for establishing U.S. citizenship in accordance with MARAD statutory authority. Those receiving benefits under 46 U.S.C. Chapters 531, 535, and 537 (formerly the Merchant Marine Act, 1936, as amended), or applicants seeking a fishery endorsement eligibility approval pursuant to the American Fisheries Act must be citizens of the United States within the meaning of 46 U.S.C. 50501, (formerly Section 2 of the Shipping Act, 1916, as amended). In either case, whether seeking program benefits or fishery endorsement eligibility, Section 50501 sets forth the statutory requirements for determining whether an applicant, be it a corporation, partnership, or association is a U.S. citizen. 46 CFR part 356 is distinguished from 46 CFR part 355 in that part 356 establishes requirements for U.S. citizenship exclusively in accordance with the AFA while part 355 is applied for purposes of establishing citizenship across multiple MARAD programs arising under other statutory authority. Most program participants are required to submit to MARAD on an annual basis the form of affidavit prescribed by Part 355 or Part 356.

Number of Respondents: 500. Frequency: Once annually.

Estimated Average Burden per Response: 5 hours.

Total Annual Burden: 2500.

Public Comments Invited: You are asked to comment on any aspect of this information collection, including (a) Whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the Department's performance; (b) the accuracy of the estimated burden; (c) ways for the Department to enhance the quality, utility and clarity of the information collection; and (d) ways that the burden could be minimized without reducing the quality of the collected information. The agency will summarize and/or include your comments in the request for OMB's clearance of this information collection.

Privacy Act: Anyone is able to search the electronic form of all comments received into any of our dockets by the name of the individual submitting the comment (or signing the comment, if submitted on behalf of an association, business, labor union, etc.). You may review DOT's complete Privacy Act Statement in the Federal Register published on April 11, 2000 (Volume 65, Number 70; Pages 19477–78) or you may visit <a href="http://www.regulations.gov">http://www.regulations.gov</a>.

**Authority:** The Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995; 44 U.S.C. Chapter 35, as amended; and 49 CFR 1.93.

December 31, 2013.

#### Michael Pucci,

Acting Secretary, Maritime Administration. [FR Doc. 2013–31564 Filed 1–3–14; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4910–81–P

#### DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

# Saint Lawrence Seaway Development Corporation

#### Advisory Board; Notice of Meeting

Pursuant to Section 10(a)(2) of the Federal Advisory Committee Act (Pub. L. 92-463; 5 U.S.C. App. I), notice is hereby given of a meeting of the Advisory Board of the Saint Lawrence Seaway Development Corporation (SLSDC), to be held from 10 a.m. to 12 p.m. (EDT) on Wednesday, January 22, 2014 at the SLSDC's Policy Headquarters, 55 M Street SE., Suite 930, Washington, DC 20003. The agenda for this meeting will be as follows: Opening Remarks; Consideration of Minutes of Past Meeting; Quarterly Report; Old and New Business; Closing Discussion; Adjournment.

Attendance at the meeting is open to the interested public but limited to the space available. With the approval of the Acting Administrator, members of the public may present oral statements at the meeting. Persons wishing further information should contact, not later than Friday, January 17, 2014, Anita K. Blackman, Senior Advisor to the Administrator, Saint Lawrence Seaway Development Corporation, Suite W32–300, 1200 New Jersey Avenue SE., Washington, DC 20590; 202–366–0091.

Any member of the public may present a written statement to the Advisory Board at any time.

Issued at Washington, DC, on December 30, 2013.

#### Betty S. Sutton,

Administrator.

[FR Doc. 2013–31513 Filed 1–3–14; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910-61-P

## **DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION**

## **Surface Transportation Board**

[Docket No. AB 1087 (Sub-No. 1X)]

Grenada Railway LLC—Abandonment Exemption—in Montgomery, Carroll, Holmes, Yazoo and Madison Counties, Miss.

On December 17, 2013, Grenada Railway LLC (GRYR) filed with the Surface Transportation Board (Board) a petition under 49 U.S.C. 10502 for exemption from the provisions of 49 U.S.C. 10903 to abandon the southern segment of its line of railroad between milepost 626.1 near Elliott and milepost 703.8 near Canton, a distance of 77.7 miles in Montgomery, Carroll, Holmes, Yazoo, and Madison Counties, Miss. <sup>1</sup> The line traverses United States Postal Service Zip Codes 38925, 38967, 39176, 39192, 39063, 39079, 39146, and 39179, and includes the stations of Duck Hill, Eskridge, Winona, Vaiden, West, Durant, Goodman, Pickens, and Vaughan.

