mechanism of a free and open market by ensuring that persons subject to the Exchange's jurisdiction, regulators, and the investing public could more easily navigate and understand the Exchange rules. The Exchange believes that the proposed change would not be inconsistent with the public interest and the protection of investors because investors will not be harmed and in fact would benefit from increased transparency and clarity, thereby reducing potential confusion.

B. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement on Burden on Competition

The Exchange does not believe that the proposed rule change will impose any burden on competition that is not necessary or appropriate in furtherance of the purposes of the Act. The proposed rule change is not intended to address competitive issues but rather to achieve greater consistency between the Exchange's rules and the rules of its affiliate and FINRA concerning regulatory reporting.

C. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement on Comments on the Proposed Rule Change Received From Members, Participants, or Others

No written comments were solicited or received with respect to the proposed rule change.

III. Date of Effectiveness of the Proposed Rule Change and Timing for Commission Action

The Exchange has filed the proposed rule change pursuant to Section 19(b)(3)(A)(iii) of the Act 9 and Rule 19b-4(f)(6) thereunder. 10 Because the proposed rule change does not: (i) significantly affect the protection of investors or the public interest; (ii) impose any significant burden on competition; and (iii) become operative prior to 30 days from the date on which it was filed, or such shorter time as the Commission may designate, if consistent with the protection of investors and the public interest, the proposed rule change has become effective pursuant to Section 19(b)(3)(A) of the Act and Rule 19b-4(f)(6)(iii) thereunder.

A proposed rule change filed under Rule 19b–4(f)(6) ¹¹ normally does not become operative prior to 30 days after the date of the filing. However, pursuant to Rule 19b–4(f)(6)(iii), ¹² the Commission may designate a shorter time if such action is consistent with the

protection of investors and the public interest

At any time within 60 days of the filing of such proposed rule change, the Commission summarily may temporarily suspend such rule change if it appears to the Commission that such action is necessary or appropriate in the public interest, for the protection of investors, or otherwise in furtherance of the purposes of the Act. If the Commission takes such action, the Commission shall institute proceedings under Section 19(b)(2)(B) 13 of the Act to determine whether the proposed rule change should be approved or disapproved.

IV. Solicitation of Comments

Interested persons are invited to submit written data, views and arguments concerning the foregoing, including whether the proposed rule change is consistent with the Act. Comments may be submitted by any of the following methods:

Electronic Comments

- Use the Commission's internet comment form (https://www.sec.gov/rules/sro.shtml); or
- Send an email to *rule-comments@* sec.gov. Please include file number SR-NYSEARCA-2025-36 on the subject line.

• Send paper comments in triplicate

Paper Comments

to Secretary, Securities and Exchange Commission, 100 F Street NE, Washington, DC 20549-1090. All submissions should refer to file number SR-NYSEARCA-2025-36. This file number should be included on the subject line if email is used. To help the Commission process and review your comments more efficiently, please use only one method. The Commission will post all comments on the Commission's internet website (https://www.sec.gov/ rules/sro.shtml). Copies of the submission, all subsequent amendments, all written statements with respect to the proposed rule change that are filed with the Commission, and all written communications relating to the proposed rule change between the Commission and any person, other than those that may be withheld from the public in accordance with the provisions of 5 U.S.C. 552, will be available for website viewing and printing in the Commission's Public Reference Room, 100 F Street NE, Washington, DC 20549, on official

business days between the hours of 10

a.m. and 3 p.m. Copies of the filing also will be available for inspection and copying at the principal office of the Exchange. Do not include personal identifiable information in submissions; you should submit only information that you wish to make available publicly. We may redact in part or withhold entirely from publication submitted material that is obscene or subject to copyright protection.

All submissions should refer to file number SR-NYSEARCA-2025-36 and should be submitted on or before June 25, 2025.

For the Commission, by the Division of Trading and Markets, pursuant to delegated authority.¹⁴

J. Matthew DeLesDernier,

Deputy Secretary.

[FR Doc. 2025–10113 Filed 6–3–25; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 8011-01-P

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

[Release No. 34–103148; File No. SR–ICC–2025–005]

Self-Regulatory Organizations; ICE Clear Credit LLC; Order Approving Proposed Rule Change Relating to ICC's Treasury Operations Policies and Procedures

May 29, 2025.

I. Introduction

On April 2, 2025, ICE Clear Credit LLC ("ICC") filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission ("Commission"), pursuant to Section 19(b)(1) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 ("Act") 1 and Rule 19b-4 thereunder,² a proposed rule change to revise its Treasury Operations Policies & Procedures (the "Treasury Policy") ("Proposed Rule Change"). The Proposed Rule Change was published for comment in the Federal Register on April 16, 2025.³ The Commission has not received any comments on the Proposed Rule Change. For the reasons discussed below, the Commission is approving the Proposed Rule Change.

