

document all outputs, outcomes, and impacts they can find in their document reviews. These will be aligned with the list of required outputs, outcomes, and impacts that NSF must report to Congress (e.g., number and demographics of teachers, number and demographics of students served by the grant).

Produce grantee profile memos for grantee verification. After the researchers complete the document review forms for each funded RPP grant to the best of their ability, the information will be summarized in a memo to be shared with each grantee for their review and to gather any missing information. PIs will be asked to provide any missing information, focused on known outputs, outcomes, and impacts up to at least 5 years after funding was received. After they have had time to review the form and gather the missing information, each PI will be

invited to participate in 30–60 minute interview conducted via videoconferencing. The interview will be conducted by a member of the research team, with the purpose of confirming the outputs, outcomes, and impacts in the document review form, and following up with any remaining questions about the impact of the grant on preK–12 computer science education in the education systems that were served by the grant.

Finalize grantee-provided data and identify additional primary data collections. Any additional information provided by grantees will be added to the review document forms to finalize existing grantee data and to determine what additional data are needed to address research questions, the most appropriate method for collecting that information (e.g., surveys, interviews, focus groups), and from whom (e.g., district or school administration,

teachers). Because this evaluation project involves providing NSF with insights about other relevant outcomes and impacts they may not have anticipated for this evaluation, the information collected from grantees’ completion of the document review form and their interviews will be used to identify those additional outcomes and impacts.

Use of the Information

Much of the data needed for this collection will come from a review of the Annual Reports, Final Reports, Evaluation Reports, and Project Outcome Reports that grantees are required to submit to NSF. After a systematic review of all grantee documents for the 73 funded grants, necessary information will be extracted from the documents and reviewed by grantee PIs, following the steps outlined in the abstract.

ESTIMATE OF PUBLIC BURDEN

Collection title	Number of respondents	Annual number of responses/respondent	Annual hour burden
Verification of Document Review Form Information by RPP Grantees.	73 grantee PIs	2 (1 hour for document review and up to 1 hour for follow-up call).	146

Respondents

The respondents are the Principal Investigator and/or program evaluator of each grant. They will be asked to review their grantee-specific memo, determine whether their data are accurately represented, and provide any additional available information during a 30–60-minute call.

Estimates of Annualized Cost to Respondents for the Hour Burdens

The overall annualized cost to the respondents is estimated to be \$8,085.48. The following table shows the annualized estimate of costs to PIs/designee respondents, who are generally university research faculty members. This estimated hourly rate is based on

the Bureau of Labor Statistics’ Occupational Employment and Wage Statistics from May 2022, for “Education Administrators, Postsecondary.” According to these estimates, the mean hourly wage for a postsecondary education administrator was \$55.38.

Respondent type	Number of respondents	Burden hours per respondent	Average hourly rate	Estimated annual cost
Grantees/PIs	73	2	\$55.38	\$8,085.48
Total	73	8,085.48

Source: <https://www.bls.gov/oes/current/oes119033.htm>.

Estimated Number of Responses per Report

Data collection involves all 73 grantees for the funded CSforAll RPP grants in the 2017, 2018, and 2019 cohorts.

Dated: January 9, 2024.

Suzanne H. Plimpton,
Reports Clearance Officer, National Science Foundation.

[FR Doc. 2024–00593 Filed 1–11–24; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7555–01–P

POSTAL REGULATORY COMMISSION

[Docket Nos. MC2024–161 and CP2024–167]

New Postal Products

AGENCY: Postal Regulatory Commission.
ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: The Commission is noticing a recent Postal Service filing for the Commission’s consideration concerning a negotiated service agreement. This notice informs the public of the filing, invites public comment, and takes other administrative steps.

DATES: Comments are due: January 17, 2024.

ADDRESSES: Submit comments electronically via the Commission’s Filing Online system at <http://www.prc.gov>. Those who cannot submit comments electronically should contact the person identified in the FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT section by telephone for advice on filing alternatives.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: David A. Trissell, General Counsel, at 202–789–6820.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**Table of Contents**

- I. Introduction
- II. Docketed Proceeding(s)

I. Introduction

The Commission gives notice that the Postal Service filed request(s) for the Commission to consider matters related to negotiated service agreement(s). The request(s) may propose the addition or removal of a negotiated service agreement from the Market Dominant or the Competitive product list, or the modification of an existing product currently appearing on the Market Dominant or the Competitive product list.

Section II identifies the docket number(s) associated with each Postal Service request, the title of each Postal Service request, the request's acceptance date, and the authority cited by the Postal Service for each request. For each request, the Commission appoints an officer of the Commission to represent the interests of the general public in the proceeding, pursuant to 39 U.S.C. 505 (Public Representative). Section II also establishes comment deadline(s) pertaining to each request.

