

subheading is provided for convenience and customs purposes, the written description of the scope of this order is dispositive.

Antidumping Duty Order

In accordance with section 736(a)(1) of the Act, the Department will direct U.S. Customs and Border Protection (CBP) to assess, upon further information from the Department, antidumping duties equal to the amount by which the normal value of the merchandise exceeds the export price (or the constructed export price) of the merchandise for all relevant entries of EMD from Australia. These antidumping duties will be assessed on all entries of EMD entered, or withdrawn from the warehouse, for consumption on or after March 26, 2008, the date on which the Department published its notice of preliminary determination in the **Federal Register**. See *Notice of Preliminary Determination of Sales at Less Than Fair Value and*

Affirmative Preliminary Determination of Critical Circumstances: Electrolytic Manganese Dioxide from Australia, 73 FR 15982 (March 26, 2008).

Section 733(d) of the Act states that instructions issued pursuant to an affirmative preliminary determination may not remain in effect for more than four months except where exporters representing a significant proportion of exports of the subject merchandise request the Department to extend that four-month period to no more than six months. At the request of the exporter that accounts for a significant proportion of EMD in Australia, we extended the four-month period to no more than six months. See Letter from Delta EMD Australia Ltd. (March 25, 2008). In the underlying investigation, the six-month period beginning on the date of the publication of the preliminary determinations ended on September 22, 2008. Furthermore, section 737(b) of the Act states that

definitive duties are to begin on the date of publication of the ITC's final injury determination. Therefore, in accordance with section 733(d) of the Act and our practice, we will instruct CBP to terminate the suspension of liquidation and to liquidate, without regard to antidumping duties, unliquidated entries of EMD from Australia entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after September 22, 2008, through the day preceding the date of publication of the ITC's final injury determination in the **Federal Register**.

On and after the date of publication of the ITC's notice of final determination in the **Federal Register**, CBP will require, at the same time as importers would normally deposit estimated duties on this merchandise, cash deposits for the subject merchandise equal to the estimated weighted-average antidumping margins listed below.

Producer or exporter	Weighted-average margin (percent)
Delta EMD Australia Pty. Limited	83.66
All Others	83.66

This notice constitutes the antidumping duty order with respect to EMD from Australia, pursuant to section 736(a) of the Act. Interested parties may contact the Department's Central Records Unit, Room 1117 of the Main Commerce Building, for copies of an updated list of antidumping duty orders currently in effect.

This order is issued and published in accordance with section 736(a) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.211(b).

Dated: October 1, 2008.

David M. Spooner,

Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

[FR Doc. E8-23603 Filed 10-6-08; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

Harvard University, et al.; Notice of Consolidated Decision on Applications for Duty-Free Entry of Electron Microscopes

This is a decision consolidated pursuant to Section 6(c) of the Educational, Scientific, and Cultural Materials Importation Act of 1966 (Pub. L. 89-651, as amended by Public Law 106-36; 80 Stat. 897; 15 CFR part 301).

Related records can be viewed between 8:30 a.m. and 5 p.m. in Room 2104, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th and Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC.

Docket Number: 08-043. Applicant: Harvard University, Cambridge, MA 02138. *Instrument:* Electron Microscope, Model Tecnai G2 F20 TWIN. *Manufacturer:* FEI Company, The Netherlands. *Intended Use:* See notice at 73 FR 52297, September 9, 2008.

Docket Number: 08-044. Applicant: Pennsylvania University, Hershey, PA 17033. *Instrument:* Electron Microscope, Model JEM 1400. *Manufacturer:* JEOL, Ltd., Japan. *Intended Use:* See notice at 73 FR 52297, September 9, 2008.

Docket Number: 08-045. Applicant: University of Texas at Austin, Austin, TX 78712. *Tecnai G2 Spirit BiOTWIN Republic. Intended Use:* 2008. *Instrument:* Electron Microscope, *Manufacturer:* FEI Company, Czech See notice at 73 FR 52297, September 9, 2008.

Comments: None received. *Decision:* Approved. No instrument of equivalent scientific value to the foreign instrument, for such purposes as these instruments are intended to be used, was being manufactured in the United States at the time the instruments were ordered. *Reasons:* Each foreign

instrument is an electron microscope and is intended for research or scientific educational uses requiring an electron microscope. We know of no electron microscope, or any other instrument suited to these purposes, which was being manufactured in the United States at the time of order of each instrument.

Dated: September 30, 2008.

Faye Robinson,

*Director, Statutory Import Programs Staff
Import Administration.*

[FR Doc. E8-23581 Filed 10-6-08; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-M

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

New Mexico Institute of Mining and Technology, et al.; Notice of Consolidated Decision on Applications for Duty-Free Entry of Scientific Instruments

This is a decision pursuant to Section 6(c) of the Educational, Scientific, and Cultural Materials Importation Act of 1966 (Pub. L. 89-651, as amended by Pub. 106-36; 80 Stat. 897; 15 CFR part 301). Related records can be viewed between 8:30 a.m. and 5 p.m. in Room 2104, U.S. Department of Commerce,

14th and Constitution Ave., NW., Washington, DC.

Comments: None received. Decision: Approved. We know of no instruments of equivalent scientific value to the foreign instruments described below, for such purposes as each is intended to be used, that was being manufactured in the United States at the time of its order.

Docket Number: 08–040. **Applicant:** New Mexico Institute of Mining and Technology; Socorro, New Mexico 87801. **Instrument:** Unit Telescope. **Manufacturer:** Advanced Mechanical and Optical Systems SA (AMOS), Belgium. **Intended Use:** See notice at 73 FR 52644, September 10, 2008. **Reasons:** The instrument has the following features which are essential to the research. The instrument is able to be relocated, the functions of the instrument are able to be controlled and monitored over a network connection, and the instrument has an aperture greater than one-meter.

