

III. Solicitation of Comments

Interested persons are invited to submit written data, views, and arguments concerning the foregoing, including whether the proposed rule change, as amended, is consistent with the Act. Comments may be submitted by any of the following methods:

Electronic Comments

- Use the Commission's Internet comment form (<http://www.sec.gov/rules/sro.shtml>); or
- Send an e-mail to rule-comments@sec.gov. Please include File Number SR-ISE-2005-21 on the subject line.

Paper Comments

- Send paper comments in triplicate to Jonathan G. Katz, Secretary, Securities and Exchange Commission, Station Place, 100 F Street, NE., Washington, DC 20549-9303.

All submissions should refer to File Number SR-ISE-2005-21. This file number should be included on the subject line if e-mail is used. To help the Commission process and review your comments more efficiently, please use only one method. The Commission will post all comments on the Commission's Internet Web site (<http://www.sec.gov/rules/sro.shtml>). Copies of the submission, all subsequent amendments, all written statements with respect to the proposed rule change that are filed with the Commission, and all written communications relating to the proposed rule change between the Commission and any person, other than those that may be withheld from the public in accordance with the provisions of 5 U.S.C. 552, will be available for inspection and copying in the Commission's Public Reference Room. Copies of such filing will also be available for inspection and copying at the principal office of ISE. All comments received will be posted without change; the Commission does not edit personal identifying information from submissions. You should submit only information that you wish to make available publicly. All submissions should refer to File Number SR-ISE-2005-21 and should be submitted on or before August 4, 2005.

IV. Commission's Findings and Order Granting Accelerated Approval of Proposed Rule Change

The Commission finds that the proposed rule change, as amended, is consistent with the requirements of the Act and the rules and regulations thereunder applicable to a national

securities exchange.⁸ In particular, the Commission believes that the proposal is consistent with Section 6(b)(5) of the Act,⁹ which requires that the rules of an exchange be designed to promote just and equitable principles of trade, to remove impediments and to perfect the mechanism of a free and open market and a national market system, and, in general, to protect investors and the public interest. The Commission also believes that the proposal is consistent with Sections 6(b)(1) and 6(b)(6) of the Act¹⁰ which require that the rules of an exchange enforce compliance with, and provide appropriate discipline for, violations of Commission and Exchange rules. In addition, because the existing ISE Rule 1614(c) offers procedural rights to a person fined under the ISE Rule 1614, the Commission believes ISE Rule 1614, as amended by this proposal, provides a fair procedure for the disciplining of members and persons associated with members, consistent with Sections 6(b)(7) and 6(d)(1) of the Act.¹¹

Finally, the Commission finds that the proposal, as amended, is consistent with the public interest, the protection of investors, or otherwise in furtherance of the purposes of the Act, as required by Rule 19d-1(c)(2) under the Act¹² which governs minor rule violation plans. The Commission believes that the change to ISE Rule 1614 would strengthen its ability to carry out its oversight and enforcement responsibilities as a self-regulatory organization in cases where full disciplinary proceedings are unsuitable in view of the minor nature of the particular violation. The Commission also notes that ISE's proposal is similar to a proposal by the Chicago Board Options Exchange ("CBOE") that was previously approved by the Commission.¹³

In approving this proposed rule change, the Commission in no way minimizes the importance of compliance with Exchange rules and all other rules subject to the imposition of fines under ISE Rule 1614. The Commission believes that the violation of any self-regulatory organization's rules, as well as Commission rules, is a serious matter. However, ISE Rule 1614 provides a reasonable means of

addressing rule violations that do not rise to the level of requiring formal disciplinary proceedings, while providing greater flexibility in handling certain violations. The Commission expects that ISE will continue to conduct surveillance with due diligence and make a determination based on its findings, on a case-by-case basis, whether a fine of more or less than the recommended amount is appropriate under ISE Rule 1614 or whether a violation requires formal disciplinary action.

