request: 89,465 (81,765 reporting hours + 7,700 recordkeeping hours) or an average of 125 hours per response (81,765 reporting burden hours/655 responses) and an average of 13 hours per recordkeeper (7,700 recordkeeping burden hours/601 recordkeepers).

9. *An indication of whether Section 3507(d), Pub. L. 104–13 applies:* Not applicable.

10. *Abstract:* Part 70 establishes requirements for licenses to own, acquire, receive, possess, use, and transfer special nuclear material. The information in the applications, reports, and records is used by NRC to make licensing and other regulatory determinations concerning the use of special nuclear material. The revised estimate of burden reflects the addition of requirements for documentation for termination or transfer of licensed activities, and modifying licenses.

A copy of the final supporting statement may be viewed free of charge at the NRC Public Document Room, One White Flint North, 11555 Rockville Pike, Room O–1 F21, Rockville, MD 20852. OMB clearance requests are available at the NRC worldwide Web site: *http://www.nrc.gov/public-involve/doc-comment/omb/index.html.* The document will be available on the NRC home page site for 60 days after the signature date of this notice.

Comments and questions should be directed to the OMB reviewer listed below by September 16, 2004. Comments received after this date will be considered if it is practical to do so, but assurance of consideration cannot be given to comments received after this date.

OMB Desk Officer, Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs (3150–0009), NEOB–10202, Office of Management and Budget, Washington, DC 20503.

Comments can also be submitted by telephone at (202) 395–3087.

The NRC Clearance Officer is Brenda Jo. Shelton, 301–415–7233.

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 11th day of August 2004.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

Beth St. Mary,

Acting NRC Clearance Officer, Office of the Chief Information Officer.

[FR Doc. 04–18730 Filed 8–16–04; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7590–01–P

NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

[Docket No. 50–400]

Carolina Power & Light Company, et al.

Notice of Withdrawal of Application for Amendment to Facility Operating License

The U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (the Commission) has granted the request of Carolina Power & Light Company (the licensee) to withdraw its December 8, 2003, application for proposed amendment to Facility Operating License No. NFP–63 for the Shearon Harris Nuclear Power Plant, Unit 1, located in Wake and Chatham Counties, North Carolina.

The proposed amendment would have revised the Technical Specifications to allow a one-time revision to the steam generator (SG) inservice inspection frequency requirements to allow a 40-month inspection interval after the first inservice inspection following SG replacement rather than after two consecutive inspections resulting in C–1 classification.

The Commission had previously issued a Notice of Consideration of Issuance of Amendment published in the **Federal Register** on February 17, 2004 (69 FR 7519). However, by letter dated August 6, 2004, the licensee withdrew the proposed change.

For further details with respect to this action, see the application for amendment dated December 8, 2004 and the licensee's letter dated August 6, 2004, which withdrew the application for license amendment. Documents may be examined, and/or copied for a fee, at the NRC's Public Document Room (PDR), located at One White Flint North, Public File Area O1 F21, 11555 Rockville Pike (first floor), Rockville, Maryland. Publicly available records will be accessible electronically from the Agencywide Documents Access and Management Systems (ADAMS) Public Electronic Reading Room on the internet at the NRC Web site, *http://www.nrc.gov/reading-rm/adams/html.* Persons who do not have access to ADAMS or who encounter problems in accessing the documents located in ADAMS, should contact the NRC PDR Reference staff by telephone at 1–800–397–4209, or 301–415–4737 or by e-mail to *pdr@nrc.gov.*

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 10th day of August 2004.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

Chandu P. Patel,

Project Manager, Section 2, Project Directorate II, Division of Licensing Project Management, Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation.

[FR Doc. 04–18732 Filed 8–16–04; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7590–01–P

NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

[Docket Nos. 50–413 AND 50–414]

Duke Energy Corporation; Concerning the Application for Irradiation of Mixed Oxide Lead Test Assemblies at Catawba Nuclear Station, Units 1 and 2; Environmental Assessment and Finding of No Significant Impact

The U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) is considering issuance of an amendment to the Facility Operating Licenses to permit the use of mixed oxide (MOX) lead test assemblies (LTAs) in one of the two Catawba units and is considering the granting of exemptions from (1) the requirements of Title 10 of the Code of Federal Regulations (10 CFR) Part 50.44(a), 10 CFR 50.46(a)(1) and 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix K with respect to the use of M5™ fuel rod cladding; (2) 10 CFR 50.46(a)(1) and Appendix K to Part 50 with respect to the use of MOX fuel; and (3) certain physical security requirements of 10 CFR Parts 11 and 73 that are usually required at fuel fabrication facilities for the protection of strategic quantities of special nuclear material. A similar request for an exemption from the requirements of 10 CFR Part 50.44(a) with respect to the use of M5™ fuel rod cladding is not being granted since 10 CFR Part 50.44 has been changed and an exemption from it is no longer necessary. The amended license and exemptions would apply to Renewed Facility Operating License Nos. NPF–35 and NPF–52, issued to Duke Energy Corporation (Duke, the licensee), for operation of the Catawba Nuclear Station, Units 1 and 2, (Catawba) located in York County, South Carolina. Therefore, pursuant to 10 CFR 51.21, the NRC is issuing this environmental assessment (EA) and finding of no significant impact (FONSI).

1.0 Introduction

The NRC staff has organized the discussion and evaluation to provide users with the context of the proposed action, supporting information that is available for tiering, the independent analyses performed, technical bases, and NRC conclusions. The following