uniquely affect small governments, as described in the Unfunded Mandates Reform Act of 1995 (Pub. L. 104–4).

Executive Order 13175, entitled "Consultation and Coordination with Indian Tribal Governments" (65 FR 67249, November 6, 2000), requires EPA to develop an accountable process to ensure "meaningful and timely input by tribal officials in the development of regulatory policies that have tribal implications." "Policies that have tribal implications" is defined in the Executive Order to include regulations that have "substantial direct effects on one or more Indian tribes, on the relationship between the Federal government and the Indian tribes, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities between the federal government and Indian tribes." This rule does not have tribal implications. It will not have substantial direct effects on tribal governments, on the relationship between the Federal government and Indian tribes, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities between the Federal government and Indian tribes, as specified in Executive Order 13175. Indiana is not approved to implement the RCRA hazardous waste program in Indian country. This action has no effect on the hazardous waste program that EPA implements in the Indian country within the State. Thus, Executive Order 13175 does not apply to this rule.

This action will not have substantial direct effects on the States, on the relationship between the national government and the States, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities among the various levels of government, as specified in Executive Order 13132 (64 FR 43255, August 10, 1999), because it merely authorizes State requirements as part of the State RCRA hazardous waste program without altering the relationship or the distribution of power and responsibilities established by RCRA. This action also is not subject to Executive Order 13045 (62 FR 19885, April 23, 1997), because it is not economically significant and it does not make decisions based on environmental health or safety risks. This rule is not subject to Executive Order 13211, "Actions Concerning Regulations That Significantly Affect Energy Supply, Distribution, or Use" (66 FR 28355 (May 22, 2001)) because it is not a significant regulatory action under Executive Order 12866.

Under RCRA 3006(b), EPA grants a State's application for authorization as long as the State meets the criteria required by RCRA. It would thus be inconsistent with applicable law for

EPA, when it reviews a State authorization application, to require the use of any particular voluntary consensus standard in place of another standard that otherwise satisfies the requirements of RCRA. Thus, the requirements of section 12(d) of the National Technology Transfer and Advancement Act of 1995 (15 U.S.C. 272 note) do not apply. As required by section 3 of Executive Order 12988 (61 FR 4729, February 7, 1996), in issuing this rule, EPA has taken the necessary steps to eliminate drafting errors and ambiguity, minimize potential litigation, and provide a clear legal standard for affected conduct. EPA has complied with Executive Order 12630 (53 F.R. 8859, March 15, 1988) by examining the takings implications of the rule in accordance with the Attorney General's Supplemental Guidelines for the Evaluation of Risk and Avoidance of Unanticipated Takings issued under the executive order. This rule does not impose an information collection burden under the provisions of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. 3501 et seq.).

The Congressional Review Act, 5 U.S.C. 801 et seq., as added by the Small **Business Regulatory Enforcement** Fairness Act of 1996, generally provides that before a rule may take effect, the agency promulgating the rule must submit a rule report, which includes a copy of the rule, to each House of the Congress and to the Comptroller General of the United States. EPA will submit a report containing this document and other required information to the U.S. Senate, the U.S. House of Representatives, and the Comptroller General of the United States prior to publication in the Federal Register. A major rule cannot take effect until 60 days after it is published in the Federal Register. This action is not a "major rule" as defined by 5 U.S.C. 804(2).

#### List of Subjects in 40 CFR Part 271

Environmental protection, Administrative practice and procedure, Confidential business information, Hazardous waste, Hazardous waste transportation, Indian lands, Intergovernmental relations, Penalties, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements.

**Authority:** This action is issued under the authority of sections 2002(a), 3006 and 7004(b) of the Solid Waste Disposal Act as amended 42 U.S.C. 6912(a), 6926, 6974(b).

Dated: November 2, 2001.

### Robert Springer,

Acting Regional Administrator, Region 5. [FR Doc. 01–30269 Filed 12–5–01; 8:45 am]

#### **DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR**

**Bureau of Land Management** 

43 CFR Parts 3600, 3610, 3620, and 3800

[WO-320-1430-PB-24 1A]

RIN: 1004-AD29

Mineral Materials Disposal; Sales; Free Use; Correction

**AGENCY:** Bureau of Land Management,

Interior.

**ACTION:** Final rule; correction.

SUMMARY: The Bureau of Land Management (BLM) published in the Federal Register of November 23, 2001, a final rule revising the regulations on Mineral Materials Disposal. The final rule inadvertently contained an incorrect effective date.

**EFFECTIVE DATES:** The effective date of the final rule published on November 23, 2001 (66 FR 58892), is corrected to read January 22, 2002.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Ted Hudson, Federal Register Liaison Officer, at (202) 452–5042. Persons who use a telecommunications device for the deaf (TDD) may call the Federal Information Relay Service (FIRS) at 1–800–877–8339, 24 hours a day, 7 days a week.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: On November 23, 2001, BLM published a final rule in the Federal Register (66 FR 58892) revising the regulations on Mineral Materials Disposal in 43 CFR part 3600. In FR Doc. 01–29001, we stated the wrong effective date in the first column of page 58892. The effective date should have been 60 days after the date of publication, or January 22, 2002.

Dated: November 26, 2001.

## Michael H. Schwartz,

Group Manager, Regulatory Affairs. [FR Doc. 01–30231 Filed 12–5–01; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4310–84–P

## **DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE**

48 CFR Parts 202, 215, and 242

Defense Federal Acquisition Regulation Supplement; Technical Amendments

**AGENCY:** Department of Defense (DoD).

**ACTION:** Final rule.

**SUMMARY:** DoD is making technical amendments to the Defense Federal Acquisition Regulation Supplement to

update activity names and addresses and reference numbers.

