

testing strategies proposed for identifying eye injury hazard potential of chemicals and products. If available, *in vivo* reference data for substances tested in these data sets are also requested. Although data can be accepted at any time, please submit data by August 27, 2012 to ensure consideration during the ICCVAM evaluation process. Relevant data received after this date will be considered where feasible. All information submitted in response to this notice will be made publicly available and may be incorporated into future NICEATM and ICCVAM reports and publications, as appropriate.

When submitting data, please reference this **Federal Register** notice and provide appropriate contact information (name, affiliation, mailing address, phone, fax, email, and sponsoring organization, as applicable). NICEATM prefers that data be submitted as copies of pages from study notebooks and/or study reports, if available. Laboratory data and analyses available in electronic format may also be submitted. Each submission for a substance should preferably include the following information, as appropriate: common and trade name, Chemical Abstracts Service Registry Number (CASRN), commercial source, *in vitro* test protocol used, rabbit eye test protocol used, individual animal or *in vitro* responses at each observation time (*i.e.*, raw data), extent to which the data were collected in accordance with national or international Good Laboratory Practice guidelines, date and testing organization, and physical and chemical properties (*e.g.*, molecular weight, pH, water solubility, etc.)

#### Background Information on ICCVAM and NICEATM

ICCVAM is an interagency committee composed of representatives from 15 Federal regulatory and research agencies that require, use, generate, or disseminate toxicological and safety testing information. ICCVAM conducts technical evaluations of new, revised, and alternative safety testing methods and integrated testing strategies with regulatory applicability and promotes the scientific validation and regulatory acceptance of testing methods that more accurately assess the safety and hazards of chemicals and products and that reduce, refine (enhance animal well-being and lessen or avoid pain and distress), or replace animal use. The ICCVAM Authorization Act of 2000 (42 U.S.C. 285l-3) established ICCVAM as a permanent interagency committee of the NIEHS under NICEATM. NICEATM administers ICCVAM, provides

scientific and operational support for ICCVAM-related activities, and conducts independent validation studies to assess the usefulness and limitations of new, revised, and alternative test methods and strategies. NICEATM and ICCVAM welcome the public nomination of new, revised, and alternative test methods and strategies for validation studies and technical evaluations. Additional information about NICEATM and ICCVAM can be found on the NICEATM-ICCVAM Web site (<http://iccvam.niehs.nih.gov>).

#### References

ICCVAM. 2006. ICCVAM Test Method Evaluation Report: *In Vitro* Ocular Toxicity Test Methods for Identifying Severe Irritants and Corrosives. NIH Publication No. 07-4517. Research Triangle Park, NC: NIEHS. Available: [http://iccvam.niehs.nih.gov/methods/ocutox/ivocutox/ocu\\_tmer.htm](http://iccvam.niehs.nih.gov/methods/ocutox/ivocutox/ocu_tmer.htm).

ICCVAM. 2008. The NICEATM-ICCVAM Five-Year Plan (2008-2012): A Plan to Advance Alternative Test Methods of High Scientific Quality to Protect and Advance the Health of People, Animals, and the Environment. NIH Publication No. 08-6410. Research Triangle Park, NC: NIEHS. Available: <http://iccvam.niehs.nih.gov/docs/5yearplan.htm>.

ICCVAM. 2010a. ICCVAM Test Method Evaluation Report: Current Validation Status of *In Vitro* Test Methods Proposed for Identifying Eye Injury Hazard Potential of Chemicals and Products. NIH Publication No. 10-7553. Research Triangle Park, NC: NIEHS. Available: <http://iccvam.niehs.nih.gov/methods/ocutox/MildMod-TMER.htm>.

ICCVAM. 2010b. ICCVAM Test Method Evaluation Report: Current Validation Status of a Proposed *In Vitro* Testing Strategy for U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Ocular Hazard Classification and Labeling of Antimicrobial Cleaning Products. NIH Publication No. 10-7513. Research Triangle Park, NC: NIEHS. Available: <http://iccvam.niehs.nih.gov/methods/ocutox/antimicro/TMER.htm>.