The Commission finds good cause, pursuant to Section 19(b)(2) of the Act,¹⁴ for approving the proposed rule change, as amended, prior to the thirtieth day after the date of publication of the notice of the filing thereof in the **Federal Register**. Because the Commission recently approved a substantively similar proposal by CBOE after a full notice-and-comment period and this proposal does not raise any new regulatory issues, the Commission believes that accelerated approval is appropriate.

V. Conclusion

It is therefore ordered, pursuant to Section 19(b)(2) of the Act¹⁵ and Rule 19d-1(c)(2) thereunder,¹⁶ that the proposed rule change, as amended, (SR-ISE-2005-21) be, and hereby is, approved and declared effective.

For the Commission, by the Division of Market Regulation, pursuant to delegated authority.¹⁷

J. Lynn Taylor,

Assistant Secretary.

[FR Doc. E5-3747 Filed 7-13-05; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 8010-01-P

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

[Release No. 34-51994; File No. SR-NASD-2004-025]

Self-Regulatory Organizations; National Association of Securities Dealers, Inc.; Notice of Filing of Proposed Rule Change and Amendments No. 1 and 2 Thereto To Amend NASD's Minor Rule Violation Plan

July 7, 2005.

Pursuant to Section 19(b)(1) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 ("Act")¹ and Rule 19b-4 thereunder,²

¹⁴ 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(2).

¹⁵ 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(2).

¹⁶ 17 CFR 240.19d-1(c)(2).

¹⁷ 17 CFR 200.30-3(a)(12).

¹ 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(1).

² 17 CFR 240.19b-4.

⁸ In approving this proposed rule change, the Commission notes that it has considered the proposed rule's impact on efficiency, competition, and capital formation. See 15 U.S.C. 78c(f).

⁹ 15 U.S.C. 78f(b)(5).

¹⁰ 15 U.S.C. 78f(b)(1) and 78f(b)(6).

¹¹ 15 U.S.C. 78f(b)(7) and 78f(d)(1).

¹² 17 CFR 240.19d-1(c)(2).

¹³ See Securities Exchange Act Release No. 47959 (May 30, 2003), 68 FR 34441 (June 9, 2003) (SR-CBOE-2002-05).

notice is hereby given that on February 10, 2004, the National Association of Securities Dealers, Inc. ("NASD") filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission ("Commission") the proposed rule change as described in Items I, II, and III below, which Items have been prepared by NASD. On March 17, 2005, NASD filed Amendment No. 1 to the proposed rule change.³ On June 27, 2005, NASD filed Amendment No. 2 to the proposed rule change.⁴ The Commission is publishing this notice to solicit comments on the proposed rule change, as amended, from interested persons.

I. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Terms of Substance of the Proposed Rule Change

NASD is proposing to amend NASD Interpretative Material 9216 ("IM-9216") ("Violations Appropriate for Disposition Under the Plan Pursuant to SEC Rule 19d-1(c)(2)") to expand the list of violations eligible for disposition under NASD's Minor Rule Violation Plan ("MRVP"). The text of the rule change is available on NASD's Web site (<http://www.nasd.com>), at NASD's principal office, and at the Commission's Public Reference Room.

II. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

In its filing with the Commission, NASD included statements concerning the purpose of, and basis for, the proposed rule change, as amended, and discussed any comments it received on the proposal. The text of these statements may be examined at the places specified in Item IV below. NASD has prepared summaries, set forth in Sections A, B, and C below, of the most significant aspects of such statements.

A. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

1. Purpose

Background

In 1984, the Commission adopted amendments to Rule 19d-1(c) under the Act⁵ that allow self-regulatory organizations to adopt, with Commission approval, plans for the

disposition of minor violations of rules.⁶ In 1993, pursuant to Rule 19d-1(c), NASD established its MRVP.⁷ In 2001, the Commission approved significant amendments to NASD's MRVP.⁸ In addition, in 2004, the Commission approved an amendment to NASD's MRVP to include failure to timely submit amendments to the Form U5 ("Uniform Termination Notice for Securities Industry Registration").⁹

NASD Rule 9216(b) authorizes NASD to impose a fine of \$2,500 or less on any member or associated person of a member for a violation of any of the rules specified in NASD IM-9216. NASD staff reviews the number and seriousness of the violations, as well as the previous disciplinary history of the respondent, to determine if a matter is appropriate for disposition under the MRVP and to determine the amount of the fine. Once NASD has brought an MRVP action against an individual or member firm, NASD may, at its discretion, issue progressively higher fines for all subsequent minor rule violations within the next 24-month period or initiate more formal disciplinary proceedings.

NASD states that the purpose of the MRVP is to provide a meaningful sanction for the minor or technical violation of a rule when the initiation of a disciplinary proceeding through the formal complaint process would be more costly and time-consuming than would be warranted. NASD further states that the inclusion of a rule in NASD's MRVP does not mean that it is unimportant; rather, a minor or technical violation of the rule may be appropriate for disposition under the MRVP. Moreover, NASD states that it retains the discretion to bring full disciplinary proceedings if violations of such rule occur.

Discussion

NASD proposes to amend its MRVP to make the following changes:

- Transaction Reporting and Audit Trail Requirements in Equity and Debt Securities.

NASD proposes to combine in one entry all of the rule violations eligible for disposition under the MRVP that relate to transaction reporting and audit

trail requirements in equity and debt securities. As proposed, this entry would include violations of transaction reporting and audit trail requirements related to (1) the Nasdaq Market Center; (2) NASD's Trade Reporting and Comparison Service ("TRACS");¹⁰ and (3) Trade Reporting and Compliance Engine ("TRACE").

To effectuate this, NASD proposes to eliminate the separate minor rule violation pertaining to NASD Rules 6130 and NASD 6170 (transaction reporting to the Automated Confirmation Transaction Service) and add those rules to this consolidated entry. NASD further proposes to add to the MRVP, and this consolidated entry, violations of NASD Rules 4632A, 5430, 6130A, and 6170A, which relate to TRACS requirements.¹¹ Currently, NASD's MRVP includes transaction reporting for various systems, including the Nasdaq Market Center. NASD believes that including violations of ADF transaction reporting requirements in the MRVP is consistent with the current provisions for minor rule violations of transaction reporting requirements in equity securities.

NASD also proposes to eliminate the reference in the MRVP to a violation of the Fixed Income Pricing System ("FIPS"), NASD Rule 6240, and replace it with a violation of NASD Rule 6230, the TRACE transaction reporting rule.¹² In adopting the TRACE rules in 2001, NASD eliminated FIPS, which required members to report trades for 50 high-yield debt securities. Because the TRACE system replaced and expanded upon FIPS, NASD proposes to amend its MRVP to replace the FIPS violation with a violation of the TRACE system transaction reporting requirement and also combine it into this single entry.

Communications with the Public. NASD proposes to include in its MRVP violations of the standards applicable to member communications with the public. NASD's advertising rules (NASD Rules 2210, 2211, and 2220, and related Interpretive Materials) contain general and specific standards applicable to all member communications with the public. These standards prohibit incomplete, unbalanced, or unfair

¹⁰ TRACS is the trade reporting system for NASD's Alternative Display Facility ("ADF"). ADF is a quotation collection, trade comparison, and trade reporting facility developed by NASD in accordance with the Commission's SuperMontage Approval Order.

¹¹ NASD notes that NASD Rule 5430 governs both TRACS and the Nasdaq Market Center transaction reporting requirements.

¹² Prior to July 1, 2002, the NASD Rule 6200 Series pertained to FIPS, and NASD Rule 6240 governed transaction reporting in high-yield fixed income securities.

³ Amendment No. 1 replaced the original filing in its entirety.

⁴ Amendment No. 2 replaced Amendment No. 1 in its entirety.

