statistical area adjacent to a metropolitan statistical area, as those terms are defined by the U.S. Office of Management and Budget; or a census block that is not in an urban area, as defined by the U.S. Census Bureau using the latest decennial census of the United States. An area is considered to be underserved during a calendar year if, according to HMDA data for the preceding calendar year, it is a county in which no more than two creditors extended covered transactions secured by first liens on properties in the county five or more times.

A. The Bureau determines annually which counties in the United States are rural or underserved as defined by § 1026.35(b)(2)(iv)(A)(1) or § 1026.35(b)(2)(iv)(B) and publishes on its public website lists of those counties to assist creditors in determining whether they meet the criterion at § 1026.35(b)(2)(iii)(A). Creditors may also use an automated tool provided on the Bureau's public website to determine whether specific properties are located in areas that qualify as "rural" or "underserved" according to the definitions in § 1026.35(b)(2)(iv) for a particular calendar year. In addition, the U.S. Census Bureau may also provide on its public website an automated address search tool that specifically indicates if a property address is located in an urban area for purposes of the Census Bureau's most recent delineation of urban areas. For any calendar year that begins after the date on which the Census Bureau announced its most recent delineation of urban areas, a property is located in an area that qualifies as "rural" according to the definitions in  $\S 1026.35(b)(2)(iv)$  if the search results provided for the property by any such automated address search tool available on the Census Bureau's public website do not identify the property as being in an urban area.

B. For example, if a creditor extended during 2017 a first-lien covered transaction that is secured by a property that is located in an area that meets the definition of rural or underserved under § 1026.35(b)(2)(iv), the creditor meets this element of the exception for any transaction consummated during 2018.

C. Alternatively, if the creditor did not extend in 2017 a transaction that meets the definition of rural or underserved test under § 1026.35(b)(2)(iv), the creditor satisfies this criterion for any transaction consummated during 2018 for which it received the application before April 1, 2018, if it extended during 2016 a first-lien covered transaction that is secured by a property that is located in an area

that meets the definition of rural or underserved under § 1026.35(b)(2)(iv).

ii. During the preceding calendar year, or, if the application for the transaction was received before April 1 of the current calendar year, during either of the two preceding calendar years, the creditor together with its affiliates extended no more than 2,000 covered transactions, as defined by § 1026.43(b)(1), secured by first liens, that were sold, assigned, or otherwise transferred to another person, or that were subject at the time of consummation to a commitment to be acquired by another person, to satisfy the requirement of § 1026.35(b)(2)(iii)(B).

iii. As of the preceding December 31st, or, if the application for the transaction was received before April 1 of the current calendar year, as of either of the two preceding December 31sts, the creditor and its affiliates that regularly extended covered transactions secured by first liens, together, had total assets that do not exceed the applicable asset threshold established by the Bureau, to satisfy the requirement of § 1026.35(b)(2)(iii)(C). The Bureau publishes notice of the asset threshold each year by amending comment 35(b)(2)(iii)-1.iii.

Dated: January 19, 2021.

# Grace Feola,

Federal Register Liaison, Bureau of Consumer Financial Protection.

[FR Doc. 2021–01572 Filed 2–16–21; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4810-AM-P

# **ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY**

### 40 CFR Part 52

[EPA-R02-OAR-2019-0720; FRL-10017-00-Region 2]

# Approval of Source-Specific Air Quality Implementation Plans; New Jersey

**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection Agency.

**ACTION:** Final rule.

SUMMARY: The Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) approves a revision to the State of New Jersey's State
Implementation Plan (SIP) for the ozone National Ambient Air Quality Standard (NAAQS) related to a source-specific SIP for CMC Steel New Jersey, located at 1 N Crossman, Sayreville, New Jersey (Facility). The control options in this source-specific SIP address volatile organic compounds (VOC) and nitrogen

oxide ( $NO_X$ ) Reasonably Available Control Technology (RACT) for the Facility's electric arc furnace (Sayreville EAF) to continue to operate under the current New Jersey Department of Environmental Protection (NJDEP) approved VOC and  $NO_X$  emission limits for the Sayreville EAF.

**DATES:** The final rule is effective on March 19, 2021.

ADDRESSES: The EPA has established a docket for this action under Docket ID Number EPA-R02-OAR-2019-0720. All documents in the docket are listed on the http://www.regulations.gov website. Although listed in the index, some information is not publicly available, e.g., Confidential Business Information or other information whose disclosure is restricted by statute. Certain other material, such as copyrighted material, is not placed on the internet and will be publicly available only in hard copy form. Publicly available docket materials are available electronically through http://www.regulations.gov.

# FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Linda Longo, Air Programs Branch, Environmental Protection Agency, Region 2 Office, 290 Broadway, 25th Floor, New York, New York 10007—1866, (212) 637—3565, or by email at longo.linda@epa.gov.

# SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

## **Table of Contents**

I. Background

II. The EPA's Evaluation of New Jersey's Submittal

III. What comments were received in response to the EPA's proposed action?IV. Summary of EPA's Final ActionV. Incorporation by ReferenceVI. Statutory and Executive Order Reviews

# I. Background

The EPA approves a revision to the State of New Jersey's (the State) SIP for attainment and maintenance of the ozone National Ambient Air Quality Standards (NAAQS). On July 15, 2020 (85 FR 42803), the EPA proposed to approve the State's April 30, 2019, SIP revision, which relates to the application of the New Jersey Administrative Code (NJAC) Title 7, Chapter 27, Subchapter 16, "Control and Prohibition of Air Pollution from Volatile Organic Compounds" (NJAC 7:27-16) and the NJAC, Title 7, Chapter 27, Subchapter 19, "Control and Prohibition of Air Pollution from Oxides of Nitrogen" (NJAC 7:27-19) to the Sayreville EAF. Under this SIP revision, the emission limits for VOC and NO<sub>X</sub> for the Sayreville EAF are the lowest emission limits achievable with the application of control technology that is reasonably available given the

technological and economic feasibility considerations associated with operating the Sayreville EAF (i.e., RACT for the Sayreville EAF). The Facility is allowed to continue to operate under its current approved emission limits of 57 pounds per hour (lb/hr) of VOC and 31 lb/hr of  $NO_X$  for the Sayreville EAF, because its request to be covered under alternative control plans for VOC pursuant to NJAC 7:27 Subchapter 16 and for NO<sub>X</sub> pursuant to NJAC 7:27 Subchapter 19 met the State's deadlines and statutory criteria for approval. A full summary of EPA's findings for this source-specific SIP revision is included in the technical support document that is contained in EPA's docket assigned to this Federal Register document.

# II. The EPA's Evaluation of New Jersey's Submittals

The EPA's approval is based on the conclusion that the State's April 30, 2019 SIP revision to authorizing the Facility to continue to operate under existing VOC and NO<sub>X</sub> emission limits for the Sayreville EAF operated by CMC Steel New Jersey conforms with the State's regulations under NJAC 7:27–16.17 and NJAC 7:27–19.13. After reviewing CMC Steel New Jersey's updated facility-specific VOC and NO<sub>X</sub> control plans, which were submitted to NJDEP, that are the subject of this source-specific SIP revision, the EPA makes the following determination:

(1) The Facility qualifies to continue to operate under the current NJDEPapproved emission limits for VOC and  $NO_X$ . Under NJAC: 7:27–16.17(c)(3), facilities that sought to continue operating with an alternative VOC control plan that was approved prior to May 19, 2009, were required to submit updated proposed VOC control plans to NJDEP for review by August 17, 2009. Similarly, under NJAC: 7:27-19.13(a)(3), facilities that sought to continue to operate under existing facility-specific NO<sub>X</sub> control plans that were approved prior to May 1, 2005, were required to submit updated proposed NO<sub>X</sub> control plans to NJDEP for review by August 17, 2009. The Facility met both deadlines with the submission of its VOC and NO<sub>X</sub> control plans, respectively.

(2) The Facility's Operating Permit, issued pursuant to Title V of the Clean Air Act (CAA), 42 U.S.C. 7661a, describes a facility-specific VOC emission limit of 57 lb/hr and a facility-specific maximum allowable  $NO_X$  emission limit of 31 lb/hr, both of which are consistent with the updated VOC and  $NO_X$  control plans that are the subject of this SIP revision.

(3) The EPA's consultation with air pollution control experts from NJDEP

and EPA confirms the Facility's RACT analysis conclusion that no comparable electric arc furnace emission control technologies are deployed at other facilities nationwide. For a detailed explanation and evaluation of the SIP revision, refer to the proposed rulemaking. See 85 FR 42803, July 15, 2020.

# III. What comments were received in response to the EPA's proposed action?

The EPA received no public comments in response to the July 15, 2020 proposed rulemaking. Therefore, the EPA approves this SIP revision with no further changes.