Docket Number: 08–042. **Applicant:** University of Alabama at Birmingham, Birmingham, AL 35294. **Instrument:** FIE Vitrobot. **Manufacturer:** FEI Company, the Netherlands. **Intended Use:** See notice at 73 FR 52644, September 10, 2008. **Reasons:** The instrument has a controlled environmental chamber and the capability of fully automated operation. These features are required for the research.

Dated: September 30, 2008.

Faye Robinson,

Director, Statutory Import Programs Staff,
Import Administration.

[FR Doc. E8–23583 Filed 10–6–08; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510–DS–M

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A–570–901]

Certain Lined Paper Products from the People's Republic of China: Notice of Preliminary Results of the Antidumping Duty Administrative Review

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: The Department of Commerce (“the Department”) is conducting the first administrative review of the antidumping duty order on certain lined paper products (“CLPP”) from the People's Republic of China (“PRC”) with respect to four producers/exporters for the period April 17, 2006, through August 31, 2007. We have preliminarily determined that sales have been made

below normal value (“NV”) by Shanghai Lian Li Paper Products Co., Ltd. (“Lian Li”). If these preliminary results are adopted in our final results of this review, we will instruct U.S. Customs and Border Protection (“CBP”) to assess antidumping duties on all appropriate entries of subject merchandise during the period of review.

Interested parties are invited to comment on these preliminary results. We intend to issue the final results no later than 120 days from the date of publication of this notice, pursuant to section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (“the Act”).

EFFECTIVE DATE: October 7, 2008.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Victoria Cho or Cindy Lai Robinson, AD/CVD Operations, Office 3, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW, Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482–5075 or (202) 482–3797, respectively.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On September 28, 2006, the Department published in the **Federal Register** an antidumping duty order on certain lined paper products from the PRC.¹ On September 4, 2007, the Department published in the **Federal Register** a notice of opportunity to request an administrative review of the antidumping duty order of certain lined paper products from the PRC for the period April 17, 2006, through August 31, 2007.² On September 28, 2007, the following parties requested the Department to conduct an administrative review of themselves in the antidumping review of CLPP from the PRC: Lian Li; Hwa Fuh Plastics Co. Ltd./Li Teng Plastics (Shenzhen) Co., Ltd. (“H.F. Plastics/L.T. Plastics”); Leo's Quality Products Co., Ltd./Denmax Stationery Factory (“Denmax/Leo's Products”); and the Watanabe Group (which consists of the following three companies: Watanabe Paper Products (Shanghai) Co. Ltd. (“Watanabe Shanghai”); Watanabe Paper Products (Linqing) Co. Ltd. (“Watanabe Linqing”); and Hotrock

Stationery (Shenzhen) Co. Ltd. (“Hotrock Shenzhen”).

On October 1, 2007, the Association of American School Paper Suppliers, a domestic interested party and Petitioner in the underlying investigation, requested that the Department conduct an administrative review of the Watanabe Group and Lian Li as well as any of these companies' subsidiaries or affiliates (as well as predecessor and successor companies), whether directly to the United States or indirectly through third countries. On October 31, 2007, the Department initiated this review with respect to all requested companies. See *Initiation of Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Administrative Reviews*, 72 FR 61621 (October 31, 2007).

On May 6, 2008, the Petitioner submitted a request for an extension for these preliminary results. On June 5, 2008, the Department published a notice extending the time period for issuing the preliminary results for 120 days to September 29, 2008. See *Certain Lined Paper Products From the People's Republic of China: Extension of Time Limits for Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review*, 73 FR 31964 (June 5, 2008).

Respondent Selection and Quantity and Value

Section 777A(c)(1) of the Act directs the Department to calculate individual dumping margins for each known exporter or producer of the subject merchandise.³ However, section 777A(c)(2) of the Act gives the Department discretion to limit its examination to a reasonable number of exporters or producers if it is not practicable to examine all exporters or producers involved in the review.

The Department obtained CBP quantity and value data for the parties for which a review was requested. After assessing its resources, the Department determined that it can reasonably examine one of the four exporters subject to this review. On November 7, 2007, the Department selected Lian Li as a mandatory respondent in this investigation.⁴

On November 8, 2007, the Department issued its initial sections A, C, and D antidumping duty questionnaire to Lian Li. On December 6, 2007, Lian Li

¹ See *Notice of Amended Final Determination of Sales at Less Than Fair Value: Certain Lined Paper Products from the People's Republic of China; Notice of Antidumping Duty Orders: Certain Lined Paper Products from India, Indonesia and the People's Republic of China; and Notice of Countervailing Duty Orders: Certain Lined Paper Products from India and Indonesia*, 71 FR 56949 (September 28, 2006) (Lined Paper Order).

² See *Antidumping or Countervailing Duty Order, Finding, or Suspended Investigation; Opportunity to Request Administrative Review*, 72 FR 50657 (September 4, 2007).

³ Regarding respondent selection in general, see also 19 CFR 351.204(c).

⁴ See Memorandum to Wendy J. Frankel, Director, AD/CVD Operations, Office 8, from Marin Weaver, International Trade Compliance Analyst, AD/CVD Operations, Office 8, titled, “Selection of Respondents for the Antidumping Review of Certain Lined Paper Products from the People's Republic of China” (November 7, 2007) (“Respondent Selection Memo”).