GRYR states that the line does not contain federally granted rights-of-way. Any documentation in GRYR's possession regarding the line will be made available promptly to those requesting it.

The interest of railroad employees will be protected by the conditions set forth in Oregon Short Line Railroad—Abandonment Portion Goshen Branch Between Firth & Ammon, In Bingham & Bonneville Counties, Idaho, 360 I.C.C. 91 (1979).

By issuance of this notice, the Board is instituting an exemption proceeding pursuant to 49 U.S.C. 10502(b). A final decision will be issued by April 4, 2014.

Any offer of financial assistance (OFA) under 49 CFR 1152.27(b)(2) will be due no later than 10 days after service of a decision granting the petition for exemption. Each OFA must be accompanied by a \$1,600 filing fee. See 49 CFR 1002.2(f)(25).

All interested persons should be aware that, following abandonment of rail service and salvage of the line, the line may be suitable for other public use, including interim trail use. Any request for a public use condition under 49 CFR 1152.28 or for trail use/rail banking under 49 CFR 1152.29 will be due no later than January 27, 2014. Each trail request must be accompanied by a

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> GRYR was authorized to acquire this portion of the line sought to be abandoned from the Illinois Central Railroad Company in Grenada Railway, LLC—Acquisition & Operation Exemption—Illinois Central Railroad Company, Docket No. FD 35247 (STB served May 29, 2009). Originally, GRYR filed a petition for exemption to abandon the southern segment of the rail line, which included the line at issue here, in Grenada Railway LLC-Abandonment Exemption—in Grenada, Montgomery, Carroll, Holmes, Yazoo and Madison Counties, Miss., Docket No. AB 1087X. At GRYR's request, the petition was withdrawn and the proceeding was discontinued in a decision served on November 10, 2011. GRYR states that the line had been embargoed since July 26, 2011, due to a bridge located at milepost 656.4 that remains in disrepair and that the embargo expired on July 26, 2013. GRYR filed a motion for protective order pursuant to 49 CFR 1104.14(b) to allow the filing under seal of GRYR's profit and loss statements that are highly confidential that would not normally be made available to the public. The motion for a protective order was granted by a decision served on December 16, 2013.

\$250 filing fee. *See* 49 CFR 1002.2(f)(27).

All filings in response to this notice must refer to Docket No. AB 1087 (Sub-No. 1X), and must be sent to: (1) Surface Transportation Board, 395 E Street SW., Washington, DC 20423–0001; and (2) Fritz R. Kahn, Fritz R. Kahn, P.C., 1919 M Street NW. (7th Floor), Washington, DC 20036. Replies to the petition are due on or before January 27, 2014.<sup>2</sup>

Persons seeking further information concerning abandonment procedures may contact the Board's Office of Public Assistance, Governmental Affairs and Compliance at (202) 245–0238 or refer to the full abandonment or discontinuance regulations at 49 CFR pt. 1152. Questions concerning environmental issues may be directed to the Board's Office of Environmental Analysis (OEA) at (202) 245–0305. Assistance for the hearing impaired is available through the Federal Information Relay Service (FIRS) at 1–800–877–8339.

An environmental assessment (EA) (or environmental impact statement (EIS), if necessary) prepared by OEA will be served upon all parties of record and upon any agencies or other persons who commented during its preparation.

Other interested persons may contact OEA to obtain a copy of the EA (or EIS). EAs in these abandonment proceedings normally will be made available within 60 days of the filing of the petition. The deadline for submission of comments on the EA generally will be within 30 days of its service.

Board decisions and notices are available on our Web site at "www.stb.dot.gov."

Decided: December 30, 2013.

By the Board, Rachel D. Campbell, Director, Office of Proceedings.

Derrick A. Gardner,

Clearance Clerk.

[FR Doc. 2013-31509 Filed 1-3-14; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4915-01-P

#### **DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION**

## **Surface Transportation Board**

[Docket No. EP 552 (Sub-No. 17)]

### Railroad Revenue Adequacy—2012 Determination

**AGENCY:** Surface Transportation Board, DOT.

**ACTION:** Notice of updated decision.

**SUMMARY:** On January 2, 2014, the Board served an updated decision announcing the 2012 revenue adequacy determinations for the Nation's Class I railroads, taking into account BNSF Railway Company's refiled R–1 report for 2012. Three carriers, BNSF Railway Company, Norfolk Southern Combined Railroad Subsidiaries, and Union Pacific Railroad Company, were found to be revenue adequate.