⁵ 17 CFR 240.19d-1(c).

⁶ See Securities Exchange Act Release No. 21013 (June 1, 1984), 49 FR 23828 (June 8, 1984).

⁷ See Securities Exchange Act Release No. 32383 (May 28, 1993), 58 FR 31768 (June 4, 1993) (SR-NASD-93-6). See also NASD Rule 9216(b) and Notice to Members 93-42 (July 1993).

⁸ See Securities Exchange Act Release No. 44512 (July 3, 2001), 66 FR 36812 (July 13, 2001) (SR-NASD-00-39).

⁹ See Securities Exchange Act Release No. 50466 (September 24, 2004), 69 FR 58568 (September 30, 2004) (SR-NASD-2004-121).

communications as well as exaggerated, unwarranted, or misleading statements or claims. The rules also enumerate specific standards for certain type of communications, including recommendations, hedge clauses, and projections. In addition, the rules set forth standards for the use and disclosure of the member's name.

Under the current MRVP, NASD may issue minor rule violations only for procedural violations of the advertising rules, such as a failure to have advertisements and sales literature approved by a principal prior to use or a failure to meet specified time limits for filing advertisements. It is NASD's experience, however, that, based on the facts and circumstances, certain content-related violations of these rules can warrant more than a Letter of Caution, yet not rise to a level requiring or meriting full disciplinary action. Accordingly, the proposed rule change would allow NASD to address these minor or technical violations of content-related advertising rules, which might include, for example only, a technical violation of the provisions on the use and disclosure of members' names. NASD, therefore, proposes to include in its MRVP violations of the standards applicable to member communications with the public.

Contact Information. NASD proposes to expand the MRVP to include, as a general category, a member's failure to identify to NASD and keep current information regarding any contact person that a member must provide to NASD under any current or future NASD rule. For example, a member's failure to provide or update emergency contact information under NASD Rule 3520 or failure to provide or update its executive representative designation and contact information as required by NASD Rule 1150 would be eligible for disposition as a minor rule violation under this category.¹³

Other Changes. In addition, NASD proposes to change "the Association" to "NASD" in the minor rule violation provision relating to NASD Rule 3110¹⁴ and change "ECN's" to "ECNs" in the

minor rule violation provision relating to Rule 11Ac1-1(c)(5) under the Act.¹⁵

NASD would announce the effective date of the proposed rule change in a *Notice to Members* to be published no later than 60 days following Commission approval, if the Commission approves this proposal. The effective date would be 30 days following publication of that *Notice to Members*.

2. Statutory Basis

NASD believes that the proposed rule change, as amended, is consistent with the provisions of Section 15A(b)(6) of the Act,¹⁶ which requires, among other things, that NASD rules must be designed to prevent fraudulent and manipulative acts and practices, to promote just and equitable principles of trade, and, in general, to protect investors and the public interest. NASD believes that the proposed rule change, as amended, is consistent with Section 15A(b)(7) of the Act¹⁷ in that it works to safeguard adequately the interests of investors while establishing fair and reasonable rules for members and persons associated with members. NASD also believes that the proposed rule change also is consistent with Section 15A(b)(8) of the Act¹⁸ in that it furthers the statutory goals of providing a fair procedure for disciplining members and associated persons. NASD believes that the addition of these violations to the MRVP will provide NASD with the ability to impose a meaningful sanction for violations of the rules discussed herein that warrant more than a Letter of Caution but do not necessarily rise to a level meriting a full disciplinary proceeding.

B. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement on Burden on Competition

NASD does not believe that the proposed rule change, as amended, would result in any burden on competition that is not necessary or appropriate in furtherance of the purposes of the Act.

C. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement on Comments on the Proposed Rule Change Received From Members, Participants, or Others

NASD neither solicited nor received any comments.