**EFFECTIVE DATE:** December 6, 2001.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Ms. Michele Peterson, Defense Acquisition Regulations Council, OUSD(AT&L)DP(DAR),IMD 3C132, 3062 Defense Pentagon, Washington, DC 20301–3062. Telephone (703) 602–0311; facsimile (703) 602–0350.

# List of Subjects in 48 CFR Parts 202, 215, and 242

Government procurement.

#### Michele P. Peterson.

Executive Editor, Defense Acquisition Regulations Council.

Therefore, 48 CFR Parts 202, 215, and 242 are amended as follows:

1. The authority citation for 48 CFR Parts 202, 215, and 242 continues to read as follows:

**Authority:** 41 U.S.C. 421 and 48 CFR Chapter 1.

# PART 202—DEFINITIONS OF WORDS AND TERMS

#### 202.101 [Amended]

2. Section 202.101 is amended in the definition of "Contracting activity", under the heading "ARMY", by removing the entry "Troop Support Agency".

# PART 215—CONTRACTING BY NEGOTIATION

## 215.404-71-3 [Amended].

- 3. Section 215.404–71–3 is amended in paragraph (e)(3), in the second sentence, by removing "75" and "25" and adding in their place "80" and "20", respectively.
- 4. Section 215.404–76 is amended in paragraph (b) by revising the table to read as follows:

## 215.404-76 Reporting profit and fee statistics.

(b) \* \* \*

Contracting office	Designated office
ARMY	
All	U.S. Army Contracting Support Agency, ATTN: SARD-RS, 5109 Leesburg Pike, Suite 916, Falls Church, VA 22041– 3201.

Contracting office	Designated office
NAVY	
All	Commander, Fleet and Industrial Sup- ply Center, Norfolk, Washington De- tachment, Code 402, Washington Navy Yard, Wash- ington, DC 20374– 5000.
AIR F	ORCE
Air Force Materiel Command (all field offices).	Air Force Materiel Command, 645 CCSG/SCOS, ATTN: J010 Clerk, 2721 Sacramento Street, Wright-Pat-

#### PART 242—CONTRACT ADMINISTRATION AND AUDIT SERVICES

#### 242.202 [Amended]

5. Section 242.202 is amended in paragraph (e)(1)(A) in the first sentence, in the parenthetical, by removing "www" and adding in its place "home".

terson Air Force

5006.

Base, OH 45433-

## 242.302 [Amended]

6. Section 242.302 is amended in paragraph (a)(13)(B)(1) in the last parenthetical by removing "www" and adding in its place "home."

[FR Doc. 01–30263 Filed 12–5–01; 8:45 am] **BILLING CODE 5000–04–U** 

## DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE

# 48 CFR Parts 212 and 237

[DFARS Case 2000-D306]

Defense Federal Acquisition Regulation Supplement; Performance-Based Contracting Using Federal Acquisition Regulation Part 12 Procedures

**AGENCY:** Department of Defense (DoD). **ACTION:** Interim rule with request for comments.

**SUMMARY:** DoD has issued an interim rule amending the Defense Federal Acquisition Regulation Supplement (DFARS) to implement Section 821(b) of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2001. Section 821(b) permits DoD to treat certain performance-based service contracts and task orders as contracts for the procurement of commercial items.

DATES: Effective date: December 6, 2001.

Comment date: Comments on the interim rule should be submitted to the address shown below on or before February 4, 2002, to be considered in the formation of the final rule.

ADDRESSES: Respondents may submit comments directly on the World Wide Web at http://emissary.acq.osd.mil/dar/dfars.nsf/pubcomm. As an alternative, respondents may e-mail comments to: dfars@acq.osd.mil. Please cite DFARS Case 2000–D306 in the subject line of e-mailed comments.

Respondents that cannot submit comments using either of the above methods may submit comments to: Defense Acquisition Regulations Council, Attn: Ms. Sandra Haberlin, OUSD(AT&L)DP(DAR), IMD 3C132, 3062 Defense Pentagon, Washington, DC 20301–3062; facsimile (703) 602–0350. Please cite DFARS Case 2000–D306.

At the end of the comment period, interested parties may view public comments on the World Wide Web at http://emissary.acq.osd.mil/dar/dfars.nsf.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Ms. Sandra Haberlin, (703) 602–0289.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

### A. Background

This interim rule amends DFARS Part 212, Acquisition of Commercial Items, and DFARS Part 237, Service Contracting, to implement Section 821(b) of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2001 (Public Law 106–398).

Section 821(b) of Public Law 106–398 establishes an incentive for the use of performance-based service contracts. Section 821(b) permits a contracting officer to use the same procedures used for the acquisition of commercial items under Part 12 of the Federal Acquisition Regulation (FAR) for a performance-based service contract or task order, if certain conditions are met. These conditions include—

- 1. The contract or task order must—
- (a) Be firm-fixed-price;
- (b) Have a value of \$5 million or less; (c) Set forth specifically each task to
- be performed;
- (d) Define each task in measurable, mission-related terms; and
- (e) Identify the specific end products or output to be achieved for each task;
- 2. The contractor must provide similar services at the same time to the general public under terms and conditions similar to those in the contract or task order; and
- 3. The procedures in FAR Subpart 13.5, Test Program for Certain Commercial Items, must not be used.

Since procurements undertaken pursuant to the authority of Section