

the Internet at <http://www.nrc.gov/ACRSACNW>.

(g) Videoteleconferencing service is available for observing open sessions of ACRS meetings. Those wishing to use this service for observing ACRS meetings should contact Mr. Theron Brown, ACRS Audio Visual Technician, (301-415-8066) between 7:30 a.m. and 3:45 p.m. Eastern Time at least 10 days before the meeting to ensure the availability of this service. Individuals or organizations requesting this service will be responsible for telephone line charges and for providing the equipment and facilities that they use to establish the videoteleconferencing link. The availability of videoteleconferencing services is not guaranteed.

#### ACRS Subcommittee Meetings

ACRS Subcommittee meetings will also be conducted in accordance with the above procedures, as appropriate. When Subcommittee meetings are held at locations other than at NRC facilities, reproduction facilities may not be available at a reasonable cost. Accordingly, 25 additional copies of the materials to be used during the meeting should be provided for distribution at such meetings.

#### Special Provisions When Proprietary Sessions Are To Be Held

If it is necessary to hold closed sessions for the purpose of discussing matters involving proprietary information, persons with agreements permitting access to such information may attend those portions of the ACRS meetings where this material is being discussed upon confirmation that such agreements are effective and related to the material being discussed.

The Designated Federal Official should be informed of such an agreement at least five working days prior to the meeting so that it can be confirmed, and a determination can be made regarding the applicability of the agreement to the material that will be discussed during the meeting. The minimum information provided should include information regarding the date of the agreement, the scope of material included in the agreement, the project or projects involved, and the names and titles of the persons signing the agreement. Additional information may be requested to identify the specific agreement involved. A copy of the executed agreement should be provided to the Designated Federal Official prior to the beginning of the meeting for admittance to the closed session.

Dated: October 4, 2000.

**Andrew L. Bates,**  
*Advisory Committee Management Officer.*  
[FR Doc. 00-26007 Filed 10-10-00; 8:45 am]  
**BILLING CODE 7590-01-P**

### NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

#### Appointments to Recertification Performance Review Boards for the Senior Executive Service

**AGENCY:** Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

**ACTION:** Appointment to Recertification Performance Review Boards for the Senior Executive Service.

**SUMMARY:** The U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) has announced the following appointments to NRC Recertification Performance Review Boards.

The following individuals are appointed as members of the NRC Recertification Performance Review Board (PRB) responsible for making recommendations to the appointing and awarding authorities on recertification for Senior Executives:

#### Appointees

Patricia G. Norry, Deputy Executive Director for Management Services, Chair  
Ellis Merschoff, Regional Administrator, Region IV  
Stephen G. Burns, Deputy General Counsel

The following individuals are appointed as members of the NRC Recertification PRB Panel responsible for making recommendations to the appointing and awarding authorities on recertification of Recertification PRB members:

#### Appointees

Jesse L. Funches, Chief Financial Officer, Chair  
Frank Miraglia, Deputy Executive Director for Reactor Programs  
Dennis Rathbun, Director, Office of Congressional Affairs

All appointments are made pursuant to section 4314 of chapter 43 of title 5 of the United States Code.

**EFFECTIVE DATE:** October 11, 2000.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:**  
Carolyn J. Swanson, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555 (301) 415-7530.

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 29th day of September, 2000.

For the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

**Carolyn J. Swanson,**  
*Secretary, Executive Resources Board*  
[FR Doc. 00-26008 Filed 10-10-00; 8:45 am]  
**BILLING CODE 7590-01-P**

### PRESIDIO TRUST

#### The Presidio of San Francisco, California; Amendment of Notice of Intent and Extension of the Public Comment Period for the Presidio Trust Implementation Plan Supplemental Environmental Impact Statement

**AGENCY:** The Presidio Trust.

**ACTION:** Amendment of initial Notice of Intent to conduct public scoping and prepare a Supplemental Environmental Impact Statement and extension of scoping period.

**SUMMARY:** The Presidio Trust (Trust) is amending its Notice of Intent published on June 30, 2000 (65 FR 40707) to add a third public scoping meeting and make factual corrections. The Trust is also extending the previously announced scoping period from November 15, 2000 to December 8, 2000 to provide additional time for the public to make views known regarding the Presidio Trust Implementation Plan (PTIP) Environmental Impact Statement (EIS). The PTIP EIS is a supplement to the 1994 Final General Management Plan Amendment (GMPA) EIS for the Presidio.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** On June 30, 2000, the Trust announced its intention to prepare a Supplemental EIS for PTIP and to hold two public scoping meetings to determine the scope of impact topics and alternatives to be addressed in the Supplemental EIS (first NOI; 65 FR 40707-08). The first public workshop on July 12, 2000 addressed scoping issues and solicited public comment regarding the range of issues, alternatives, and specific impacts to be evaluated in the Supplemental EIS. A second workshop, not originally planned but widely announced through a variety of media and held on September 13, 2000, solicited comments on financial planning concepts that will help shape the alternatives to be evaluated in the EIS. A third workshop, previously planned for October 11, 2000 and now rescheduled for November 15, 2000 will present conceptual alternatives to be addressed in the EIS and visions for the Presidio's future. The extension of the scoping period until December 8, 2000 will enable the public to review and comment on the

alternatives prior to their being analyzed in the EIS.

In addition to schedule changes, the first NOI stated an intent to prepare an "amendment" to the 1994 GMPA. Due to the term "amendment" causing public confusion, the Trust is clarifying that the comprehensive plan to be prepared and analyzed through the Supplemental EIS will update the 1994 GMPA for Area B (the area of the Presidio under the Trust's jurisdiction) and be adopted by the Trust Board of Directors as the governing comprehensive plan for Area B. It will retain many elements of the 1994 GMPA, but will update others that have been affected by changes since the GMPA was finalized. The GMPA will remain the governing plan for Area A of the Presidio under the jurisdiction of the U.S. Department of the Interior, National Park Service (NPS).

