For further information contact: Mr. Sam Dhir, U.S. Department of Commerce.

Telephone 202–482–4756, or e-mail: Sam.Dhir@mail.doc.gov.

Environmental Technologies Matchmaker Trade Delegation

Prague, Czech Republic; Bratislava, Slovakia; and Vienna, Austria. September 23–27, 2002.

Recruitment closes on July 22, 2002. For further information contact: Ms. Yvonne Jackson, U.S. Department of Commerce.

Telephone 202–482–2675, or e-mail: *Yvonne.Jackson@mail.doc.gov.*

Corporate Executive Office Mission at ExpoPharm 02

Berlin, Germany. October 10–13, 2002.

For further information contact: Ms. Anette Salama, U.S. Department of Commerce, U.S. Consulate General, Dusseldorf, Germany.

Telephone 011–49–211–737–767–60, or e-mail: Anette.Salama@mail.doc.gov.

Aerospace Business Development Mission to South Africa

Johannesburg and Durban. October 14–18, 2002. Recruitment closes on September 9, 2002.

For further information contact: Ms. Karen Dubin, U.S. Department of Commerce.

Telephone 202–482–6236, or e-mail: *Karen Dubin@ita.doc.gov.*

Laboratory, Analytical and Scientific Instruments Matchmaker Trade Delegation

Brussels, Belgium and Utrecht, The Netherlands.

November 4–8, 2002.

Recruitment closes on September 20, 2002.

For further information contact: Mr. Bill Kutson, U.S. Department of Commerce.

Telephone 202–482–2839, or e-mail: William.Kutson@mail.doc.gov.

Corporate Executive Office Mission at Medica

Dusseldorf, Germany. November 20–23, 2002.

For further information contact: Mr. George Martinez, U.S. Department of Commerce.

Telephone 727–893–3738, or e-mail: *Geroge.Martinez@mail.doc.gov.*

For further information contact Mr. Thomas Nisbet, U.S. Department of Commerce.

Telephone 202–482–5657, or e-mail *Tom Nisbet@ita.doc.gov.*

Dated: April 25, 2002.

Thomas H. Nisbet,

Director, Export Promotion Coordination, Office of Planning, Coordination and Management.

[FR Doc. 02–10665 Filed 4–30–02; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 3510–DR-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration

[I.D. 042602E]

Magnuson Stevens Act Provisions; Essential Fish Habitat; Public Meeting

AGENCY: National Marine Fisheries Service (NMFS), National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA), Commerce.

ACTION: Notification of public meeting.

summary: The NPFMC will hold an essential fish habitat (EFH) Steering Committee (EFH Committee) meeting May 15–17, 2002. The EFH Committee will discuss the following: fishery descriptions, EFH alternatives, habitat areas of particular concern (HAPC) alternatives, specific HAPC sites and HAPC types. HAPC criteria, mitigation tools, research needs and adaptive management, and key terms in the EFH final rule including: "to the extent practicable" and "minimal and temporary."

DATES: The EFH Committee meeting will be held on Wednesday, May 15, 2002, from 1 to 5:30 p.m.; on Thursday, May 16, 2002, from 8:30 a.m. to 5:30 p.m.; on Friday, May 17, 2002, from 8:30 a.m. to 4 p.m.

ADDRESSES: The EFH Committee meeting will be in Sitka, Alaska at the Northern Southeast Regional Aquaculture Association (NSRAA), 1308 Sawmill Creek Road. For directions call NSRAA at 907–747–6850

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Cindy Hartmann, NMFS, Habitat Conservation Division, 709 West 9th, Suite 801, P.O. Box 21668, Juneau, Alaska, 99802–1668, 907–586–7585 email: Cindy.Hartmann@noaa.gov; or Cathy Coon, NPFMC, 605 West 4th Avenue, Suite 306, Anchorage, Alaska, 99501–2252, 907–271–2809, e-mail: Cathy.Coon@noaa.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

The EFH Committee was formally established by the Chair of the NPFMC in May 2001. The EFH Committee was established in response to the need to

prepare a supplemental environmental impact statement (SEIS) for the EFH fishery management plan amendments. The function of the EFH Committee is to serve as a steering committee in facilitating input to NMFS on the SEIS for EFH. The EFH Committee will provide input to NMFS and the Council from industry, the conservation community, and general public as appropriate. The EFH Committee also will submit periodic updates to the Council on the SEIS for EFH. Further information on the EFH Committee can be found on the NPFMC website at: http://www.fakr.noaa.gov/npfmc/ Committees/EFH/efh.htm.

Agenda items for the May 2002 EFH Committee meeting include: finalizing fishery descriptions; review and discussion of revised EFH and HAPC alternatives; discussion of gear impacts on habitat; discussion of potential mitigation tools for each fishery; discussion of HAPC criteria and possible nomination of HAPC sites and types or a development of a nomination and evaluation process for HAPC sites and types; research needs; adaptive management; effects of rationalization; and key terms in the EFH final rule will be discussed including the terms" "to the extent practicable" and "minimal and temporary." The EFH Committee will develop recommendations for the June NPFMC meeting on some or all of the agenda items listed above. The EFH Committee also will discuss plans for future tasks and meetings.

For further information about the EFH SEIS, see the Notice of Intent to prepare an SEIS published to the Proposed Rules section of the **Federal Register** (66 FR 30396, June 6, 2001). For further information on the preliminary alternative approaches for the designation of EFH and habitat areas of particular concern (HAPC) see 67 FR 1325, January 10, 2002.

Although other issues not contained in this agenda may come before the EFH Committee for discussion, those issues will not be the subject of formal action during this meeting. Formal action will be restricted to those issues specifically identified in this notice and any issues arising after publication of the notice that require emergency action under section 305(c) of the Magnuson-Stevens Fishery Conservation and Management Act, provided the public has been notified of the Committee's intent to take final action to address the emergency.

