

Standards up for Adoption or Consideration:

• *Guidelines for Preclearance Programs:* This standard revises the current standard to include, among other things, procedures for phasing out preclearance programs. The United States intends to support adoption of the draft standard at the NAPPO meeting in October.

Updates on NAPPO Panel Activities

Working panels will report their progress to the Executive Committee. Information on panel assignments, updates on activities, and updates on meeting times and locations can be obtained from the NAPPO home page at <http://www.nappon.org> or by contacting Nancy Klag, Coordinator for International Phytosanitary Standards, PPQ, APHIS, USDA, 4700 River Road, Unit 140, Riverdale, MD 20737; e-mail: narcy.g.klag@usda.gov.

The following is a summary of current panel charges as they relate to the ongoing development of standards:

Accreditation Panel (Laboratory Accreditation)

• Develop a form for commodity-specific panels to use to develop an inventory of laboratory tests, analyses, and identification done in support of plant health regulatory activities.

Accreditation Panel (Inspector Accreditation)

• Work towards implementing the conditions of this standard by April 1, 2001. Written progress report to be given to the Executive Committee at its meeting on October 15, 2000.

Biological Control Panel

• Finalize the standard, "Guidelines for Petition for Import and Release of Nonnative Entomophagous Agents for the Biological Control of Pests." This has gone through the country consultation phase, and comments are now being reviewed and incorporated where appropriate. A procedure to conduct post-release monitoring for biological control agents is also being developed.

Biotechnology Panel

• Develop a NAPPO standard for the review of products of biotechnology that focuses on the assessment of the potential to present a plant pest risk.

Citrus Panel

• Continue development of a NAPPO citrus standard.

Forestry Panel

• Track the implementation of the standard on wood packing material within the three countries so that implementation takes places at or near the same time.

Fruit Fly Panel

• Complete the standard on "Verification and Maintenance of Fruit Fly-Free Areas."

Fruit Tree Panel

• Develop standardized diagnostic tests to be used when certifying fruit tree nursery stock.

Grain Panel

• Develop standardized diagnostic tests to be used when certifying grain shipments.

Grapevine Panel

• Develop standardized diagnostic tests to be used when certifying grapevine shipments.

Pest Risk Analysis Panel

• Act as focal point to advise on the revisions to the international standard for PRA. Collaborate with the Potato Panel in the development of a PRA to support implementation of the NAPPO standard, "Requirements for the Importation of Potatoes into a NAPPO Member Country."

Seeds Panel

• Prepare a proposal to the IPPC to endorse the seed testing methods of the International Seed Testing Association (ISTA).

Standards Panel

• Provide updates on standards in the NAPPO newsletter.
• Review standards presented to the Executive Committee prior to country consultation.
• Incorporate comments received from country consultation if appropriate.
• Coordinate responses to the North American members on the IPPC Standards Committee on draft international standards.

The information in this notice includes all the information available to us on NAPPO standards currently under development or consideration. For updates on meeting times and for information on the working panels that becomes available following publication of this notice, check the NAPPO web page at <http://www.nappon.org> or contact Nancy Klag, Coordinator for International Phytosanitary Standards, PPQ, APHIS, USDA, 4700 River Road, Unit 140, Riverdale, MD 20737; e-mail:

narcy.g.klag@usda.gov. For information on official U.S. participation in NAPPO activities, including U.S. positions on standards being considered, contact Mr. Nancy Klag at the above address.

Done in Washington, DC, this 21st day of September 2000.

Chester A. Gipson,

Acting Administrator, Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service.

[FR Doc. 00-24841 Filed 9-26-00; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3410-34-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Submission for OMB Review; Comment Request;

The Department of Commerce (DOC) has submitted to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for clearance the following proposal for collection of information under provisions of the Paperwork Reduction Act (44 U.S.C. Chapter 35).

Agency: Bureau of Export Administration (BXA).

Title: Defense Priorities and Allocation System.

Agency Form Number: N/A.

OMB Approval Number: 0694-0053.

Type of Request: Renewal of an existing collection of information.

Burden: 14,477 hours.

Average Time Per Response: 14 seconds per response.

Number of Respondents: 707,000 respondents.

Needs and Uses: The record keeping requirement is necessary for administration and enforcement of delegated authority under the Defense Production Act of 1950, as amended (50 U.S.C. App. 2061, *et seq.*) and the Selective Service Act of 1948 (50 U.S.C. App. 468). Any person who receives a priority rated order under the implementing DPAS regulation (15 CFR 700) must retain records for at least 3 years.

Affected Public: Individuals, businesses or other for-profit institutions.

Respondent's Obligation: Mandatory.

OMB Desk Officer: David Rostker.

Copies of the above information collection proposal can be obtained by calling or writing Madeleine Clayton, Departmental Forms Clearance Officer, Office of the Chief Information Officer, (202) 482-3129, Department of Commerce, Room 6086, 14th and Constitution Avenue, NW, Washington, D.C. 20230, or via Internet at MClayton@doc.gov.

Written comments and recommendations for the proposed information collection should be sent

within 30 days of publication of this notice to David Rostker, OMB Desk Officer, Room 10202, New Executive Office Building, Washington, D.C. 20230.

Dated: September 22, 2000.

Madeleine Clayton,

Departmental Forms Clearance Officer, Office of the Chief Information Officer.

[FR Doc. 00-24847 Filed 9-26-00; 8:45 a.m.]

