Louisiana Advisory Committee (Committee) to the Commission will convene in Baton Rouge at Louisiana State University, Student Union Room 303, Raphael Semmes Road (corner of Raphael Semmes Road and Highland) at 2 p.m. and adjourn at approximately 5 p.m. on Thursday, January 26, 2012. The purpose of this meeting is to continue planning the Committee's civil rights project. The Committee has invited Mr. Carle Jackson of the Louisiana Commission on Law Enforcement to provide preliminary information concerning potential racial disparities in the high incarceration of African-Americans in state operated prisons.

This meeting is available to the public through the following call-in number: (225) 578-4958, conference call access code number. Any interested member of the public may call this number and listen to the meeting. Callers can expect to incur charges for calls they initiate over wireless lines, and the Commission will not refund any incurred charges. Callers will incur no charge for calls they initiate over land-line connections to the toll-free telephone number. Persons with hearing impairments may also follow the proceedings by first calling the Federal Relay Service at 1-(800) 977–8339 and providing the Service with the conference call number and contact name Farella E. Robinson.

To ensure that the Commission secures an appropriate number of lines for the public, persons are asked to register by contacting Corrine Sanders of the Central Regional Office and TTY/TDD telephone number, by 4 p.m. on January 19, 2012.

Members of the public are entitled to submit written comments. The comments must be received in the regional office by February 7, 2012. The address is U.S. Commission on Civil Rights, 400 State Avenue, Suite 908, Kansas City, Kansas 66101. Comments may be emailed to frobinson@usccr.gov. Records generated by this meeting may be inspected and reproduced at the Central Regional Office, as they become available, both before and after the meeting. Persons interested in the work of this advisory committee are advised to go to the Commission's Web site, www.usccr.gov, or to contact the Central Regional Office at the above email or street address.

The meeting will be conducted pursuant to the provisions of the rules and regulations of the Commission and FACA.

Dated in Washington, DC, December 19, 2011.

Peter Minarik,

Acting Chief, Regional Programs Coordination Unit.

[FR Doc. 2011–32800 Filed 12–21–11; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6335-01-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

U.S. Census Bureau

Proposed Information Collection; Comment Request; Survey of Income and Program Participation (SIPP) Wave 13 of the 2008 Panel

AGENCY: U.S. Census Bureau.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: The Department of Commerce, as part of its continuing effort to reduce paperwork and respondent burden, invites the general public and other Federal agencies to take this opportunity to comment on proposed and/or continuing information collections, as required by the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, Public Law 104–13 (44 U.S.C. 3506(c)(2)(A)).

DATES: To ensure consideration, written comments must be submitted on or before February 21, 2012.

ADDRESSES: Direct all written comments to Diana Hynek, Departmental Paperwork Clearance Officer, Department of Commerce, Room 6616, 14th and Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20230 (or via the Internet at *DHynek@doc.gov*).

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Requests for additional information or copies of the information collection instrument(s) and instructions should be directed to Patrick J. Benton, Census Bureau, Room HQ-6H045, Washington, DC 20233–8400, (301) 763–4618.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

I. Abstract

The Census Bureau conducts the SIPP, which is a household-based survey designed as a continuous series of national panels. New panels are introduced every few years with each panel having durations of one to six years. Respondents are interviewed at 4month intervals or "waves" over the life of the panel. The survey is molded around a central "core" of labor force and income questions that remain fixed throughout the life of the panel. The core is supplemented with questions designed to address specific needs, such as obtaining information on household members' participation in government

programs as well as prior labor force patterns of household members. These supplemental questions are included with the core and are referred to as "topical modules."

The SIPP represents a source of information for a wide variety of topics and allows information for separate topics to be integrated to form a single, unified database so that the interaction between tax, transfer, and other government and private policies can be examined. Government domestic-policy formulators depend heavily upon the SIPP information concerning the distribution of income received directly as money or indirectly as in-kind benefits and the effect of tax and transfer programs on this distribution. They also need improved and expanded data on the income and general economic and financial situation of the U.S. population, which the SIPP has provided on a continuing basis since 1983. The SIPP has measured levels of economic well-being and permitted changes in these levels to be measured over time.

The 2008 panel is currently scheduled for approximately 6 years and will include 16 waves of interviewing beginning in September 2008. Approximately 65,300 households were selected for the 2008 panel, of which 45.000 households were interviewed. We estimate that each household contains 2.1 people, age 15 years or older, yielding approximately 94,500 person-level interviews in Wave 1 and subsequent waves. Interviews take 30 minutes on average. Three waves will occur in the 2008 SIPP Panel during FY 2012. The total annual burden for 2008 Panel SIPP interviews would be 141,750 hours in FY 2012.

The topical module for the 2008 Panel Wave 13 collects information about Educational Certificates and Industry Recognized Certifications. Wave 13 interviews will be conducted from September 1, 2012 through December 31, 2012.

A 10-minute re-interview of 3,100 people is conducted at each wave to ensure the accuracy of responses. Reinterviews require an additional 1.553 burden hours in FY 2012.

