

that otherwise satisfies the provisions of the Clean Air Act. Thus, the requirements of section 12(d) of the National Technology Transfer and Advancement Act of 1995 (15 U.S.C. 272 note) do not apply. This proposed rule does not impose an information collection burden under the provisions of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. 3501 *et seq.*).

#### List of Subjects in 40 CFR Part 52

Environmental protection, Air pollution control, Intergovernmental relations, Nitrogen dioxide, Ozone, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements, Volatile organic compounds.

**Authority:** 42 U.S.C. 7401 *et seq.*

Dated: June 12, 2002.

**A. Stanley Meiburg,**

*Acting Regional Administrator, Region 4.*

[FR Doc. 02-15876 Filed 6-21-02; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 6560-50-P**

## FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

### 47 CFR Part 73

[DA 02-1344; MB Docket No. 02-141; RM-10428]

#### Radio Broadcasting Services; Belle Haven, Cape Charles, Exmore, VA

**AGENCY:** Federal Communications Commission.

**ACTION:** Proposed rule.

**SUMMARY:** This document requests comment on a petition for rulemaking filed on behalf of Commonwealth Broadcasting, LLC, licensee of Station WEXM(FM), Exmore, Virginia, and Sinclair Telecable, d/b/a Sinclair Communications, licensee of Station WROX-FM, Cape Charles, Virginia the proposing the reallocation of Channel 291B from Exmore to Belle Haven, Virginia as the community's first local aural transmission service, and modification of Station WEXM(FM)'s license to reflect the change of community. Station WEXM is licensed on Channel 291A, but was granted a construction permit by one-step application to upgrade to Channel 291B at Exmore (File No. BMPH-20010502AAR). See 66 FR 50576 (October 4, 2001). The petition also requests reallocation of Channel 241B from Cape Charles to Exmore, Virginia and the modification of Station WROX's license to reflect the change of community. Channel 291B can be allotted at Belle Haven at petitioner's requested site 8.5 kilometers (5.3 miles)

west of the community at coordinates NL 37-31-46 and WL 75-54-44. Channel 241B can be allotted at Exmore at a site 29.4 kilometers (18.2 miles) southwest of the community at coordinates NL 37-18-02 and WL 75-59-05.

**DATES:** Comments must be filed on or before July 29, 2002, and reply comments on or before August 13, 2002.

**ADDRESSES:** Secretary, Federal Communications Commission, Washington, DC 20554. In addition to filing comments with the FCC, interested parties should serve the petitioner, as follows: Howard M. Weiss, Allison Shapiro, Fletcher, Heald & Hildreth P.L.C. 1300 North 17th Street, 11th Floor Arlington, VA 22209.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Victoria M. McCauley, Media Bureau, (202) 418-2180.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** This is a synopsis of the Commission's Notice of Proposed Rule Making, MB Docket No. 02-141, adopted, May 29, 2002, and released June 7, 2002. The full text of this Commission decision is available for inspection and copying during normal business hours in the FCC's Reference Information Center at Portals II, CY-A257, 445 Twelfth Street, SW., Washington, DC. This document may also be purchased from the Commission's duplicating contractors, Qualex International, Portals II, 445 12th Street, SW., Room CY-B402, Washington, DC 20554, telephone 202-863-2893, or via e-mail [qualexint@aol.com](mailto:qualexint@aol.com).

Provisions of the Regulatory Flexibility Act of 1980 do not apply to this proceeding.

Members of the public should note that from the time a Notice of Proposed Rule Making is issued until the matter is no longer subject to Commission consideration or court review, all *ex parte* contacts are prohibited in Commission proceedings, such as this one, which involve channel allotments. See 47 CFR 1.1204(b) for rules governing permissible *ex parte* contacts. For information regarding proper filing procedures for comments, see 47 CFR 1.415 and 1.420.

#### List of Subjects in 47 CFR Part 73

Radio broadcasting.

For the reasons discussed in the preamble, the Federal Communications Commission proposes to amend 47 CFR Part 73 as follows:

### PART 73—RADIO BROADCAST SERVICES

1. The authority citation for part 73 continues to read as follows:

**Authority:** 47 U.S.C. 154, 303, 334 and 336.

#### § 73.202 [Amended]

2. Section 73.202(b), the Table of FM Allotments under Virginia, is amended by adding Belle Haven, Channel 291B, removing Cape Charles, Channel 241B, and removing Channel 291B and adding 241B at Exmore.

Federal Communications Commission.

**John A. Karousos,**

*Assistant Chief, Audio Division, Office of Broadcast License Policy, Media Bureau.*

[FR Doc. 02-15669 Filed 6-21-02; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 6712-01-P**

## FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

### 47 CFR Parts 73 and 76

[MM Docket No. 98-204; DA 02-1025]

#### En Banc Hearing on Broadcast and Cable EEO Rules and Policies

**AGENCY:** Federal Communications Commission.

**ACTION:** Proposed rule.

**SUMMARY:** On May 3, 2002, the Commission released a public notice announcing the June 24, 2002, *en banc* hearing to discuss issues and views on the Commission's proceeding to promulgate new broadcast and cable equal employment opportunity (EEO) rules. The intended effect of this action is to make the public aware of the Commission's *en banc* hearing.

**DATES:** The *en banc* hearing will convene from 10 a.m. to 1 p.m. on June 24, 2002.

**ADDRESSES:** The hearing will be held at the Federal Communications Commission, 445 12th Street, SW., Washington, DC, in the Commission Meeting Room (Room TW-C305).

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Lewis Pulley, Media Bureau, Policy Division. (202) 418-1450.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

1. By Public Notice dated and released May 3, 2002, the Federal Communications Commission announced that it will hold an *en banc* hearing. The purpose of the *en banc* is to assist the Commission in its examination of the EEO rules applicable to broadcast and cable entities. In January 2001, the District of Columbia Circuit Court of Appeals vacated the rules as unconstitutional, finding them insufficiently tailored to address the Commission's efforts to prohibit race and gender discrimination in broadcast and cable employment. Following the court's decision, the Commission