**DATES:** *Effective Date:* This decision is effective on January 2, 2014.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Paul Aguiar, (202) 245–0323. Assistance for the hearing impaired is available through the Federal Information Relay Service (FIRS) at (800) 877–8339.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Board is required to make an annual determination of railroad revenue adequacy. A railroad is considered revenue adequate under 49 U.S.C. 10704(a) if it achieves a rate of return on net investment (ROI) equal to at least the current cost of capital for the railroad industry for 2012, determined to be 11.12% in Railroad Cost of Capital—2012, EP 558 (Sub-No. 16) (STB served Aug. 30, 2013). This revenue adequacy standard was applied to each Class I railroad. Three carriers, BNSF Railway Company (BNSF), Norfolk Southern Combined Railroad Subsidiaries, and Union Pacific Railroad Company, were found to be revenue adequate for 2012.1

The decision in this proceeding is posted on the Board's Web site at www.stb.dot.gov. Copies of the decision may be purchased by contacting the Office of Public Assistance,
Governmental Affairs, and Compliance at (202) 245–0238. Assistance for the hearing impaired is available through FIRS at (800) 877–8339.

This action will not significantly affect either the quality of the human environment or the conservation of energy resources.

Decided: December 31, 2013.

By the Board, Chairman Elliott, Vice Chairman Begeman, and Commissioner Mulvey.

### Jeffrey Herzig,

Clearance Clerk.

[FR Doc. 2013–31572 Filed 1–3–14; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4915–01–P

#### **DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION**

Surface Transportation Board [Docket No. EP 552 (Sub-No. 15)]

# Railroad Revenue Adequacy—2010 Determination

**AGENCY:** Surface Transportation Board, DOT.

**ACTION:** Notice of updated decision.

**SUMMARY:** On January 2, 2014, the Board served an updated decision announcing the 2010 revenue adequacy determinations for the Nation's Class I railroads, taking into account BNSF Railway Company's refiled R–1 report for 2010. One carrier, Union Pacific Railroad Company, was found to be revenue adequate.

**DATES:** *Effective Date:* This decision is effective on January 2, 2014.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Paul Aguiar, (202) 245–0323. Assistance for the hearing impaired is available through the Federal Information Relay Service (FIRS) at (800) 877–8339.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Board is required to make an annual determination of railroad revenue adequacy. A railroad is considered revenue adequate under 49 U.S.C. 10704(a) if it achieves a rate of return on net investment (ROI) equal to at least the current cost of capital for the railroad industry for 2010, determined to be 11.03% in Railroad Cost of Capital-2010, EP 558 (Sub-No. 14) (STB served Oct. 3, 2011). This revenue adequacy standard was applied to each Class I railroad. One carrier, Union Pacific Railroad Company, was found to be revenue adequate for 2010.1

The decision in this proceeding is posted on the Board's Web site at www.stb.dot.gov. Copies of the decision may be purchased by contacting the Office of Public Assistance, Governmental Affairs, and Compliance at (202) 245–0238. Assistance for the hearing impaired is available through FIRS at (800) 877–8339.

This action will not significantly affect either the quality of the human

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> On December 27, 2013, Robert Riley filed a motion to reject the petition. Riley's motion will be addressed in a separate Board decision.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>The Board determined the revenue adequacy for 2012 of each Class I railroad except BNSF in a decision served in this docket on October 17, 2013. Now that BNSF has refiled its R–1 reports for 2010–2012 in compliance with the Board's order in Western Coal Traffic League—Petition for Declaratory Order, FD 35506 (STB served July 25, 2013), the Board's January 2, 2014 decision reflects that filing and includes a determination of BNSF's revenue adequacy for 2012. The October 17, 2013 decision remains unchanged in all other respects.

¹The Board determined the revenue adequacy for 2010 of each Class I railroad in a previous decision served in this docket on November 3, 2011. Now that BNSF Railway Company has refiled its R-1 reports for 2010–2012 in compliance with Western Coal Traffic League—Petition for Declaratory Order, FD 35506 (served July 25, 2013), the Board's January 2, 2014 decision reflects that filing and includes a revised determination of BNSF's revenue adequacy for 2010. The January 2, 2014 decision also replaces the name "Norfolk Southern Railway Company" with "Norfolk Southern Combined Railroad Subsidiaries" and adds a footnote to accompany that change. The November 3, 2011 decision remains unchanged in all other aspects.