BILLING CODE 3510-JT-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Foreign-Trade Zones Board

[Docket 54-2000]

Foreign-Trade Zone 8—Toledo, Ohio Area Application for Expansion

An application has been submitted to the Foreign-Trade Zones (FTZ) Board (the Board) by the Toledo-Lucas County Port Authority, grantee of Foreign-Trade Zone 8, requesting authority to expand its zone in the Toledo, Ohio area, within the Toledo/Sandusky Customs port of entry. The application was submitted pursuant to the provisions of the Foreign-Trade Zones Act, as amended (19 U.S.C. 81a-81u), and the regulations of the Board (15 CFR part 400). It was formally filed on September 19, 2000.

FTZ 8 was approved on October 11, 1960 (Board Order 51, 25 FR 9909, 10/15/60) and expanded on January 22, 1973 (Board Order 92, 38 FR 3015, 1/31/73); January 11, 1985 (Board Order 277, 50 FR 2702, 1/18/85); August 19, 1991 (Board Order 532, 56 FR 42026, 8/26/91); and June 12, 2000 (Board Order 1102, 65 FR 37960, 6/19/00). The general-purpose zone currently consists of 3 sites (497 acres) in the Toledo area: *Site 1* (150 acres)—within the Port of Toledo complex at the Overseas Cargo Center, Toledo; *Site 2* (337 acres)—at the Toledo Express Airport, in Swanton, Ohio, some 5 miles west of Toledo; and, *Site 3* (10 acres)—at the First Choice Packaging warehouse facility, 1501 West State Street, Fremont.

The applicant is now requesting authority to expand the general-purpose zone to include an additional site: *Proposed Site 4* (471 acres)—Cedar Point Development Park and adjacent areas, east of Lallendorf Road, south of Cedar Point Road and west of Wynn Road, Oregon. The site is owned primarily by the City of Oregon, the Oregon on the Bay Economic Development Foundation and several private owners. No specific manufacturing requests are being made at this time. Such requests would be made to the Board on a case-by-case basis.

In accordance with the Board's regulations, a member of the FTZ Staff has been designated examiner to investigate the application and report to the Board.

Public comment on the application is invited from interested parties. Submissions (original and 3 copies) shall be addressed to the Board's Executive Secretary at the address below. The closing period for their receipt is November 27, 2000. Rebuttal comments in response to material submitted during the foregoing period may be submitted during the subsequent 15-day period (to December 11, 2000).

A copy of the application and accompanying exhibits will be available for public inspection at each of the following locations: U.S. Department of Commerce, Export Assistance Center, 300 Madison Avenue, Suite 270, Toledo, OH 43604; Office of the Executive Secretary, Foreign-Trade Zones Board, Room 4008, U.S. Department of Commerce 14th & Pennsylvania Avenue, NW, Washington, DC 20230.

Dated: September 20, 2000.

Dennis Puccinelli,

Executive Secretary.

[FR Doc. 00-24849 Filed 9-26-00; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-U

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A-428-814, A-428-815]

Amended Final Determinations of Sales at Less Than Fair Value: Certain Cold-Rolled and Corrosion Resistant Carbon Steel Flat Products From Germany

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

ACTION: Amendment to final determinations of antidumping duty investigations in accordance with decision upon remand.

SUMMARY: We are amending the "all others" cash deposit rate to 21.66% ad valorem for certain cold-rolled carbon steel flat products from Germany and 10.02% ad valorem for corrosion resistant carbon steel flat products from Germany.

EFFECTIVE DATE: September 27, 2000.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Cynthia Thirumalai, Office 1, Group 1, AD/CVD Enforcement, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution

Avenue, NW, Washington DC 20230; telephone (202) 482-4087.

The Applicable Statute and Regulations

Unless otherwise indicated, all citations to the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act), are references to the provisions in effect as of December 31, 1994. In addition, unless otherwise indicated, all citations to the Department of Commerce's (the Department's) regulations refer to 19 CFR part 353 (April 1997).

Background

On April 27, 1995, the United States Court of International Trade (CIT) remanded to the Department the amended final determinations in the antidumping duty investigations of certain cold-rolled and corrosion resistant carbon steel flat products from Germany. *See Thyssen Stahl AG v. United States*, 886 F. Supp. 23 (CIT 1995) (see also Notice of Final Determination of Sales at Less Than Fair Value; Certain Hot-Rolled Carbon Steel Flat Products, Certain Cold-Rolled Carbon Steel Flat Products, Certain Corrosion-Resistant Carbon Steel Flat Products and Certain Cut-to-Length Carbon Steel Flat Products From Germany, 58 FR 37136 (July 9, 1993), as amended, 58 FR 44170 (August 19, 1993)). In its remand, the CIT instructed the Department to recalculate the dumping margins for Thyssen Stahl AG (Thyssen) by 1) disallowing the adjustment for currency hedging gains on U.S. sales, and 2) multiplying the rate of the German value-added tax (VAT) by the U.S. price and then increasing that price by the resultant amount (while not subtracting the VAT from the home market price). On June 27, 1995, the Department filed its results of redetermination pursuant to the CIT's order.

Following the Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit's (CAFC's) decision with respect to the VAT methodology in *Federal Mogul Corp. v. United States*, 63 F.3d 1572 (Fed.Cir. 1995) (*Federal Mogul*), the Department requested a second remand in these cases to conform the VAT methodology in the above-referenced investigations to that resulting from *Federal Mogul*. The CIT granted the Department's request for a second remand in Slip Op. 95-183 (November 17, 1995). Pursuant to *Federal Mogul*, the Department changed its treatment of home market consumption taxes by adding to U.S. price the absolute amount of such taxes incurred on the comparison home market sales. On January 22, 1996, the Department filed its revised final remand results.