The public portions of the Postal Service's request(s) can be accessed via the Commission's website (<http://www.prc.gov>). Non-public portions of the Postal Service's request(s), if any, can be accessed through compliance with the requirements of 39 CFR 3011.301.¹

The Commission invites comments on whether the Postal Service's request(s) in the captioned docket(s) are consistent with the policies of title 39. For request(s) that the Postal Service states concern Market Dominant product(s), applicable statutory and regulatory requirements include 39 U.S.C. 3622, 39 U.S.C. 3642, 39 CFR part 3030, and 39 CFR part 3040, subpart B. For request(s) that the Postal Service states concern Competitive product(s), applicable statutory and regulatory requirements include 39 U.S.C. 3632, 39 U.S.C. 3633, 39 U.S.C. 3642, 39 CFR part 3035, and 39 CFR part 3040, subpart B. Comment deadline(s) for each request appear in section II.

II. Docketed Proceeding(s)

1. *Docket No(s)*: MC2024–161 and CP2024–167; *Filing Title*: USPS Request to Add Priority Mail, USPS Ground Advantage, & Parcel Select Contract 3 to Competitive Product List and Notice of

Filing Materials Under Seal; *Filing Acceptance Date*: January 8, 2024; *Filing Authority*: 39 U.S.C. 3642, 39 CFR 3040.130 through 3040.135, and 39 CFR 3035.105; *Public Representative*: Kenneth R. Moeller; *Comments Due*: January 17, 2024.

This Notice will be published in the **Federal Register**.

Jennie L. Jbara,

Alternate Certifying Officer.

[FR Doc. 2024–00587 Filed 1–11–24; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7710–FW–P

RAILROAD RETIREMENT BOARD**Proposed Collection; Comment Request**

In accordance with the requirement of section 3506(c)(2)(A) of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 which provides opportunity for public comment on new or revised data collections, the Railroad Retirement Board (RRB) will publish periodic summaries of proposed data collections.

Comments are invited on: (a) Whether the proposed information collection is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information has practical utility; (b) the accuracy of the RRB's estimate of the burden of the collection of the information; (c) ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and (d) ways to minimize the burden related to the collection of information on respondents, including the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology.

1. *Title and purpose of information collection*: RUIA Investigations and Continuing Entitlement; OMB 3220–0025.

Under Section 1(k) of the Railroad Unemployment Insurance Act (RUIA) (45 U.S.C. 231), unemployment and sickness benefits are not payable for any day remuneration is payable or accrues to the claimant. Also, Section 4(a–1) of the RUIA provides that unemployment or sickness benefits are not payable for any day the claimant receives the same benefits under any law other than the RUIA. Under Railroad Retirement Board (RRB) regulation 20 CFR 322.4(a), a claimant's certification, or statement on an RRB-provided claim form, that he or she did not work on any day claimed and did not receive income such as vacation pay or pay for time lost, shall constitute sufficient evidence unless there is conflicting evidence. Further, under 20 CFR 322.4(b), when there is a question raised as to whether or not

remuneration is payable or has accrued to a claimant with respect to a claimed day(s), an investigation shall be made with a view to obtaining information sufficient for a finding. The RRB utilizes the following three forms to obtain information from railroad employers, nonrailroad employers, and claimants, that is needed to determine whether a claimed day(s) of unemployment or sickness were improperly or fraudulently claimed: Form ID–5i, Request for Employment Information; Form ID–5R (SUP), Report of Employees Paid RUIA Benefits for Every Day in Month Reported as Month of Creditable Service; and Form UI–48, Statement Regarding Benefits Claimed for Days Worked. Completion is voluntary. One response is requested of each respondent.

To qualify for unemployment or sickness benefits payable under section 2 of the Railroad Unemployment Insurance Act (RUIA), a railroad employee must have certain qualifying earnings in the applicable base year. In addition, to qualify for *extended* or *accelerated* benefits under Section 2 of the RUIA, a railroad employee who has exhausted his or her rights to normal benefits must have at least 10 years of railroad service (under certain conditions, military service may be credited as months of railroad service). Accelerated benefits are unemployment or sickness benefits that are payable to a railroad employee before the regular July 1 beginning date of a benefit year if an employee has 10 or more years of service and is *not* qualified for benefits in the current benefit year.

During the RUIA claims review process, the RRB may determine that unemployment or sickness benefits cannot be awarded because RRB records show insufficient qualifying service and/or compensation. When this occurs, the RRB allows the claimant the opportunity to provide additional information if they believe that the RRB service and compensation records are incorrect.

Depending on the circumstances, the RRB provides the following forms to obtain information needed to determine if a claimant has sufficient service or compensation to qualify for unemployment or sickness benefits. Form UI–9, *Statement of Employment and Wages*; Form UI–44, *Claim for Credit for Military Service*; Form ID–4U, *Advising of Service/Earnings Requirements for Unemployment Benefits*; and Form ID–4X, *Advising of Service/Earnings Requirements for Sickness Benefits*. Completion of these forms is required to obtain or retain a benefit. One response is required of

¹ See Docket No. RM2018–3, Order Adopting Final Rules Relating to Non-Public Information, June 27, 2018, Attachment A at 19–22 (Order No. 4679).