II. Description of the Proposed Rule Change

ICC is registered with the Commission as a clearing agency for the purpose of

^{9 15} U.S.C. 78s(b)(3)(A)(iii).

¹⁰ 17 CFR 240.19b–4(f)(6).

^{11 17} CFR 240.19b-4(f)(6).

¹² 17 CFR 240.19b–4(f)(6)(iii).

^{13 15} U.S.C. 78s(b)(2)(B).

^{14 17} CFR 200.30-3(a)(12).

¹ 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(1).

² 17 CFR 240.19b–4.

³ Securities Exchange Act Release No. 102814 (Apr. 10, 2025), 90 FR 16015 (Apr. 16, 2025) (File No. SR–ICC–2025–005) ("Notice").

clearing CDS contracts,4 which means that it interposes itself as the buyer to every seller and the seller to every buyer for these types of financial transactions. As a clearing agency, one of ICC's functions is to manage risks inherent to the clearance and settlement of securities transactions. Because ICC is obligated to perform on the contracts it clears, even where one of its Clearing Participants defaults, one such risk to which ICC is exposed is credit risk in the form of exposure to a Clearing Participant's trading activities. ICC manages such credit risk, in part, by collecting collateral from its Clearing Participants, both in the form of margin and in the form of a guaranty fund. Among other things, ICC's Treasury Department manages these daily cash and collateral requirements and movements in connection with ICC's daily clearing process.

ICC proposes to amend its Treasury Policy, which includes the policies and procedures that ICC uses to support its Treasury Department. Specifically, ICC proposes to formally describe its existing intraday margin call procedures in the Treasury Policy, which are designed to be consistent with the requirements of Rule 17Ad–22(e)(6)(ii).⁵ ICC's proposed changes to the Treasury Policy would not change its current margin call practices, but rather ensure they are fully documented and described in the Treasury Policy.

A. Description of ICC's Intraday Margin Call Procedures

ICC proposes to add a description of its current intraday margin call procedures in a new appendix to the Treasury Policy, entitled "Appendix 6: Intraday Margin Call Procedures" ("Appendix 6"). Appendix 6 would describe the conditions and procedures for three categories of intraday margin calls that ICC recognizes: Non-routine Intraday Margin Calls, Selective Intraday Margin Calls, and Discretionary Margin Calls.⁶

For Non-routine Intraday Margin Calls, Part B of Appendix 6 would describe the steps that ICC currently follows prior to and during the margin call process. Appendix 6.B states that

ICC executes a Non-routine Intraday Margin Call if the market suddenly becomes highly volatile and the observed price/spread level changes increase the risk exposure of ICC to certain Clearing Participants. For example, ICC notes that such a call is triggered if a certain percentage of the value of collateral on deposit has eroded due to the observed intraday unrealized losses. Appendix 6.B lists the following steps: (1) the ICC Risk Department uses its intraday system to capture intraday prices and revalue Clearing Participant portfolios to estimate unrealized profit/ loss; (2) the Risk Department compares the unrealized profit/loss to the collected Initial Margin ("IM") requirement; (3) an initial warning process is triggered if any Clearing Participant's IM erodes by a specified early warning percentage; (4) the ICC Risk Department identifies risk factors associated with the affected Clearing Participant's greatest unrealized losses, and confirms the viability of all adverse price changes in light of the Clearing Participant's portfolio; (5) the senior Risk Department staff begins a continuous intraday monitoring process, if not already begun; (6) the intraday margin call execution process is triggered if any Clearing Participant's Initial Margin erodes by a specific percentage threshold and lasts for more than a specified length of time, prior to a specific time of day; 8 and (7) if the erosion level exceeds a specific threshold after a specific time of day, the ICC Chief Risk Officer ("CRO") or the CRO's designee has the discretion to make intraday margin calls based on various market condition considerations.9

For Selective Intraday Margin Calls, ICC proposes for Part C of Appendix 6 to describe the steps that ICC currently follows prior to and during the call process. ICC considers executing a Selective Intraday Margin Call when intraday changes in Clearing Participant position sizes can lead to an insufficient level of collateralization. Appendix 6.C states that, in such cases, the ICC Risk Department will determine the increased IM amount by estimating the IM requirements for the new positions as they were guaranteed by ICC at the start of the trading day, and by following the first two steps from Appendix 6.B (i.e., revaluing Clearing Participant portfolios to estimate unrealized profit/loss and comparing such unrealized profit/loss to the collected IM requirement, excluding concentration charges). Appendix 6.C further notes that, in connection with Selective Intraday Margin Calls, the Risk Department will follow the same internal notification process as described in Appendix 6.B for Nonroutine Intraday Margin Calls.