II. Method of Collection

The SIPP is designed as a continuing series of national panels of interviewed households that are introduced every few years with each panel having durations of one to six years. All household members 15 years old or over are interviewed using regular proxyrespondent rules. During the 2008 panel, respondents are interviewed a total of 16 times or 16 waves at 4-month

intervals making the SIPP a longitudinal survey. Sample people (all household members present at the time of the first interview) who move within the country and reasonably close to a SIPP primary sampling unit will be followed and interviewed at their new address. Individuals 15 years old or over who enter the household after Wave 1 will be interviewed; however, if these individuals move, they are not followed unless they happen to move along with a Wave 1 sample individual.

III. Data

OMB Control Number: 0607–0944. Form Number: SIPP/CAPI Automated Instrument.

Type of Review: Regular submission.
Affected Public: Individuals or
Households.

Estimated Number of Respondents: 94,500 people per wave.

Estimated Time per Response: 30 minutes per person on average.

Estimated Total Annual Burden Hours: 143,303.¹

Estimated Total Annual Cost: The only cost to respondents is their time. Respondent's Obligation: Voluntary. Legal Authority: Title 13, United States Code, Section 182.

IV. Request for Comments

Comments are invited on: (a) Whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information shall have practical utility; (b) the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden (including hours and cost) of the proposed collection of information; (c) methods to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and (d) methods to minimize the burden of the collection of information on respondents, including the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology.

Comments submitted in response to this notice will be summarized and/or included in the request for OMB approval of this information collection; they also will become a matter of public record.

Dated: December 19, 2011.

Lenna Mickelson,

Management Analyst, Office of the Chief Information Officer.

[FR Doc. 2011–32796 Filed 12–21–11; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-07-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration [A-475-828]

Stainless Steel Butt-Weld Pipe Fittings From Italy: Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review and Preliminary No Shipment Determination

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: In response to requests for an administrative review, the Department of Commerce (the Department) is conducting an administrative review of the antidumping duty order on stainless steel butt-weld pipe fittings (SSBW pipe fittings) from Italy. The review involves the imports of subject merchandise of two respondent companies and covers the period February 1, 2010, through January 31, 2011. For these preliminary results, we found that one respondent made sales of subject merchandise at or above normal value while the other respondent had no shipments of subject merchandise during the period of review.

DATES: Effective Date: December 22, 2011.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Edythe Artman or Angelica Mendoza, AD/CVD Operations, Office 7, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482–3931 or (202) 482–3019, respectively.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Period of Review

The period of review is February 1, 2010, through January 31, 2011.

Background

On February 1, 2011, the Department published a notice of opportunity to request an administrative review of the order on SSBW pipe fittings from Italy. See Antidumping or Countervailing Duty Order, Finding, or Suspended Investigation; Opportunity To Request Administrative Review, 76 FR 5559 (February 1, 2011). In response, the Department received requests from two companies—Tectubi Raccordi S.p.A. (Tectubi) and Filmag Italia SRL (Filmag)—on February 28, 2011. In each request, the companies requested a review of their own sales. We initiated the review of both companies on March 31, 2011. See Initiation of Antidumping Duty Administrative Reviews, Requests for Revocation in Part, and Deferral of

Administrative Review, 76 FR 17825 (March 31, 2011).

On October 31, 2011, we extended the time limit for completion of the preliminary results of the review to no later than December 15, 2011. See Stainless Steel Butt-Weld Pipe Fittings From Italy; Extension of Time Limit for Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review, 76 FR 67146 (October 31, 2011).

Both Tectubi and Filmag submitted responses to the Department's antidumping questionnaire and responses to subsequent requests for clarifications or additional information. The petitioner did not file any comments on these submissions.

Preliminary Determination of No Shipments

In its response to the Department's antidumping questionnaire, Filmag stated that it had no sales of subject merchandise during the period of review. We later confirmed with U.S. Customs and Border Protection (CBP) that this company had no entries of SSBW pipe fittings from Italy during the period of review. See "Memorandum to the File" regarding No Shipments Inquiries for Filmag Italia SRL, dated November 28, 2011. Because the evidence on the record indicates that Filmag did not export subject merchandise to the United States during the period of review, we preliminarily determine that it had no reviewable transactions during this period.

Our past practice concerning noshipment respondents was to rescind the administrative review if the respondent certified that it had no shipments and we confirmed the certified statement through an examination of CBP data. We would then instruct CBP to liquidate any entries of merchandise produced by the respondent at the deposit rate in effect on the date of entry. However, in our May 6, 2003, "automatic assessment" clarification, we explained that, where respondents in an administrative review demonstrated that they had no knowledge of sales through resellers to the United States, we would instruct CBP to liquidate such entries at the allothers rate applicable to the proceeding. See Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Proceedings: Assessment of Antidumping Duties, 68 FR 23954 (May 6, 2003) (Assessment Policy Notice). Thus, our practice of rescinding noshipment reviews did not comport with the clarification, since it was our intent to no longer liquidate the entries of resellers, of which a respondent company had no knowledge, at an "as entered" rate.

 $^{^1(94,\!500\}times.5~\mathrm{hr}\times3~\mathrm{waves} + (3,\!100\times.167~\mathrm{hr}\times3~\mathrm{waves}))$