III. Date of Effectiveness of the Proposed Rule Change and Timing for Commission Action

Within 35 days of the date of publication of this notice in the **Federal Register** or within such longer period (i) as the Commission may designate up to 90 days of such date if it finds such longer period to be appropriate and publishes its reasons for so finding or (ii) as to which the self-regulatory organization consents, the Commission will:

A. By order approve such proposed rule change, or

B. Institute proceedings to determine whether the proposed rule change should be disapproved.

IV. Solicitation of Comments

Interested persons are invited to submit written data, views, and arguments concerning the foregoing, including whether the proposed rule change, as amended, is consistent with the Act. Comments may be submitted by any of the following methods:

Electronic Comments

- Use the Commission's Internet comment form (<http://www.sec.gov/rules/sro.shtml>); or
- Send an e-mail to rule-comments@sec.gov. Please include File Number SR-NASD-2004-025 on the subject line.

Paper Comments

- Send paper comments in triplicate to Jonathan G. Katz, Secretary, Securities and Exchange Commission, Station Place, 100 F Street, NE, Washington, DC 20549-9303.

All submissions should refer to File No. SR-NASD-2004-025. This file number should be included on the subject line if e-mail is used. To help the Commission process and review your comments more efficiently, please use only one method. The Commission will post all comments on the Commission's Internet Web site (<http://www.sec.gov/rules/sro.shtml>). Copies of the submission, all subsequent amendments, all written statements with respect to the proposed rule change that are filed with the Commission, and all written communications relating to the proposed rule change between the Commission and any person, other than those that may be withheld from the public in accordance with the provisions of 5 U.S.C. 552, will be available for inspection and copying in the Commission's Public Reference Room. Copies of such filing also will be available for inspection and copying at the principal office of NASD.

¹³ See also NASD Rule 1120(a)(7) (requirement to provide continuing education regulatory element contact person). NASD notes that it generally has sought to achieve consistency regarding the frequency with which members must review and update contact information (namely, within 17 business days after the end of each calendar quarter).

¹⁴ NASD no longer refers to itself or its subsidiary, NASD Regulation, Inc., using its full corporate name, "the Association," "the NASD," or "NASD Regulation, Inc." Instead, NASD uses "NASD" unless otherwise appropriate for corporate or regulatory reasons.

¹⁵ 17 CFR 240.11Ac1-1(c)(5).

¹⁶ 15 U.S.C. 78o-3(b)(6).

¹⁷ 15 U.S.C. 78o-3(b)(7).

¹⁸ 15 U.S.C. 78o-3(b)(8).

All comments received will be posted without change; the Commission does not edit personal identifying information from submissions. You should submit only information that you wish to make available publicly. All submissions should refer to the File Number SR-NASD-2004-025 and should be submitted on or before August 4, 2005.

For the Commission, by the Division of Market Regulation, pursuant to delegated authority.¹⁹

J. Lynn Taylor,

Assistant Secretary.

[FR Doc. E5-3746 Filed 7-13-05; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 8010-01-P

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

[Release No. 34-51980; File No. SR-NYSE-2005-19]

Self-Regulatory Organizations; New York Stock Exchange, Inc.; Notice of Filing of Proposed Rule Change To Require Members That Use Appendix E To Calculate Net Capital To File Supplemental and Alternative Reports

July 6, 2005.

Pursuant to Section 19(b)(1) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 ("Act")¹ and Rule 19b-4 under the Act,² notice is given that on March 8, 2005, the New York Stock Exchange, Inc. ("NYSE" or "Exchange") filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission ("Commission") the proposed rule change as described in Items I, II, and III below. These Items have been substantially prepared by the Exchange. The Commission is publishing this notice to solicit comments on the proposed rule change from interested persons.

I. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Terms of Substance of the Proposed Rule Change

The Exchange proposes to amend NYSE Rule 418 to require member organizations approved by the Commission to use the alternative method of computing net capital contained in Appendix E to Rule 15c3-1 under the Act ("Appendix E")³ to file

supplemental and alternative reports with the Exchange.

