

*Class of Air Carriers Not Required to Collect PFC's:* None.  
*Brief Description of Projects Approved for Collection and Use:*

Localizer-type directional aid with glide slope.

Reconstruct taxiways D, E, F, and segment TB-3 of taxiway B.

PFC administrative costs.

*Decision Date:* January 26, 2000.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Ben Guttery, Southwest Region Airports Division, (817) 222-5614.

*Public Agency:* Valdosta-Lowndes County Airport Authority, Valdosta, Georgia.

*Application Number:* 00-04-C-00-VLD.

*Application Type:* Impose and use a PFC.

*PFC Level:* \$3.00.

*Total PFC Revenue Approved in This Decision:* \$230,300.

*Earliest Charge Effective Date:* April 1, 2000.

*Estimated Charge Expiration Date:* January 1, 2002.

*Class of Air Carriers Not Required to Collect PFC's:* Unscheduled Part 121 charter carriers.

*Determination:* Approved. Based on information contained in the public agency's application, the FAA has determined that the approved class accounts for less than 1 percent of the total annual enplanements at Valdosta Regional Airport.

*Brief Description of Projects Approved for Collection and Use:*

Security fencing.

T-hanger taxiway.

Rehabilitate runway 17/35 (design only).

Disadvantaged business enterprise plan.

Drainage study.

Rehabilitate runway 17/35.

*Brief Description of Project Disapproved:* Terminal building.

*Determination:* Disapproved. The new terminal building had been previously approved for PFC collection under application number 92-01-I-00-VLD. The total eligible costs for the terminal were collected under this application and there are no new eligible costs associated with the terminal.

*Decision Date:* January 27, 2000.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Larry Clark, Atlanta Airports District Office, (404) 305-7144.

#### AMENDMENTS TO PFC APPROVALS

Amendment No. City, State	Amendment Approved Date	Original Approved Net PFC Revenue	Amended Approved Net PFC Revenue	Original Estimated Charge Exp. Date	Amended Estimated Charge Exp. Date
93-01-C-02-CPR; Casper, WY. ....	01/04/00	\$543,974	\$524,438	10/01/01	10/01/01
98-02-C-01-FYV; Fayetteville, AR. ....	01/05/00	2,726,590	118,886	03/01/04	04/01/00
95-01-C-01-FYV; Fayetteville, AR. ....	01/10/00	2,584,339	1,149,945	03/01/04	04/01/00
93-01-C-04-TYS; Knoxville, TN. ....	01/12/00	4,829,227	4,881,882	06/01/98	06/01/98
97-04-U-01-TYS; Knoxville, TN. ....	01/12/00	NA	NA	06/01/98	06/01/98
93-01-C-03-BGM; Binghamton, NY ....	01/20/00	311,759	305,752	04/01/06	04/01/06
95-02-C-04-BGM; Binghamton, NY ....	01/20/00	1,021,843	1,021,843	04/01/06	04/01/06
98-03-C-02-BGM; Binghamton, NY ....	01/20/00	1,813,334	1,811,886	04/01/06	04/01/06
94-02-C-01-INL; International Falls, MN. ....	01/24/00	243,537	280,066	03/01/00	07/01/00
97-02-C-02-DSM; Des Moines, IA. ....	01/26/00	9,713,654	9,786,654	12/01/01	12/01/01

Issued in Washington, DC, on February 11, 2000.

**Eric Gabler,**

*Manager, Passenger Facility Charge Branch.*

[FR Doc. 00-4111 Filed 2-18-00; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4910-13-M**

#### DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

##### National Highway Traffic Safety Administration

[Docket No. NHTSA-2000-6941]

##### Notice of Receipt of Petition for Decision That Nonconforming 1998 Jeep Wrangler Multi-Purpose Passenger Vehicles Are Eligible for Importation

**AGENCY:** National Highway Traffic Safety Administration, DOT.

**ACTION:** Notice of receipt of petition for decision that nonconforming 1998 Jeep Wrangler multi-purpose passenger vehicles (MPVs) are eligible for importation.