OECD. 2009a. Test Guideline 437. Bovine Corneal Opacity and Permeability Test Method for Identifying Ocular Corrosives and Severe Irritants [adopted 7 September 2009]. In: OECD Guidelines for the Testing of Chemicals, Section 4: Health Effects. Paris:OECD Publishing. Available: [http://www.oecd-ilibrary.org/environment/test-no-437-bovine-corneal-opacity-and-permeability-test-method-for-identifying-ocular-corrosives-and-severe-irritants\\_9789264076303-en](http://www.oecd-ilibrary.org/environment/test-no-437-bovine-corneal-opacity-and-permeability-test-method-for-identifying-ocular-corrosives-and-severe-irritants_9789264076303-en).

OECD. 2009b. Test No. 438. Isolated Chicken Eye Test Method for Identifying Ocular Corrosives and Severe Irritants [adopted 7 September 2009]. In: OECD Guidelines for Testing of Chemicals. Paris:OECD Publishing. Available: [http://www.oecd-ilibrary.org/environment/test-no-438-isolated-chicken-eye-test-method-for-identifying-ocular-corrosives-and-severe-irritants\\_9789264076310-en](http://www.oecd-ilibrary.org/environment/test-no-438-isolated-chicken-eye-test-method-for-identifying-ocular-corrosives-and-severe-irritants_9789264076310-en).

Takahashi Y, Koike M, Honda H, Ito Y, Sakaguchi H, Suzuki H, Nishiyama N. 2008. Development of the short time exposure (STE) test: an *in vitro* eye irritation test using SIRC cells. *Toxicol In Vitro* 22:760-770.

Dated: July 5, 2012.

**John R. Bucher,**

*Associate Director, National Toxicology Program.*

[FR Doc. 2012-17118 Filed 7-12-12; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4140-01-P**

## DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES

### Food and Drug Administration

[Docket No. FDA-2012-N-0114]

#### Agency Information Collection Activities; Submission for Office of Management and Budget Review; Comment Request; Request for Samples and Protocols

**AGENCY:** Food and Drug Administration, HHS.

**ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** The Food and Drug Administration (FDA) is announcing that a proposed collection of information has been submitted to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for review and clearance under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995. **DATES:** Fax written comments on the collection of information by August 13, 2012.

**ADDRESSES:** To ensure that comments on the information collection are received, OMB recommends that written comments be faxed to the Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, OMB, Attn: FDA Desk Officer, Fax: 202-395-7285, or emailed to [oir\\_submission@omb.eop.gov](mailto:oir_submission@omb.eop.gov). All comments should be identified with the OMB control number 0910-0206. Also include the FDA docket number found in brackets in the heading of this document.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Ila S. Mizrachi, Food and Drug Administration, 1350 Piccard Dr., PI50-

400B, Rockville, MD 20850, 301-796-7726, [Ila.Mizrachi@fda.hhs.gov](mailto:Ila.Mizrachi@fda.hhs.gov).

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** In compliance with 44 U.S.C. 3507, FDA has submitted the following proposed collection of information to OMB for review and clearance.

**Request for Samples and Protocols—(OMB Control Number 0910-0206)—Extension**

Under section 351 of the Public Health Service Act (42 U.S.C. 262), FDA has the responsibility to issue regulations that prescribe standards designed to ensure the safety, purity, and potency of biological products and to ensure that the biologics licenses for such products are only issued when a product meets the prescribed standards. Under § 610.2 (21 CFR 610.2), the Center for Biologics Evaluation and Research (CBER) or the Center for Drug Evaluation and Research may at any time require manufacturers of licensed biological products to submit to FDA samples of any lot along with the protocols showing the results of applicable tests prior to distributing the lot of the product. In addition to § 610.2, there are other regulations that require the submission of samples and protocols for specific licensed biological products: §§ 660.6 (21 CFR 660.6) (Antibody to Hepatitis B Surface Antigen); 660.36 (21 CFR 660.36) (Reagent Red Blood Cells); and 660.46 (21 CFR 660.46) (Hepatitis B Surface Antigen).

Section 660.6(a) provides requirements for the frequency of submission of samples from each lot of Antibody to Hepatitis B Surface Antigen product, and § 660.6(b) provides the requirements for the submission of a protocol containing specific information along with each required sample. For § 660.6 products subject to official release by FDA, one sample from each filling of each lot is required to be submitted along with a protocol consisting of a summary of the history of manufacture of the product, including all results of each test for which test results are requested by CBER. After official release is no longer required, one sample along with a protocol is required to be submitted at 90-day intervals. In addition, samples, which must be accompanied by a protocol, may at any time be required to be submitted to CBER if continued evaluation is deemed necessary.