# IV. Summary of the EPA's Final Action

The EPA approves the State of New Jersey's SIP revision dated April 30, 2019, which includes a source-specific SIP for CMC Steel New Jersey, located at 1 N Crossman, Sayreville, New Jersey. The control options in this sourcespecific SIP address the State of New Jersey's RACT requirements included in NJAC 7:27-16, "Control and Prohibition of Air Pollution from Volatile Organic Compounds" and New Jersey NJAC 7:27-19, "Control and Prohibition of Air Pollution from Oxides of Nitrogen", both effective January 16, 2018. The EPA makes the following findings: (1) The Facility met NJDEP's statutory criteria and deadlines to qualify for continuing to operate under existing VOC and  $NO_X$  emission limits; (2) the Facility meets emission limits set by NJDEP for VOC emission rate at 57 lb/ hr and for NO<sub>X</sub> emission rate at 31 lb/ hr; and (3) the Facility implements RACT controls for VOC and NOx-for VOC, through execution of the Scrap Management Plan and operating a direct evacuation system and for NO<sub>X</sub>, through application of good operating practices that maintain a constant temperature in the preheater chamber and minimizes electricity consumption to avoid indirect NO<sub>X</sub> emissions. The Facility has demonstrated that it meets all applicable requirements of the CAA and it will not interfere with any applicable requirements pertaining to attainment of the NAAQS and reasonable further progress or with any other applicable requirement of the CAA.

# V. Incorporation by Reference

In this document, the EPA is finalizing regulatory text that includes incorporation by reference. In accordance with requirements of 1 CFR 51.5, the EPA is incorporating by reference the provisions described above in Section IV. Summary of the EPA's Final Action. The EPA has made, and will continue to make, these

materials generally available through www.regulations.gov and at the EPA Region 2 Office (please contact the person identified in the FOR FURTHER **INFORMATION CONTACT** section of this preamble for more information). Therefore, these materials have been approved by the EPA for inclusion in the State Implementation Plan, have been incorporated by reference by the EPA into that plan, are fully federally enforceable under sections 110 and 113 of the CAA as of the effective date of the final rulemaking of the EPA's approval, and will be incorporated by reference in the next update to the SIP compilation.1

# VI. Statutory and Executive Order Reviews

Under the Clean Air Act, the Administrator is required to approve a SIP submission that complies with the provisions of the Act and applicable Federal regulations. 42 U.S.C. 7410(k); 40 CFR 52.02(a). Thus, in reviewing SIP submissions, the EPA's role is to approve state choices, provided that they meet the criteria of the Clean Air Act. Accordingly, this action merely approves state law as meeting Federal requirements and does not impose additional requirements beyond those imposed by state law. For that reason, this final action:

- Is not a significant regulatory action subject to review by the Office of Management and Budget under Executive Order 12866 (58 FR 51735, October 4, 1993) and 13563 (76 FR 3821, January 21, 2011);
- Does not impose an information collection burden under the provisions of the Paperwork Reduction Act (44 U.S.C. 3501 et seq.);
- Is certified as not having a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities under the Regulatory Flexibility Act (5 U.S.C. 601 *et seq.*);
- Does not contain any unfunded mandate or significantly or uniquely affect small governments, as described in the Unfunded Mandates Reform Act of 1995 (Pub. L. 104–4);
- Does not have Federalism implications as specified in Executive Order 13132 (64 FR 43255, August 10, 1999):
- Is not an economically significant regulatory action based on health or safety risks subject to Executive Order 13045 (62 FR 19885, April 23, 1997);
- Is not a significant regulatory action subject to Executive Order 13211 (66 FR 28355, May 22, 2001);
- Is not subject to requirements of section 12(d) of the National

<sup>162</sup> FR 27968 (May 22, 1997).

Technology Transfer and Advancement Act of 1995 (15 U.S.C. 272 note) because application of those requirements would be inconsistent with the Clean Air Act; and

 Does not provide the EPA with the discretionary authority to address, as appropriate, disproportionate human health or environmental effects, using practicable and legally permissible methods, under Executive Order 12898 (59 FR 7629, February 16, 1994).

In addition, the SIP is not approved to apply on any Indian reservation land or in any other area where the EPA or an Indian tribe has demonstrated that a tribe has jurisdiction. In those areas of Indian country, the rule does not have tribal implications and will not impose substantial direct costs on tribal governments or preempt tribal law as specified by Executive Order 13175 (65 FR 67249, November 9, 2000).

