Data by Countries of Concern or Covered Persons, [DOJ Docket No. NSD– 104, RIN 1124–AA01], published in today's proposed rule section of the **Federal Register** for public comment.

- 1. Are the proposed security requirements sufficiently robust to mitigate the risks of access to Americans' bulk sensitive personal data or government-related data by countries of concern?
- 2. Are the proposed organizationaland system-level requirements sufficient to provide U.S. persons engaging in restricted transactions confidence that logical and physical access to covered data is sufficiently managed to deny access to covered persons or countries of concern?
- 3. Do the security requirements provide sufficient flexibility, clarity, and specificity for the types of restricted transactions typically engaged in by U.S. entities, including to avoid overly burdening commercial activity not involving covered data while providing sufficient level of detail to aid in compliance verification?
- 4. Are there other data-level requirements (beyond those listed in Section II of the proposed security requirements) that CISA should consider that would enable U.S. entities to engage in commercial transactions without revealing covered data to covered persons or countries of concern?
- 5. The current approach allows for flexibility to determine which data-level requirements are sufficient to fully and effectively prevent access to covered data by covered persons and/or countries of concern. Are there data-level requirements that CISA should consider requiring in all cases?
- 6. What additional interpretive guidance would be helpful to U.S. entities in determining which data-level requirements should be applied based on the nature of the transaction and the data at issue?
- 7. What substantive requirements should CISA consider in Section II.C. to further define appropriate privacy-enhancing technologies that may be used within restricted transactions?
- 8. Should the standards for data aggregation in Section II.A differ from the proposed definition of bulk in the DOJ regulations? If so, are there requirements CISA should impose for U.S. persons engaged in restricted transactions to ensure that covered data is not re-constructable through aggregation while permitting more granular thresholds?
- 9. Are there additional substantive standards that should be added to the data-level requirements in Section II to

better ensure their implementation can achieve the policy goal of not permitting access to covered data by covered persons or countries of concern?

- 10. To what extent could the measures described currently be reversed, broken, or circumvented by a technologically sophisticated actor? Are there additional conditions that would better or more appropriately mitigate this risk? If so, please describe them in detail.
- 11. To what extent could the measures described be rendered reversible, breakable, or able to be circumvented by anticipated future technology advances? What type of future technology advances would pose the greatest risk to these types of protective measures?
- 12. Would it be useful to the entities likely to undertake restricted transactions if CISA mapped these requirements to ISO–27001 or example controls from NIST Special Publication 800–171 (e.g., to facilitate compliance audits)?

## Jennie M. Easterly,

Director, Cybersecurity and Infrastructure Security Agency, Department of Homeland Security.

[FR Doc. 2024–24709 Filed 10–22–24; 4:15 pm]  ${\tt BILLING}$  CODE 9111–1LF–P

# **DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR**

## Fish and Wildlife Service

[FWS-HQ-MB-2024-N056; FXMB1231099BPP0-256-FF09M22000; OMB Control Number 1018-0067]

Agency Information Collection Activities; Submission to the Office of Management and Budget; Approval Procedures for Nontoxic Shot and Shot Coatings

**AGENCY:** Fish and Wildlife Service, Interior.

**ACTION:** Notice of information collection; request for comment.

**SUMMARY:** In accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, we, the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (Service), are proposing to renew an information collection without change.

**DATES:** Interested persons are invited to submit comments on or before November 29, 2024.

ADDRESSES: Written comments and recommendations for the proposed information collection should be submitted within 30 days of publication of this notice at https://www.reginfo.gov/public/do/PRAMain. Find this particular information

collection by selecting "Currently under Review—Open for Public Comments" or by using the search function. Please provide a copy of your comments to the Service Information Collection Clearance Officer, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, MS: PRB (JAO/3W), 5275 Leesburg Pike, Falls Church, VA 22041–3803 (mail); or by email to Info\_Coll@fws.gov. Please reference "1018–0067" in the subject line of your comments.

# FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Madonna L. Baucum, Service Information Collection Clearance Officer, by email at Info\_Coll@fws.gov, or by telephone at (703) 358–2503. Individuals in the United States who are deaf, deafblind, hard of hearing, or have a speech disability may dial 711 (TTY, TDD, or TeleBraille) to access telecommunications relay services. Individuals outside the United States should use the relay services offered within their country to make international calls to the point-of-contact in the United States.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: In accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act (PRA; 44 U.S.C. 3501 et seq.) and its implementing regulations at 5 CFR 1320, all information collections require approval under the PRA. We may not conduct or sponsor and you are not required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a currently valid OMB control number.

As part of our continuing effort to reduce paperwork and respondent burdens, we invite the public and other Federal agencies to comment on new, proposed, revised, and continuing collections of information. This helps us assess the impact of our information collection requirements and minimize the public's reporting burden. It also helps the public understand our information collection requirements and provide the requested data in the desired format.

On August 7, 2024, we published in the Federal Register (89 FR 64476) a notice of our intent to request that OMB renew this information collection. In that notice, we solicited comments for 60 days, ending on October 7, 2024. In a continued effort to increase public awareness of, and participation in, our public commenting processes associated with information collection requests, the Service also published the Federal Register notice on Regulations.gov (Docket No. FWS-HQ-MB-2024-0093) to provide the public with an additional method to submit comments (in addition to the typical U.S. mail submission method). We received an

anonymous comment in response to that notice which did not address the information collection requirements; therefore, no response is required.

