumping duties on entries of merchandise covered by the final results of this review and for future deposits of estimated duties, where applicable.²⁷

Commerce intends to issue assessment instructions to CBP no earlier than 35 days after the date of publication of the final results of this review in the **Federal Register**. If a timely summons is filed at the U.S. Court of International Trade, the assessment instructions will direct CBP not to liquidate relevant entries until the time for parties to file a request for a statutory injunction has expired, i.e., within 90 days of publication.

Cash Deposit Requirements

The following cash deposit requirements will be effective upon publication in the **Federal Register** of the notice of final results of

administrative review for all shipments of CWP from Thailand entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after the date of publication as provided for by section 751(a)(2)(C) of the Act: (1) the cash deposit rate for Saha Thai and TPP will be equal to the weighted-average dumping margin established in the final results of this review (except, if that rate is *de minimis* within the meaning of 19 CFR 351.106(c)(1), then the cash deposit rate will be zero); (2) for merchandise exported by a company not covered in this review but covered in a prior completed segment of the proceeding, the cash deposit rate will continue to be the company-specific rate published in the completed segment for the most recent period; (3) if the exporter is not a firm covered in this review or another completed segment of this proceeding, but the producer is, then the cash deposit rate will be the company-specific rate established for the completed segment for the most recent period for the producer of the merchandise; and (4) the cash deposit rate for all other producers or exporters will continue to be 15.67 percent, the all-others rate established in the less-than-fair-value investigation.²⁸ These cash deposit requirements, when imposed, shall remain in effect until further notice.

Notification to Importers

This notice serves as a preliminary reminder to importers of their responsibility under 19 CFR 351.402(f)(2) to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during this review period. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in Commerce's presumption that reimbursement of antidumping duties occurred and the subsequent assessment of double antidumping duties.

Final Results of Review

Unless otherwise extended, Commerce intends to issue the final results of this administrative review, including the results of its analysis of the issues raised in any written briefs, not later than 120 days after the date of publication of this notice in the **Federal Register**, pursuant to section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.213(h)(1).

Notification to Interested Parties

We are issuing and publishing these preliminary results in accordance with sections 751(a)(1) and 777(i) of the Act,

¹⁹ See 19 CFR 351.310(d).

²⁰ See 19 CFR 351.303.

²¹ See *APO and Final Service Rule*.

²² See 19 CFR 351.212(b)(1).

²³ See *Antidumping Proceedings: Calculation of the Weighted-Average Dumping Margin and Assessment Rate in Certain Antidumping Proceedings: Final Modification*, 77 FR 8101, 8103 (February 14, 2012).

²⁴ *Id.*, 77 FR at 8102; see also 19 CFR 351.106(c)(2).

²⁵ For a full discussion of this practice, see *Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Proceedings: Assessment of Antidumping Duties*, 68 FR 23954 (May 6, 2003).

²⁶ See section 751(a)(2)(C) of the Act.

²⁷ See section 751(a)(2)(C) of the Act; and 19 CFR 351.212(b).

²⁸ See *Order*.

and 19 CFR 351.213(h) and 351.221(b)(4).

Dated: March 27, 2025.

Christopher Abbott,

Deputy Assistant Secretary for Policy and Negotiations, performing the non-exclusive functions and duties of the Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance.

Appendix I

List of Topics Discussed in the Preliminary Decision Memorandum

- I. Summary
- II. Background
- III. Scope of the *Order*
- IV. Rate for Non-Examined Company
- V. Rescission of Administrative Review, In Part
- VI. Discussion of the Methodology
- VII. Currency Conversion
- VIII. Recommendation

Appendix II

Companies Rescinded From This Administrative Review

1. Apex International Logistics
2. Aquatec Maxcon Asia
3. Asian Unity Part Co., Ltd.
4. Better Steel Pipe Company Limited
5. Bis Pipe Fitting Industry Co., Ltd.
6. Blue Pipe Steel Center Co. Ltd.
7. Chuhatsu (Thailand) Co., Ltd.
8. CSE Technologies Co., Ltd.
9. Expeditors International (Bangkok)
10. Expeditors Ltd.
11. FS International (Thailand) Co., Ltd.
12. Kerry-Apex (Thailand) Co., Ltd.
13. K Line Logistics
14. Oil Steel Tube (Thailand) Co., Ltd.
15. Otto Ender Steel Structure Co., Ltd.
16. Pacific Pipe and Pump
17. Pacific Pipe Public Company Limited
18. Panalpina World Transport Ltd.
19. Polypipe Engineering Co., Ltd.
20. Schlumberger Overseas S.A.
21. Siam Fittings Co., Ltd.
22. Siam Steel Pipe Co., Ltd.
23. Sino Connections Logistics (Thailand) Co., Ltd.
24. Thai Malleable Iron and Steel
25. Thai Oil Group
26. Thai Oil Pipe Co., Ltd.
27. Vatana Phaisal Engineering Company
28. Visavakit Patana Corp., Ltd.