**SUMMARY:** This notice announces receipt by the National Highway Traffic Safety Administration (NHTSA) of a petition for a decision that a 1998 Jeep Wrangler

manufactured for the European and other foreign markets that was not originally manufactured to comply with all applicable Federal motor vehicle safety standards is eligible for importation into the United States because (1) it is substantially similar to a vehicle that was originally manufactured for sale in the United States and that was certified by its manufacturer as complying with the safety standards, and (2) it is capable of being readily altered to conform to the standards.

**DATES:** The closing date for comments on the petition is March 23, 2000.

**ADDRESSES:** Comments should refer to the docket number and notice number, and be submitted to: Docket Management, Room PL-401, 400 Seventh St., SW, Washington, DC 20590. [Docket hours are from 9 am to 5 pm].

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** George Entwistle, Office of Vehicle Safety Compliance, NHTSA (202-366-5306).

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**

#### Background

Under 49 U.S.C. 30141(a)(1)(A), a motor vehicle that was not originally manufactured to conform to all applicable Federal motor vehicle safety standards shall be refused admission into the United States unless NHTSA has decided that the motor vehicle is substantially similar to a motor vehicle originally manufactured for importation into and sale in the United States, certified under 49 U.S.C. 30115, and of the same model year as the model of the motor vehicle to be compared, and is capable of being readily altered to conform to all applicable Federal motor vehicle safety standards.

Petitions for eligibility decisions may be submitted by either manufacturers or importers who have registered with NHTSA pursuant to 49 CFR Part 592. As specified in 49 CFR 593.7, NHTSA publishes notice in the **Federal Register** of each petition that it receives, and affords interested persons an opportunity to comment on the petition. At the close of the comment period, NHTSA decides, on the basis of the petition and any comments that it has received, whether the vehicle is eligible

for importation. The agency then publishes this decision in the **Federal Register**.

Wallace Environmental Testing Laboratories, Inc., of Houston, Texas ("Wallace") (Registered Importer 90-005) has petitioned NHTSA to decide whether 1998 Jeep Wrangler MPVs manufactured for the European and other foreign markets are eligible for importation into the United States. The vehicle which Wallace believes is substantially similar is the 1998 Jeep Wrangler that was manufactured for sale in the United States and certified by its manufacturer, Chrysler Corporation, as conforming to all applicable Federal motor vehicle safety standards.

The petitioner claims that it carefully compared the non-U.S. certified 1998 Jeep Wrangler to its U.S. certified counterpart, and found the two vehicles to be substantially similar with respect to compliance with most Federal motor vehicle safety standards.

Wallace submitted information with its petition intended to demonstrate that the non-U.S. certified 1998 Jeep Wrangler, as originally manufactured, conforms to many Federal motor vehicle safety standards in the same manner as its U.S. certified counterpart, or is capable of being readily altered to conform to those standards.

Specifically, the petitioner claims that the non-U.S. certified 1998 Jeep Wrangler is identical to its U.S. certified counterpart with respect to compliance with Standard Nos. 102 *Transmission Shift Lever Sequence* . . . ., 103 *Defrosting and Defogging Systems*, 104 *Windshield Wiping and Washing Systems*, 105 *Hydraulic Brake Systems*, 106 *Brake Hoses*, 113 *Hood Latch Systems*, 116 *Brake Fluid*, 119 *New Pneumatic Tires*, 124 *Accelerator Control Systems*, 201 *Occupant Protection in Interior Impact*, 202 *Head Restraints*, 204 *Steering Control Rearward Displacement*, 205 *Glazing Materials*, 206 *Door Locks and Door Retention Components*, 207 *Seating Systems*, 209 *Seat Belt Assemblies*, 210 *Seat Belt Assembly Anchorages*, 212 *Windshield Retention*, 214 *Side Impact Protection*, 216 *Roof Crush Resistance*, 219 *Windshield Zone Intrusion*, and 302 *Flammability of Interior Materials*.