We are especially interested in public comment addressing the following:

(1) Whether or not the collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether or not the information will have practical utility;

(2) The accuracy of our estimate of the burden for this collection of information, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used;

(3) Ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and

(4) How might the agency minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, including through the use of appropriate automated, electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology, e.g., permitting electronic submission of response.

Comments that you submit in response to this notice are a matter of public record. We will include or summarize each comment in our request to OMB to approve this ICR. Before including your address, phone number, email address, or other personal identifying information in your comment, you should be aware that your entire comment—including your personal identifying information—may be made publicly available at any time. While you can ask us in your comment to withhold your personal identifying information from public review, we cannot guarantee that we will be able to do so.

Abstract: The Migratory Bird Treaty Act (MBTA; 16 U.S.C. 703 et seq.) prohibits the unauthorized take of migratory birds and authorizes the Secretary of the Interior to regulate take of migratory birds in the United States. Under this authority, we control the hunting of migratory game birds through regulations in 50 CFR part 20. On January 1, 1991, we banned lead shot for hunting waterfowl and coots in the United States.

This is a non-form collection. Regulations at 50 CFR 20.134 outline the application and approval process for new types of nontoxic shot. When considering approval of a candidate material as nontoxic, we must ensure that it is not hazardous in the environment and that secondary exposure (ingestion of spent shot or its components) is not a hazard to migratory birds. To make that decision, we require each applicant to provide information about the solubility and

toxicity of the candidate material. Additionally, for law enforcement purposes, a noninvasive field detection device must be available to distinguish candidate shot from lead shot. This information constitutes the bulk of an application for approval of nontoxic shot. The Director uses the data in the application to decide whether to approve a material as nontoxic.

Title of Collection: Approval Procedures for Nontoxic Shot and Shot Coatings (50 CFR 20.134).

*OMB Control Number:* 1018–0067. *Form Number:* None.

Type of Review: Extension of a currently approved collection.

Respondents/Affected Public: Businesses that produce and/or market approved nontoxic shot types or nontoxic shot coatings.

Total Estimated Number of Annual Respondents: 1.

Total Estimated Number of Annual Responses: 1.

Estimated Completion Time per Response: 3,200 hours.

Total Estimated Number of Annual Burden Hours: 3,200 hours.

Respondent's Obligation: Required to obtain or retain a benefit.

Frequency of Collection: On occasion. Total Estimated Annual Nonhour Burden Cost: \$26,630 (\$1,630 application processing fee and \$25,000 for solubility testing).

An agency may not conduct or sponsor and a person is not required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a currently valid OMB control number.

The authority for this action is the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. 3501 *et seq.*).

#### Madonna Baucum,

Information Collection Clearance Officer, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service.

[FR Doc. 2024–25112 Filed 10–28–24; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4333–15–P

## **DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR**

# Fish and Wildlife Service

[FWS-R5-ES-2024-0039; FXES11140500000-245-FF05E00000]

Proposed Multi-Bat Species General Conservation Plan for Routine Development Projects in New York, Pennsylvania, and West Virginia; Draft Environmental Assessment

**AGENCY:** Fish and Wildlife Service, Interior.

**ACTION:** Notice of availability; request for comments.

**SUMMARY:** We, the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (Service), announce the

availability for public comment of a proposed general conservation plan (GCP), as well as an associated draft environmental assessment, for routine development projects in New York, Pennsylvania, and West Virginia that impact the federally listed Indiana bat (Myotis sodalis) and/or northern longeared bat (Myotis septentrionalis), and/ or the proposed to be listed tricolored bat (Perimyotis subflavus). We invite comments from the public and local, State, Tribal, and Federal agencies. **DATES:** We will accept comments received or postmarked on or before November 29, 2024. Comments submitted online at https:// www.regulations.gov (see ADDRESSES) must be received by 11:59 p.m. eastern time on November 29, 2024.

# ADDRESSES:

Obtaining documents: The documents this notice announces, as well as any comments and other materials that we receive, will be available for public inspection online in Docket No. FWS–R5–ES–2024–0039 at https://www.regulations.gov.

Submitting comments: If you wish to submit comments on any of the documents, you may do so in writing by one of the following methods:

• Online: https:// www.regulations.gov. Follow the instructions for submitting comments on Docket No. FWS-R5-ES-2024-0039.

• *U.S. Mail:* Public Comments Processing; Attn: Docket No. FWS–R5– ES–2024–0039; U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service Headquarters, MS: PRB/3W; 5275 Leesburg Pike, Falls Church, VA 22041–3803.

We will post all comments on https://www.regulations.gov. This generally means that we will post any personal information you provide us (see Public Availability of Comments under SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION for more information).

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Eric Tattersall, by telephone at 413–253–8630. Individuals in the United States who are deaf, deafblind, hard of hearing, or have a speech disability may dial 711 (TTY, TDD, or TeleBraille) to access telecommunications relay services. Individuals outside the United States should use the relay services offered within their country to make international calls to the point-of-contact in the United States.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** We, the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (Service), announce the availability of a proposed general conservation plan (GCP), as well as an associated draft environmental assessment (EA), for routine development projects in New York,