[FR Doc. 2025-05691 Filed 4-2-25; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A-583-876]

Certain Epoxy Resins From Taiwan: Final Affirmative Determination of Sales at Less Than Fair Value

AGENCY: Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: The U.S. Department of Commerce (Commerce) determines that

imports of certain epoxy resins (epoxy resins) from Taiwan are being, or are likely to be, sold in the United States at less than fair value (LTFV) for the period of investigation (POI) April 1, 2023, through March 31, 2024.

DATES: Applicable April 3, 2025.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Elizabeth Beuley or Benito Ballesteros, AD/CVD Operations, Office IX, Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 1401 Constitution Avenue NW, Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482-3269 or (202) 482-7425, respectively.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On November 13, 2024, Commerce published the *Preliminary Determination* in the **Federal Register** and invited interested parties to comment.¹

For a complete discussion of the events that followed the *Preliminary Determination*, see the Issues and Decision Memorandum.² The Issues and Decision Memorandum is a public document and is on file electronically via Enforcement and Compliance's Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Centralized Electronic Service System (ACCESS). ACCESS is available to registered users at <https://access.trade.gov>. In addition, a complete version of the Issues and Decision Memorandum can be accessed directly at <https://access.trade.gov/public/FRNoticesListLayout.aspx>.

Scope of the Investigation

The products covered by this investigation are epoxy resins from Taiwan. For a complete description of the scope of this investigation, see Appendix I.

Scope Comments

During the course of this investigation, Commerce received scope comments from interested parties. Commerce issued a Preliminary Scope Decision Memorandum to address these comments and set aside a period of time for parties to address scope issues in

¹ See *Certain Epoxy Resins from Taiwan: Preliminary Affirmative Determination of Sales at Less Than Fair Value, Postponement of Final Determination, and Extension of Provisional Measures*, 89 FR 89591 (November 13, 2024) (*Preliminary Determination*), and accompanying Preliminary Decision Memorandum.

² See Memorandum, "Issues and Decision Memorandum for the Final Affirmative Determination in the Less-Than-Fair-Value Investigation of Epoxy Resins from Taiwan," dated concurrently with, and hereby adopted by, this notice (Issues and Decision Memorandum).

scope-specific case and rebuttal briefs.³ Between February, 2025 and March, 2025, Commerce received scope case and rebuttal briefs from interested parties.⁴ After analyzing these comments, we made changes to the scope of the investigation published in the *Preliminary Determination*, as noted in Appendix I.⁵

Verification

As provided in section 782(i) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act), Commerce conducted verification of the sales and cost information submitted by Chang Chun Plastics Co., Ltd. (Chang Chun) and Nan Ya Plastics Corporation (Nan Ya).⁶ We used standard verification procedures, including an examination of relevant sales and accounting records, and original source documents provided by Chang Chun and Nan Ya.

Analysis of Comments Received

All issues raised in the case and rebuttal briefs submitted by interested parties in this investigation are addressed in the Issues and Decision Memorandum. A list of the issues addressed in the Issues and Decision Memorandum is attached to this notice as Appendix II.

Changes Since the Preliminary Determination

Based on our review and analysis of the information received during verification and comments received from interested parties, we made certain changes to the estimated weighted-average dumping margins for Chang

³ See Memorandum, "Preliminary Scope Decision Memorandum," dated November 6, 2024 (Preliminary Scope Decision Memorandum).

⁴ See Petitioner's Letter, "Case Brief on Scope Issues," dated February 28, 2025; Sherwin Williams' Letter, "Scope Case Brief on Behalf of Sherwin-Williams," dated February 28, 2025; PPG's Letter, "Scope Case Brief of PPG Industries, Inc.," dated February 28, 2025; Petitioner's Letter, "Petitioner's Letter in Lieu of Rebuttal Brief on Scope Issues," dated March 5, 2025; PPG's Letter, "Rebuttal Scope Case Brief of PPG Industries, Inc.," dated March 5, 2025; and Sherwin-Williams' Letter, "Scope Rebuttal Brief on Behalf of Sherwin Williams," dated March 5, 2025.

⁵ See Memorandum, "Final Scope Decision Memorandum," dated concurrently with this notice.

⁶ See Memoranda, "Verification Report of the Sales Response of Chang Chun Plastics Co. Ltd.," dated January 3, 2025; see also "Verification Report of the U.S. Sales Response of Chang Chun Chemical Corporation," dated January 6, 2025; "Verification of the Sales Response of Nan Ya Plastics Corporation," dated January 13, 2025; "Verification of the Cost Response of Nan Ya Plastics Corporation in the Antidumping Duty Investigation of Certain Epoxy Resins from Taiwan," dated February 11, 2025; and "Verification of the Cost Response of Chang Chun Plastics Co., Ltd. in the Antidumping duty Investigation of Epoxy Resin from Taiwan," dated February 13, 2025.