For Discretionary Margin Calls, ICC proposes for Part D of Appendix 6 to describe the steps that ICC currently follows prior to and during the margin call process. Appendix 6.D states that Discretionary Margin Calls are intraday margin calls to Clearing Participants whose previously posted margin, in the CRO's judgment, does not provide proper risk protection. ICC considers using Discretionary Margin Calls where there is a fast deterioration of the creditworthiness of a Clearing Participant, and/or adverse market conditions that could lead to significant losses that may result in the default of a Clearing Participant. Appendix 6.D further notes that the Risk Department will follow the same internal notification process as described in Appendix 6.B, for Non-routine Intraday Margin Calls.

B. Additional References and Updates

The Proposed Rule Change would add language to Section IV.A.4 ("Non-Routine Settlement Procedures and Timeline") of ICC's Treasury Policy. The new language would generally refer to ICC's process for monitoring the adequacy of collected IM on an intraday basis and would also note that ICC may issue intraday margin calls to Clearing Participant(s) whose margin on deposit does not provide prior risk protection. The new language would also provide a cross-reference to the intraday margin call procedures in new Appendix 6.

Additionally, the Proposed Rule Change would update Section X ("Revision History") of the Treasury

⁴Capitalized terms not otherwise defined herein have the meanings assigned to them in ICC's Clearing Rules or the Treasury Policy, as applicable.

⁵ 17 CFR 240.17ad-22(e)(6)(ii).

⁶ Proposed Appendix 6.A notes that ICC also recognizes a fourth category of intraday margin call, the Routine Intraday Margin Call, which is meant to be executed at one or more pre-specified times during the day, assuming that prices and positions are updated. However, ICC does not perform this type of intraday margin call because ICC does not execute the end-of-day price discovery process on an intraday basis. See Notice at 16015.

[₹] Id.

⁸ Appendix 6.B further describes the intraday margin call execution process. The CRO or CRO's designee informs the Treasury Department by email to execute the call; the email will contain the Clearing Participant name(s) and amount(s) of the increase of the USD IM required amount; and Senior Management, Compliance, and Client Support Services will be copied on the email communication. Furthermore, with respect to Nonroutine Intraday Margin Calls, the amount of the call will be consistent with the level of IM erosion and the remaining time until the end-of-day price discovery process. Finally, the Treasury Department will enter the amount of the intraday margin call in its system, which may result (depending on current funds on deposit for the affected Clearing Participant) in the issuance of a direct debit message instructing the Clearing Participant's designated bank to direct debit any margin payable. Clearing Participants will have up to one hour to pay the intraday margin call after the issuance of the direct debit message. Id.

⁹ Appendix 6.B further states that in the event the CRO or the CRO's designee foregoes initiating an intraday margin call, the decision will be communicated to Senior Management and a formal document will be created that outlines the reasons for not proceeding with the intraday margin call. *Id.*

Policy to include a brief description of the proposed changes to the Treasury Policy.

III. Discussion and Commission Findings

Section 19(b)(2)(C) of the Act requires the Commission to approve a proposed rule change of a self-regulatory organization if it finds that the proposed rule change is consistent with the requirements of the Act and the rules and regulations thereunder applicable to the organization. 10 Under the Commission's Rules of Practice, the "burden to demonstrate that a proposed rule change is consistent with the Exchange Act and the rules and regulations issued thereunder . . . is on the self-regulatory organization ['SRO'] that proposed the rule change." 11 The description of a proposed rule change, its purpose and operation, its effect, and a legal analysis of its consistency with applicable requirements must all be sufficiently detailed and specific to support an affirmative Commission finding,12 and any failure of an SRO to provide this information may result in the Commission not having a sufficient basis to make an affirmative finding that a proposed rule change is consistent with the Exchange Act and the applicable rules and regulations.13 Moreover, "unquestioning reliance" on an SRO's representations in a proposed rule change is not sufficient to justify Commission approval of a proposed rule change.14

After carefully considering the Proposed Rule Change, the Commission finds that the Proposed Rule Change is consistent with Section 17A(b)(3)(F) of the Act ¹⁵ and Rule 17Ad–22(e)(6)(ii) ¹⁶ thereunder, as described in detail below.

A. Consistency With Section 17A(b)(3)(F) of the Act

Under Section 17A(b)(3)(F) of the Act, ICC's rules, among other things, must be "designed to promote the prompt and accurate clearance and settlement of securities transactions . . ." ¹⁷ Based on a review of the record, and for the reasons discussed below, the Proposed

Rule Change is consistent with Section 17A(b)(3)(F).