Special Accommodations

This meeting is physically accessible to people with disabilities. Requests for sign language interpretation or other auxiliary aids should be directed to Cindy Hartmann, 907–586–7235, at least 5 working days prior to the meeting date.

Authority: 16 U.S.C. 1801 et seq.

Dated: April 26, 2002.

Matteo Milazzo,

Acting Director, Office of Sustainable Fisheries, National Marine Fisheries Service. [FR Doc. 02–10774 Filed 4–30–02; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-22-S

CONSUMER PRODUCT SAFETY COMMISSION

Petition Requesting Standard for Hunting Tree Stands and Ban of Waist Belt Restraints Used With Hunting Tree Stands

AGENCY: Consumer Product Safety Commission.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: The Commission has received a petition (CP 02–3) requesting that the Commission issue a consumer product safety standard for hunting tree stands and ban waist belt restraints used with the stands. The Commission solicits written comments concerning the petition.

DATES: The Office of the Secretary must receive comments on the petition by July 1, 2002.

ADDRESSES: Comments, preferably in five copies, on the petition should be mailed to the Office of the Secretary, Consumer Product Safety Commission, Washington, DC 20207, telephone (301) 504-0800, or delivered to the Office of the Secretary, Room 501, 4330 East-West Highway, Bethesda, Maryland 20814. Comments may also be filed by telefacsimile to (301) 504-0127 or by email to cpsc-os@cpsc.gov. Comments should be captioned "Petition CP 02-3, Petition on Hunting Tree Stands." A copy of the petition is available for inspection at the Commission's Public Reading Room, Room 419, 4330 East-West Highway, Bethesda, Maryland, or from the library/electronic reading room section of the Commission's website at www.cpsc.gov.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Rockelle Hammond, Office of the Secretary, Consumer Product Safety Commission, Washington, DC 20207; telephone (301) 504–0800, ext. 1232.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Commission has received correspondence from Carol Pollack-Nelson, Ph.D., requesting that the Commission issue regulations that would establish a mandatory standard

for hunting tree stands to address the risk of falling, and ban waist belt restraints used with the tree stands. The Commission is docketing this request as a petition under the Consumer Product Safety Act. 15 U.S.C. §§ 2056 and 2058. The petitioner states that incident reports and medical literature show that serious injuries and death are associated with hunting tree stands and waist belt restraints. She states that "regulation is needed to ensure that stands are designed with optimal materials and instructions in order to reduce the likelihood of a fall." She also states that, although waist belts are intended to prevent injury, they have been involved in four fatalities where hunters were asphyxiated by them.

Interested parties may obtain a copy of the petition by writing or calling the Office of the Secretary, Consumer Product Safety Commission, Washington, DC 20207; telephone (301) 504–0800. Copies of the petition are also available for inspection from 8:30 a.m. to 5 p.m., Monday through Friday, in the Commission's Public Reading Room, Room 419, 4330 East-West Highway, Bethesda, Maryland, or from the library/electronic reading room section of the Commission's website at www.cpsc.gov.

Dated: April 25, 2002.

Todd A. Stevenson,

Secretary, Consumer Product Safety Commission.

[FR Doc. 02–10784 Filed 4–30–02; 8:45 am]

DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION

Notice of Proposed Information Collection Requests

AGENCY: Department of Education.

SUMMARY: The Leader, Regulatory
Information Management Group, Office
of the Chief Information Officer, invites
comments on the proposed information
collection requests as required by the
Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995.

DATES: Interested persons are invited to submit comments on or before July 1, 2002.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Section 3506 of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. Chapter 35) requires that the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) provide interested Federal agencies and the public an early opportunity to comment on information collection requests. OMB may amend or waive the requirement for public consultation to the extent that public participation in the approval process would defeat the purpose of the information collection, violate State or

Federal law, or substantially interfere with any agency's ability to perform its statutory obligations. The Leader, Regulatory Information Management Group, Office of the Chief Information Officer, publishes that notice containing proposed information collection requests prior to submission of these requests to OMB. Each proposed information collection, grouped by office, contains the following: (1) Type of review requested, e.g. new, revision, extension, existing or reinstatement; (2) Title; (3) Summary of the collection; (4) Description of the need for, and proposed use of, the information; (5) Respondents and frequency of collection; and (6) Reporting and/or Recordkeeping burden. OMB invites public comment. The Department of Education is especially interested in public comment addressing the following issues: (1) is this collection necessary to the proper functions of the Department; (2) will this information be processed and used in a timely manner; (3) is the estimate of burden accurate; (4) how might the Department enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and (5) how might the Department minimize the burden of this collection on the respondents, including through the use of information technology.

Dated: April 25, 2002.

John Tressler,

Leader, Regulatory Information Management, Office of the Chief Information Officer.

Office of Special Education and Rehabilitative Services

Type of Review: Extension.
Title: Protection and Advocacy of Individual Rights (PAIR) Program
Assurances.

Frequency: Annually.

Affected Public: Not-for-profit institutions.

Reporting and Recordkeeping Hour Burden: Responses: 57. Burden Hours:

Abstract: Section 509 of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973 as amended (Act), and its implementing Federal Regulations at 34 CFR part 381, require the PAIR grantees to submit an application to the Rehabilitation Services Administration (RSA) Commissioner in order to receive assistance under Section 509 of the Act. The Act requires that the application contain Assurances to which the grantee must comply. Section 509(f) of the Act specifies the Assurances. There are 57 PAIR grantees. All 57 grantees are required to be part of the protection and advocacy system in each State established under the Developmental