The text of the proposed rule change is available on the Exchange's Internet Web site (<http://www.nyse.com>), at the principal office of the NYSE, and at the Commission's Public Reference Room.

II. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

In its filing with the Commission, the Exchange included statements concerning the purpose of and basis for the proposed rule change and discussed any comments it received on the proposed rule change. The text of these statements may be examined at the places specified in Item IV below. The Exchange has prepared summaries, set forth in Sections A, B, and C below, of the most significant aspects of such statements.

A. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

1. Purpose

The purpose of this proposal is to provide the Exchange with the authority to require member organizations approved by the Commission to use the alternative method of computing net capital contained in Appendix E ("CSE broker-dealers") to file certain supplemental and alternative reports with the Exchange.

Rule 17a-5 under the Act⁴ contains broker-dealer reporting requirements. Broker-dealers file the monthly and quarterly reports required by Rule 17a-5 on Form X-17A-5 (the "FOCUS Report").⁵ Pursuant to Rule 17a-5(a)(5),⁶ CSE broker-dealers are required to file certain additional monthly and quarterly reports. The Exchange has created a modified FOCUS Report form for CSE broker-dealers. The form contains new line items to capture the additional required reports. The proposed rule amendment is designed to require CSE broker-dealers to provide the additional reports to the Exchange.

Under NYSE Rule 418, the Exchange may at any time require any member or member organization to be audited in accordance with the requirements of Rule 17a-5. The proposed amendment adds NYSE Rule 418.25, which would require member organizations that are CSE broker-dealers to file such supplemental and alternative reports as may be prescribed by the Exchange. A

copy of the modified FOCUS report that CSE broker-dealers would have to file with the Exchange under proposed Rule 418.25 is available on the Exchange's Internet Web site (<http://www.nyse.com>).

2. Statutory Basis

The Exchange believes that the proposed amendment to NYSE Rule 418 is consistent with Section 6(b) of the Act⁷ in general, and furthers the objectives of Section 6(b)(5) of the Act⁸ in particular, in that it is designed to promote just and equitable principles of trade, to foster cooperation and coordination with persons engaged in facilitating transactions in securities, and to remove impediments and perfect the mechanism of a free and open market and to protect investors and the public interest.

B. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement on Burden on Competition

The Exchange does not believe that the proposal will impose any inappropriate burden on competition.

C. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement on Comments on the Proposed Rule Change Received From Members, Participants or Others

Written comments were neither solicited nor received.

III. Date of Effectiveness of the Proposed Rule Change and Timing for Commission Action

Pursuant to Section 19(b)(2) of the Act,⁹ within 35 days of the date of publication of this notice in the **Federal Register** or within such longer period (i) as the Commission may designate up to 90 days of such date if it finds such longer period to be appropriate and publishes its reasons for so finding or (ii) as to which the Exchange consents, the Commission will:

(A) By order approve such proposed rule change, or

(B) Institute proceedings to determine whether the proposed rule change should be disapproved.

IV. Solicitation of Comments

Interested persons are invited to submit written data, views, and arguments concerning the proposed rule change, including whether the proposed rule change is consistent with the Act. Comments may be submitted by any of the following methods:

¹⁹ 17 CFR 200.30-3(a)(12).

¹ 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(1).

² 17 CFR 240.19b-4.

³ 17 CFR 240.15c3-1e. The Commission amended Rule 15c3-1 to establish this voluntary, alternative method of computing net capital, which is applicable to firms that qualify for consolidated supervised entity ("CSE") treatment. Exchange Act Release No. 49830 (June 8, 2004), 69 FR 34428 (June 21, 2004).

⁴ 17 CFR 240.17a-5.

⁵ 17 CFR 249.617.

⁶ 17 CFR 240.17a-5(a)(5).

⁷ 15 U.S.C. 78f(b).

⁸ 15 U.S.C. 78f(b)(5).

⁹ 15 U.S.C. 78f(b)(2).