ICC proposes to update its Treasury Policy to include a description of its already-existing procedures for intraday margin calls. By formally documenting the procedures in its Treasury Policy, ICC further supports ICC's ability to, and increases the likelihood that its Risk Department will, apply the correct intraday margin call procedure as appropriate depending on the circumstances and the applicable intraday margin call category. This will support and improve ICC's overall risk management, which in turn supports the public's confidence in ICC's approach to risk management and could encourage more participants to clear transactions at ICC. Therefore, the update to ICC's Treasury Policy is consistent with promoting the prompt and accurate clearance and settlement of securities transactions.

Accordingly, the Proposed Rule Change is consistent with the requirements of Section 17A(b)(3)(F) of the Act.¹⁸

B. Consistency With Rule 17Ad–22(e)(6)(ii)

Rule 17Ad-22(e)(6)(ii) requires ICC to "establish, implement, maintain and enforce written policies and procedures reasonably designed to . . . [c]over, if the covered clearing agency provides central counterparty services, its credit exposures to its participants by establishing a risk-based margin system that, at a minimum . . . [m]arks participant positions to market and collects margin (including variation margin or equivalent charges if relevant) at least daily; . . . [m]onitors intraday exposures on an ongoing basis; . . [i]ncludes the authority and operational capacity to make intraday margin calls, as frequently as circumstances warrant, including the following circumstances: . . [w]hen risk thresholds specified by the covered clearing agency are breached; or . . . [w]hen the products cleared or markets served display elevated volatility; and . . . [d]ocuments when the covered clearing agency determines not to make an intraday call pursuant to its written policies and procedures " 19 Based on a review of the record, and for the reasons discussed below, the Proposed Rule Change is consistent with Rule 17Ad-22(e)(6)(ii).

ICC's intraday margin call procedures, which the Proposed Rule Change would add to the Treasury Policy, are consistent with the requirements set

forth in Rule 17Ad-22(e)(6)(ii). New Appendix 6 includes descriptions of various intraday margin call categories that ICC recognizes, and the procedures that ICC follows for each category. Specifically, Appendix 6 notes that ICC recognizes four different categories of intraday margin calls, and the appendix further describes specific procedures for the three categories of intraday margin calls that are pertinent to ICC's operations. For each of these three categories, ICC monitors intraday exposures on an ongoing basis to capture intraday prices and re-value Clearing Participant portfolios to estimate the unrealized profit/loss, and then compares the unrealized profit/loss to the collected IM requirement, excluding funds attributed to the concentration changes. Appendix 6 further describes ICC's authority and operational capacity to make intraday margin calls, including in circumstances when certain risk thresholds such as erosion levels are breached, or when ICC's cleared products or served markets display elevated volatility. Appendix 6 also states that ICC will create a formal document outlining reasons for not proceeding with an intraday margin call in the event the CRO or CRO's designee decides not to do so despite specific risk thresholds being breached.

Accordingly, the Proposed Rule Change is consistent with the requirements of Rule 17Ad–22(e)(6)(ii).²⁰

IV. Conclusion

On the basis of the foregoing, the Commission finds that the proposed rule change is consistent with the requirements of the Act, and in particular, Section 17A(b)(3)(F) of the Act ²¹ and Rule 17Ad–22(e)(6)(ii).²²

It is therefore ordered pursuant to Section 19(b)(2) of the Act that the proposed rule change (SR–ICC–2025–005) be, and hereby is, approved.²³

For the Commission by the Division of Trading and Markets, pursuant to delegated authority. 24

J. Matthew DeLesDernier,

Deputy Secretary.

[FR Doc. 2025–10121 Filed 6–3–25; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 8011-01-P

^{10 15} U.S.C. 78s(b)(2)(C).

 $^{^{11}\,\}text{Rule}$ 700(b)(3), Commission Rules of Practice, 17 CFR 201.700(b)(3).

¹² *Id*.

¹³ *Id*.

¹⁴ Susquehanna Int'l Group, LLP v. Securities and Exchange Commission, 866 F.3d 442, 447 (D.C. Cir. 2017)

^{15 15} U.S.C. 78q-1(b)(3)(F).

^{16 17} CFR 240.17ad-22(e)(6)(ii).

^{17 15} U.S.C. 78q-1(b)(3)(F).

¹⁸ Id.

^{19 17} CFR 240.17ad-22(e)(6)(ii).

²⁰ Id.

²¹ 15 U.S.C. 78q-1(b)(3)(F).

²² 17 CFR 240.17ad-22(e)(6)(ii).

²³ In approving the proposed rule change, the Commission considered the proposal's impacts on efficiency, competition, and capital formation. 15 U.S.C. 78c(f).

^{24 17} CFR 200.30-3(a)(12).