Section 660.36(a) requires, after each routine establishment inspection by FDA, the submission of samples from a lot of final Reagent Red Blood Cell product along with a protocol containing specific information. Section 660.36(a)(2) requires that a protocol contain information including, but not limited to, manufacturing records, certain test records, and identity test results. Section 660.36(b) requires a copy of the antigenic constitution matrix specifying the antigens present or absent to be submitted to the CBER Director at the time of initial distribution of each lot.

Section 660.46(a) contains requirements as to the frequency of submission of samples from each lot of Hepatitis B Surface Antigen product, and § 660.46(b) contains the requirements as to the submission of a protocol containing specific information along with each required sample. For § 660.46 products subject to official release by FDA, one sample from each filling of each lot is required to be submitted along with a protocol consisting of a summary of the history of manufacture of the product, including all results of each test for which test results are requested by CBER. After notification of official release is received, one sample along with a protocol is required to be submitted at 90-day intervals. In addition, samples, which must be accompanied by a protocol, may at any time be required to be submitted to CBER if continued evaluation is deemed necessary.

Samples and protocols are required by FDA to help ensure the safety, purity, or potency of a product because of the potential lot-to-lot variability of a product produced from living organisms. In cases of certain biological products (e.g., Albumin, Plasma Protein Fraction, and therapeutic biological products) that are known to have lot-to-lot consistency, official lot release is not normally required. However, submissions of samples and protocols of these products may still be required for surveillance, licensing, and export purposes, or in the event that FDA obtains information that the manufacturing process may not result in consistent quality of the product.

The following burden estimate is for the protocols required to be submitted with each sample. The collection of

samples is not a collection of information under 5 CFR 1320.3(h)(2). Respondents to the collection of information under § 610.2 are manufacturers of licensed biological products. Respondents to the collection of information under §§ 660.6(b), 660.36(a)(2) and (b), and 660.46(b) are manufacturers of the specific products referenced previously in this document. The estimated number of respondents for each regulation is based on the annual number of manufacturers that submitted samples and protocols for biological products including submissions for lot release, surveillance, licensing, or export. Based on information obtained from FDA's database system, approximately 77 manufacturers submitted samples and protocols in fiscal year (FY) 2011, under the regulations cited previously in this document. FDA estimates that approximately 73 manufacturers submitted protocols under § 610.2 and 2 manufacturers submitted protocols under the regulation (§ 660.6) for the other specific product. FDA received no submissions under § 660.36 or § 660.46; however, FDA is using the estimate of one protocol submission under each regulation in the event that protocols are submitted in the future.

The estimated total annual responses are based on FDA's final actions completed in FY 2011 for the various submission requirements of samples and protocols for the licensed biological products. The average burden per response is based on information provided by industry. The burden estimates provided by industry ranged from 1 to 5.5 hours. Under § 610.2, the average burden per response is based on the average of these estimates and rounded to 3 hours. Under the remaining regulations, the average burden per response is based on the higher end of the estimate (rounded to 5 or 6 hours) since more information is generally required to be submitted in the other protocols than under § 610.2.

In the **Federal Register** of February 17, 2012 (77 FR 9663), FDA published a 60-day notice requesting public comment on the proposed collection of information. No comments were received.

FDA estimates the burden of this information collection as follows:

TABLE 1—ESTIMATED ANNUAL REPORTING BURDEN <sup>1</sup>

21 CFR Section	Number of respondents	Number of responses per respondent	Total annual responses	Average burden per response	Total hours
610.2 .....	73	92.9	6,782	3	20,346
660.6(b) .....	2	21.5	43	5	215
660.36(a) (2) and (b) .....	1	1	1	6	6
660.46(b) .....	1	1	1	5	5
Total .....	77	.....	6,827	.....	20,572

<sup>1</sup> There are no capital costs or operating and maintenance costs associated with this collection of information.

Dated: July 6, 2012.