Petitioner also contends that the vehicle is capable of being readily altered to meet the following standards, in the manner indicated:

Standard No. 101 *Controls and Displays*: inscription of the word "Brake" on the brake failure warning light. Petitioner states that the vehicle conforms to the standard even though it is equipped with an odometer that is calibrated in kilometers, because the

odometer is labeled to show that its reading is in kilometers.

Standard No. 108 *Lamps, Reflective Devices and Associated Equipment*: (a) Replacement of the headlight assemblies with U.S.-model components; (b) replacement of the taillights with units that conform to the standard; (c) installation of sidemarkers that conform to the standard; (d) installation of a high mounted stop lamp.

Standard No. 111 *Rearview Mirrors*: inscription of the required warning statement on the passenger side rearview mirror.

Standard No. 114 *Theft Protection*: installation of a warning device that activates whenever the key is left in the ignition and the driver's door is opened.

Standard No. 120 *Tire Selection and Rims*: installation of a tire information placard.

Standard No. 208 *Occupant Crash Protection*: (a) installation of a seat belt warning system with a flashing light that displays the appropriate symbol; (b) installation of U.S.-model driver's and passenger's side air bags and knee bolsters if the vehicle is not already so equipped. The petitioner states that the vehicle is equipped with Type 2 seat belts in the front and rear outboard designated seating positions and that there are no center seating positions in the vehicle.

Standard No. 301 *Fuel System Integrity*: installation of a rollover valve assembly.

Additionally, the petitioner states that a vehicle identification number plate must be affixed to the vehicle to meet the requirements of 49 CFR Part 565.

Interested persons are invited to submit comments on the petition described above. Comments should refer to the docket number and be submitted to: Docket Management, Room PL-401, 400 Seventh St., SW, Washington, DC 20590. It is requested but not required that 10 copies be submitted.

All comments received before the close of business on the closing date indicated above will be considered, and will be available for examination in the docket at the above address both before and after that date. To the extent possible, comments filed after the closing date will also be considered. Notice of final action on the petition will be published in the **Federal Register** pursuant to the authority indicated below.

**Authority:** 49 U.S.C. 30141(a)(1)(A) and (b)(1); 49 CFR 593.8; delegations of authority at 49 CFR 1.50 and 501.8.

Issued on February 16, 2000.

**Marilynne Jacobs,**

*Director, Office of Vehicle Safety Compliance.*  
[FR Doc. 00-4095 Filed 2-18-00; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4910-59-P**

## DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

### Surface Transportation Board

[Section 5a Application No. 1 (Sub-No. 10)]

#### Household Goods Carriers Bureau Committee—Agreement

**AGENCY:** Surface Transportation Board, DOT.

**ACTION:** Request for comments.

**SUMMARY:** The Surface Transportation Board published a document in the **Federal Register** of February 11, 2000, concerning the reply due date. This document contained an incorrect date.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Joseph H. Dettmar, (202) 565-1600.

#### Correction

In the **Federal Register** February 11, 2000, in Section 5a Application No. 1 (Sub-No. 10), on page 7098, in the third column, correct the "Dates" to read:

**DATE:** Comments are due by March 27, 2000; replies are due April 26, 2000.

Dated: February 16, 2000.

**Vernon A. Williams,**

*Secretary.*

[FR Doc. 00-4100 Filed 2-18-00; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4915-00-M**

## DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

### Surface Transportation Board

[STB Docket No. AB-534 (Sub-No. 2X)]

#### Lake State Railway Company—Abandonment Exemption—in Alpena and Presque Isle Counties, MI

On February 2, 2000, Lake State Railway Company (Lake State) filed with the Surface Transportation Board (Board) a petition under 49 U.S.C. 10502 for exemption from the provisions of 49 U.S.C. 10903 to abandon: (1) a portion of its main line Huron Subdivision extending from the U.S. Highway 23 crossing in Alpena (milepost 125.2) northward to the end of the main line just north of Metz, MI (milepost 151.25); and (2) its entire Rogers City Branch, which extends northward from Lake State's main line at Posen, MI (milepost 141.8), to Rogers City, MI, where it ends at RCB milepost 11.5, a total distance of 37.55 miles in Alpena and Presque Isle Counties, MI. The line segments traverse