The Congressional Review Act, 5 U.S.C. 801 et seq., as added by the Small **Business Regulatory Enforcement** Fairness Act of 1996, generally provides that before a rule may take effect, the agency promulgating the rule must submit a rule report, which includes a copy of the rule, to each House of the

Congress and to the Comptroller General List of Subjects 40 CFR Part 52 of the United States. The EPA will submit a report containing this action and other required information to the U.S. Senate, the U.S. House of Representatives, and the Comptroller General of the United States prior to publication of the rule in the Federal Register. A major rule cannot take effect until 60 days after it is published in the **Federal Register**. This action is not a "major rule" as defined by 5 U.S.C. 804(2).

Under section 307(b)(1) of the Clean Air Act, petitions for judicial review of this action must be filed in the United States Court of Appeals for the appropriate circuit by April 19, 2021. Filing a petition for reconsideration by the Administrator of this final rule does not affect the finality of this action for the purposes of judicial review nor does it extend the time within which a petition for judicial review may be filed, and shall not postpone the effectiveness of such rule or action. This action may not be challenged later in proceedings to enforce its requirements. (See section 307(b)(2)).

Environmental protection, Air pollution control, Incorporation by reference, Intergovernmental relations, Oxides of nitrogen, Ozone, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements, Volatile organic compounds.

Authority: 42 U.S.C. 7401 et seq.

Dated: February 10, 2021.

## Walter Mugdan,

Acting Regional Administrator, Region 2.

# **PART 52—APPROVAL AND PROMULGATION OF IMPLEMENTATION PLANS**

■ 1. The authority citation for part 52 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 42 U.S.C. 7401 et seq.

# Subpart FF—New Jersey

■ 2. Section 52.1570 is amended in paragraph (d) by adding an entry for CMC Steel New Jersey to the end of the table to read as follows:

§52.1570 Identification of plan.

\* (d) \* \* \*

# EPA-APPROVED NEW JERSEY SOURCE-SPECIFIC PROVISIONS

Name of source		Identifier No.		State effective date	EPA approval date	Comments
*	*	*	*	*	*	*
CMC Steel New Jersey	<i>'</i>	BOP 150002; PI 18052; Emiss	sion Unit U1	May 1, 2019	February 17, 2021	None.

[FR Doc. 2021–03055 Filed 2–16–21; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

# **ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY**

# 40 CFR Part 180

[EPA-HQ-OPP-2019-0641; FRL-10017-26]

## Clopyralid; Pesticide Tolerances

**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection

Agency (EPA). **ACTION:** Final rule.

**SUMMARY:** This regulation establishes tolerances for residues of clopyralid in or on the caneberry subgroup 13-07A, the bulb onion subgroup 3-07A, and intermediate wheatgrass bran, forage, germ, grain, middling, shorts, and straw. Interregional Research Project Number 4 (IR-4) requested these tolerances under the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act (FFDCA).

**DATES:** This regulation is effective February 17, 2021. Objections and requests for hearings must be received on or before April 19, 2021, and must be filed in accordance with the instructions provided in 40 CFR part 178 (see also Unit I.C. of the

# SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION).

**ADDRESSES:** The docket for this action, identified by docket identification (ID) number EPA-HQ-OPP-2019-0641, is available at http://www.regulations.gov or at the Office of Pesticide Programs Regulatory Public Docket (OPP Docket) in the Environmental Protection Agency Docket Center (EPA/DC), West William Jefferson Clinton Bldg., Rm. 3334, 1301 Constitution Ave. NW, Washington, DC 20460-0001. The Public Reading Room is open from 8:30 a.m. to 4:30 p.m., Monday through Friday, excluding legal holidays. The telephone number for the Public Reading Room is (202) 566-1744, and the telephone number for the OPP Docket is (703) 305-5805.

Due to the public health concerns related to COVID–19, the EPA Docket Center (EPA/DC) and Reading Room is closed to visitors with limited exceptions. The staff continues to

provide remote customer service via email, phone, and webform. For the latest status information on EPA/DC services and docket access, visit https:// www.epa.gov/dockets.

### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Marietta Echeverria, Registration Division (7505P), Office of Pesticide Programs, Environmental Protection Agency, 1200 Pennsylvania Ave. NW, Washington, DC 20460-0001; main telephone number: (703) 305-7090; email address: RDFRNotices@epa.gov.

## SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

## I. General Information

A. Does this action apply to me?

You may be potentially affected by this action if you are an agricultural producer, food manufacturer, or pesticide manufacturer. The following list of North American Industrial Classification System (NAICS) codes is not intended to be exhaustive, but rather provides a guide to help readers determine whether this document