**Leslie Kux,**

*Assistant Commissioner for Policy.*

[FR Doc. 2012-17079 Filed 7-12-12; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4160-01-P

## DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES

### Food and Drug Administration

[Docket No. FDA-2012-N-0115]

**Agency Information Collection Activities; Submission for Office of Management and Budget Review; Comment Request: Guidance for Industry and Food and Drug Administration Staff; Class II Special Controls Guidance Document; Automated Blood Cell Separator Device Operating by Centrifugal or Filtration Separation Principle**

**AGENCY:** Food and Drug Administration, HHS.

**ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** The Food and Drug Administration (FDA) is announcing that a proposed collection of information has been submitted to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for review and clearance under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995.

**DATES:** Fax written comments on the collection of information by August 13, 2012.

**ADDRESSES:** To ensure that comments on the information collection are received, OMB recommends that written comments be faxed to the Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, OMB, Attn: FDA Desk Officer, Fax: 202-395-7285, or emailed to [aira\\_submission@omb.eop.gov](mailto:aira_submission@omb.eop.gov). All comments should be identified with the OMB control number 0910-0594. Also include the FDA docket number found in brackets in the heading of this document.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Ila S. Mizrahi, Office of Information Management, Food and Drug

Administration, 1350 Piccard Dr., PI50-400B, Rockville, MD 20850, 301-796-7726, [Ila.Mizrahi@fda.hhs.gov](mailto:Ila.Mizrahi@fda.hhs.gov).

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** In compliance with 44 U.S.C. 3507, FDA has submitted the following proposed collection of information to OMB for review and clearance.

**Guidance for Industry and Food and Drug Administration Staff; Class II Special Controls Guidance Document: Automated Blood Cell Separator Device Operating by Centrifugal or Filtration Separation Principle (OMB Control Number 0910-0594)—Extension**

Under the Safe Medical Devices Act of 1990 (Pub. L. 101-629), FDA may establish special controls, including performance standards, postmarket surveillance, patient registries, guidelines, and other appropriate actions it believes necessary to provide reasonable assurance of the safety and effectiveness of the device.

The special control guidance serves to support the reclassification from class III to class II of the automated blood cell separator device operating on a centrifugal separation principle intended for the routine collection of blood and blood components as well as the special control for the automated blood cell separator device operating on a filtration separation principle intended for the routine collection of blood and blood components reclassified as class II (§ 864.9245 (21 CFR 864.9245)).

For currently marketed products not approved under the premarket approval process, the manufacturer should file with FDA for 3 consecutive years an annual report on the anniversary date of the device reclassification from class III to class II or, on the anniversary date of the 510(k) of the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act (the FD&C Act) (21 U.S.C. 360) clearance. Any subsequent change to the device requiring the submission of a premarket notification in accordance with section 510(k) of the FD&C Act should be included in the annual report. Also, a manufacturer of a

device determined to be substantially equivalent to the centrifugal or filtration-based automated cell separator device intended for the routine collection of blood and blood components, should comply with the same general and special controls.

The annual report should include, at a minimum, a summary of anticipated and unanticipated adverse events that have occurred and that are not required to be reported by manufacturers under Medical Device Reporting (MDR) (part 803 (21 CFR part 803)). The reporting of adverse device events summarized in an annual report will alert FDA to trends or clusters of events that might be a safety issue otherwise unreported under the MDR regulation.

Reclassification of this device from class III to class II for the intended use of routine collection of blood and blood components relieves manufacturers of the burden of complying with the premarket approval requirements of section 515 of the FD&C Act (21 U.S.C. 360e), and may permit small potential competitors to enter the marketplace by reducing the burden. Although the special control guidance recommends that manufacturers of these devices file with FDA an annual report for 3 consecutive years, this would be less burdensome than the current postapproval requirements under part 814, subpart E (21 CFR part 814, subpart E), including the submission of periodic reports under § 814.84.

Collecting or transfusing facilities, and manufacturers have certain responsibilities under the Federal regulations. For example, collecting or transfusing facilities are required to maintain records of any reports of complaints of adverse reactions (21 CFR 606.170), while the manufacturer is responsible for conducting an investigation of each event that is reasonably known to the manufacturer and evaluating the cause of the event (§ 803.50(b)). In addition, manufacturers of medical devices are required to submit to FDA individual adverse event