By order of the Commission.

Donna R. Koehnke,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 00–22196 Filed 8–29–00; 8:45 am]

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigations Nos. 731-TA-96 and 439-445 (Review)]

Industrial Nitrocellulose From Brazil, China, France, Germany, Japan, Korea, the United Kingdom, and Yugoslavia

Determinations

On the basis of the record ¹ developed in the subject five-year reviews, the United States International Trade Commission determines, pursuant to section 751(c) of the Tariff Act of 1930 (19 U.S.C. 1675(c)) (the Act), that revocation of the antidumping duty orders on industrial nitrocellulose from Brazil,² China, France, Germany, Japan, Korea,3 and the United Kingdom would be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of material injury to an industry in the United States within a reasonably foreseeable time. The Commission further determines that revocation of the antidumping duty order on industrial nitrocellulose from Yugoslavia would not be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of material injury to an industry in the United States within a reasonably foreseeable time.

Background

The Commission instituted these reviews on June 1, 1999 (64 FR 29344) and determined on September 3, 1999 that it would conduct full reviews (64 FR 50107, September 15, 1999). Notice of the scheduling of the Commission's reviews and of a public hearing to be held in connection therewith was given by posting copies of the notice in the Office of the Secretary, U.S. International Trade Commission, Washington, DC, and by publishing the notice in the Federal Register on October 25, 1999 (64 FR 57483).4 The hearing was held in Washington, DC, on June 8, 2000, and all persons who requested the opportunity were

permitted to appear in person or by counsel.

The Commission transmitted its determinations in these investigations to the Secretary of Commerce on August 24, 2000. The views of the Commission are contained in USITC Publication 3342 (August 2000), entitled Industrial Nitrocellulose from Brazil, China, France, Germany, Japan, Korea, the United Kingdom, and Yugoslavia: Investigations Nos. 731–TA–96 and 439–445 (Review).

Issued: August 24, 2000.

By order of the Commission.

Donna R. Koehnke,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 00–22195 Filed 8–29–00; 8:45 am]

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigations Nos. 731-TA-474 and 475 (Review)]

Chrome-Plated Lug Nuts From China and Taiwan

AGENCY: International Trade Commission.

ACTION: Cancellation of the hearing for the subject reviews.

EFFECTIVE DATE: August 24, 2000.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Vera Libeau (202–205–3176), Office of Investigations, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW., Washington, DC 20436. Hearingimpaired persons can obtain information on this matter by contacting the Commission's TDD terminal on 202-205-1810. Persons with mobility impairments who will need special assistance in gaining access to the Commission should contact the Office of the Secretary at 202-205-2000. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its internet server (http:// www.usitc.gov).

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: On June 14, 2000 (65 FR 37408), the Commission published a notice in the Federal Register scheduling full five-year reviews concerning the antidumping duty orders on chrome-plated lug nuts from China and Taiwan. The schedule provided for a public hearing on August 31, 2000. Requests to appear at the hearing were due to be filed on or before August 18, 2000. No requests were received. Since there was no request by any party to appear at the public hearing, the Commission determined to cancel the hearing on chrome-plated lug

nuts from China and Taiwan. The Commission unanimously determined that no earlier announcement of this cancellation was possible.

For further information concerning these reviews, see the Commission's notice cited above and the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, part 201, subparts A through E (19 CFR part 201), and part 207, subparts A and F (19 CFR part 207).

Authority: These reviews are being conducted under authority of title VII of the Tariff Act of 1930; this notice is published pursuant to section 201.35 and 207.62 of the Commission's rules.

Issued: August 24, 2000.

By order of the Commission.

Donna R. Koehnke,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 00–22193 Filed 8–29–00; 8:45 am] **BILLING CODE 7020–02–U**

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Notice of Lodging of Consent Decree Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. 50.7

Notice is hereby given that on August 17, 2000, the United States lodged a proposed Consent Decree with the United States District Court for the Southern District of Texas, Houston Division, in United States v. Amoco Pipeline Company, Inc., Civ. A. No. H-00-2847. The proposed Consent Decree resolves civil claims of the United States under Section 311 of the Clean Water Act, 33 U.S.C. 1321, as amended by the Oil Pollution Act of 1990, against Amoco Pipeline Company, Inc. Under the proposed Consent Decree, Amoco agrees to pay a civil penalty of one million forty-three thousand dollars (\$1,043,000.00), and to reimburse the Oil Spill Liability Trust Fund seven thousand dollars (\$7,000.00) for EPA oversight costs. Amoco further agrees to install a spill alarm system at its Genoa Junction meter station in Houston, Texas at an approximate cost of thirty thousand dollars (\$30,000.00). As part of the settlement, Amoco also agrees to hold harmless the Oil Spill Liability Trust Fund against any third-party claims arising out of the November 10, 1997 spill of crude oil at its Genoa Junction metering station.

The Department of Justice will receive comments relating to the proposed Consent Decree for 30 days following publication of this Notice. Comments should be addressed to the Assistant Attorney General, Environment and Natural Resources Division, United States Department of Justice, P.O. Box 7611, Ben Franklin Station, Washington,

 $^{^1{\}rm The~record}$ is defined in § 207.2(f) of the Commission's rules of practice and procedure (19 CFR 207.2(f)).

 $^{^{\}rm 2}\,\rm Commissioner$ Thelma J. Askey dissenting.

³ Commissioner Thelma J. Askey dissenting. ⁴ The Commission subsequently revised its

⁴ The Commission subsequently revised its schedule, publishing its notice in the **Federal Register** on February 7, 2000 (65 FR 5889). The Commission later revised the schedule again, publishing the second revised notice on June 26, 2000 (65 FR 39426).