**PUBLIC MEETING:** The Trust will solicit public comments on the conceptual alternatives proposed for analysis in the EIS and on visions for Area B of the Presidio at the third of three public scoping workshops on Wednesday, November 15, 2000 from 6 to 9 p.m. at the Log Cabin (Building 1299), Fort Scott at the Presidio.

**ADDRESSES:** Written comments concerning the content of the plan and the scope of the Supplemental EIS should be sent by December 8, 2000 to John Pelka, NEPA Compliance Coordinator, The Presidio Trust, 34 Graham Street, P.O. Box 29052, San Francisco, CA 94129-0052. Fax: 415-561-5315. E-mail: ptp@presidiotrust.gov.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION:** Contact: John Pelka, NEPA Compliance Coordinator, The Presidio Trust, 34 Graham Street, P.O. Box 29052, San Francisco, CA 94129-0052. Telephone: 415-561-5300.

**Reference:** 40 CFR 1508.22.

Dated: October 4, 2000.

**Karen A. Cook,**  
*General Counsel.*

[FR Doc. 00-26004 Filed 10-10-00; 8:45 am]  
**BILLING CODE 4310-4R-P**

## PRESIDIO TRUST

### Notice of Receipt of and Availability for Public Comment on an Application for Wireless Telecommunications Facilities Site; The Presidio of San Francisco, California.

**AGENCY:** The Presidio Trust.

**ACTION:** Public notice.

**SUMMARY:** This notice announces the Presidio Trust's receipt of and

availability for public comment on an application from Bay Area Cellular Telephone Company, Sprint PCS, for colocation at an existing wireless telecommunications facilities site ("the project") in the Presidio of San Francisco. The proposed location of the project is in the parking area located directly below the Doyle Drive overpass in the vicinity of the intersection of Halleck and Vallejo Streets.

The project involves (i) replacing a single existing utility pole (installed by Cellular One) with a taller, slightly broader pole to accommodate three additional antenna panels, and (ii) placing the associated radio equipment within a nearby building. The utility pole will be approximately 65 feet tall, 15 feet taller than the existing Cellular One pole. Power for the project will be provided through underground coaxial cables connected to existing power sources. Connection to telephone lines will be through existing telephone lines.

**COMMENTS:** Comments on the proposed project must be sent to Devon Danz, Presidio Trust, 34 Graham Street, P.O. Box 29052, San Francisco, CA 94129-0052, and be received by November 13, 2000. A copy of Sprint PCS's application is available upon request to the Presidio Trust.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Devon Danz, Presidio Trust, 34 Graham Street, P.O. Box 29052, San Francisco, CA 94129-0052. Email: ddanz@presidiotrust.gov. Telephone: 415-561-5300.

Dated: October 4, 2000.

**Karen A. Cook,**  
*General Counsel.*

[FR Doc. 00-26005 Filed 10-10-00; 8:45 am]  
**BILLING CODE 4310-4R-U**

## SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

### Submission for OMB Review; Comment Request

*Upon Written Request, Copies Available From:* Securities and Exchange Commission, Office of Filings and Information Services, Washington, DC 20549.

*Extension:* Rule 17f-6; SEC File No. 270-392; OMB Control No. 3235-0447.

Notice is hereby given that, under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. 3501 et seq.), the Securities and Exchange Commission (the "Commission") has submitted to the Office of Management and Budget a request for extension of the previously approved collection of information discussed below.

Rule 17f-6 under the Investment Company Act of 1940 [17 CFR 270.17f-6] permits registered investment companies ("funds") to maintain assets (*i.e.*, margin) with futures commission merchants ("FCMs") in connection with commodity transactions effected on both domestic and foreign exchanges. Before the rule was adopted, funds generally were required to maintain such assets in special accounts with a custodian bank.<sup>1</sup>

Rule 17f-6 permits funds to maintain their assets with FCMs that are registered under the Commodity Exchange Act ("CEA") and that are not affiliated with the fund. The rule requires that a written contract containing the following provisions govern the manner in which the FCM maintains a fund's assets:

- The FCM must comply with the segregation requirements of section 4d(2) of the CEA [7 U.S.C. 6d(2)] and the rules under that statute [17 CFR Chapter I] or, if applicable, the secured amount requirements of rule 30.7 under the CEA [17 CFR 30.7];

- If the FCM places the fund's margin with another entity for clearing purposes the FCM must obtain an acknowledgement from the clearing organization that the fund's assets are held on behalf of the FCM's customers in accordance with provisions under the CEA; and

- Upon request the FCM must furnish records about the fund's assets to the Commission or its staff.

The rule requires a written contract that contains certain provisions to ensure important safeguards and other benefits relating to the custody of fund assets by FCMs. For example, the requirement that FCMs comply with the segregation or secured amount requirements of the CEA and the rules under the statute is designed to protect fund assets held by FCMs. The contract requirement that an FCM obtain an acknowledgement from an entity that clears fund transactions that the fund's assets are held on behalf of the FCM's customers according to CEA provisions seeks to accommodate the legitimate needs of the participants in the commodity settlement process, consistent with the protection of fund assets. Finally, FCMs are required to furnish to the Commission or its staff on request information concerning the fund's assets in order to facilitate Commission inspections of funds.

<sup>1</sup> See Custody of Investment Company Assets With Futures Commission Merchants and Commodity Clearing Organizations, Investment Company Act Release No. 22389 (Dec. 11, 1996) [61 FR 66207 (Dec. 17, 1996)].