Exporter	Producer	Weighted average margin
Jilin Forest Industry Jingiao Flooring Group Co., Ltd	Jilin Forest Industry Jingiao Flooring Group Co., Ltd	6.78
Karly Wood Product Limited	Karly Wood Product Limited	6.78
Kunshan Yingyi-Nature Wood Industry Co., Ltd	Kunshan Yingyi-Nature Wood Industry Co., Ltd	6.78
Puli Trading Ltd	Baiying Furniture Manufacturer Co., Ltd	6.78
Shanghai Eswell Timber Co., Ltd	Shanghai Eswell Timber Co., Ltd	6.78
Shanghai Lairunde Wood Co., Ltd	Shanghai Lairunde Wood Co., Ltd	6.78
Shanghai New Sihe Wood Co., Ltd	Shanghai New Sihe Wood Co., Ltd	6.78
Shanghai Shenlin Corporation	Shanghai Shenlin Corporation	6.78
Shenzhenshi Huanwei Woods Co., Ltd	Shenzhenshi Huanwei Woods Co., Ltd	6.78
Vicwood Industry (Suzhou) Co., Ltd	Vicwood Industry (Suzhou) Co., Ltd	6.78
Xiamen Yung De Ornament Co., Ltd	Xiamen Yung De Ornament Co., Ltd	6.78
Xuzhou Shenghe Wood Co., Ltd	Xuzhou Shenghe Wood Co., Ltd	6.78
Yixing Lion-King Timber Industry Co., Ltd	Yixing Lion-King Timber Industry Co., Ltd	6.78
Jiangsu Simba Flooring Industry Co., Ltd	Yixing Lion-King Timber Industry Co., Ltd	6.78
Zhejiang Biyork Wood Co., Ltd	Zhejiang Biyork Wood Co., Ltd	6.78
Zhejiang Dadongwu GreenHome Wood Co., Ltd	Zhejiang Dadongwu GreenHome Wood Co., Ltd	6.78
Zhejiang Desheng Wood Industry Co., Ltd	Zhejiang Desheng Wood Industry Co., Ltd	6.78
Zhejiang Shiyou Timber Co., Ltd	Zhejiang Shiyou Timber Co., Ltd	6.78
Zhejiang Tianzhen Bamboo & Wood Development Co., Ltd	Zhejiang Tianzhen Bamboo & Wood Development Co., Ltd	6.78
Chinafloors Timber (China) Co., Ltd	Chinafloors Timber (China) Co., Ltd	6.78
Shanghai Lizhong Wood Products Co., Ltd	Shanghai Lizhong Wood Products Co., Ltd	6.78
Fine Furniture (Shanghai) Limited	Fine Furniture (Shanghai) Limited	6.78
Huzhou Sunergy World Trade Co., Ltd	Zhejiang Haoyun Wood Co., Ltd	6.78
Huzhou Sunergy World Trade Co., Ltd	Nanjing Minglin Wooden Industry Co., Ltd	6.78
Huzhou Sunergy World Trade Co., Ltd	Zhejiang AnJi XinFeng Bamboo & Wood Co., Ltd	6.78
Huzhou Jesonwood Co., Ltd	Zhejiang Jeson Wood Co., Ltd	6.78
Huzhou Jesonwood Co., Ltd	Huzhou Jesonwood Co., Ltd	6.78
A&W (Shanghai) Woods Co., Ltd	A&W (Shanghai) Woods Co., Ltd	6.78
A&W (Shanghai) Woods Co., Ltd	Suzhou Anxin Weiguang Timber Co., Ltd	6.78
Fu Lik Timber (HK) Company Limited	Guangdong Fu Lin Timber Technology Limited	6.78
Yekalon Industry, Inc./Sennorwell International Group (Hong Kong) Limited.	Jilin Xinyuan Wooden Industry Co., Ltd	6.78
Kemian Wood Industry (Kunshan) Co., Ltd	Kemian Wood Industry (Kunshan) Co., Ltd	6.78
Dalian Kemian Wood Industry Co., Ltd	Dalian Kemian Wood Industry Co., Ltd	6.78
Dalian Huilong Wooden Products Co., Ltd	Dalian Huilong Wooden Products Co., Ltd	6.78
Jiangsu Senmao Bamboo and Wood Industry Co., Ltd	Jiangsu Senmao Bamboo and Wood Industry Co., Ltd	6.78
PRC-wide Entity	,,,,,,,,	27.12

\* de minimis

\*\*The Samling Group consists of the following companies: Baroque Timber Industries (Zhongshan) Co., Ltd., Riverside Plywood Corporation, Samling Elegant Living Trading (Labuan) Limited, Samling Riverside Co., Ltd., and Suzhou Times Flooring Co., Ltd.

The collection of bonds or cash deposits and suspension of liquidation will be revised according to the rates calculated in these amended preliminary results. Because these amended rates result in a reduced bond or cash deposit, they will be effective retroactively to May 26, 2011, the date of publication of the Preliminary Determination, and parties will be notified of this determination, in accordance with section 733(d) and (f) of the Act.

# International Trade Commission Notification

In accordance with section 733(f) of the Act, we have notified the International Trade Commission ("ITC") of our amended preliminary determination. If our final determination is affirmative, the ITC will determine before the later of 120 days after the date of the preliminary determination or 45 days after our final determination whether the domestic industry in the United States is materially injured, or threatened with material injury, by reason of imports, or sales (or the likelihood of sales) for importation, of the subject merchandise.

This determination is issued and published in accordance with sections 733(f) and 777(i)(1) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.224(e).

Dated: June 20, 2011.

# Ronald K. Lorentzen,

Deputy Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

[FR Doc. 2011–16070 Filed 6–24–11; 8:45 am]

## **DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE**

## **International Trade Administration**

# Application(s) for Duty-Free Entry of Scientific Instruments

Pursuant to Section 6(c) of the Educational, Scientific and Cultural Materials Importation Act of 1966 (Pub. L. 89–651, as amended by Pub. L. 106–36; 80 Stat. 897; 15 CFR part 301), we invite comments on the question of whether instruments of equivalent scientific value, for the purposes for which the instruments shown below are intended to be used, are being manufactured in the United States.

Comments must comply with 15 CFR 301.5(a)(3) and (4) of the regulations and be postmarked on or before July 18, 2011. Address written comments to Statutory Import Programs Staff, Room 3720, U.S. Department of Commerce, Washington, DC 20230. Applications may be examined between 8:30 a.m. and 5 p.m. at the U.S. Department of Commerce in Room 3720.

Docket Number: 11–031. Applicant: Tulane University, 6823 St. Charles Avenue, New Orleans, LA 70118. Instrument: Vitrobot sample preparation robot. Manufacturer: FEI Inc., The Netherlands. Intended Use: The instrument will be used to prepare colloidal suspensions and biological materials for high-resolution imaging with a field emission transmission electron microscope. *Justification for Duty-Free Entry:* There are no instruments of the same general category being manufactured in the United States that can substitute for the Vitrobot for the intended use. *Application accepted by Commissioner of Customs:* June 10, 2011.

Docket Number: 11–033. Applicant: Temple University, 1900 N. 13th Street, Philadelphia, PA 19122. Instrument: Super low temperature Scanning Tunneling Microscope. Manufacturer: UNISOKU Co., Ltd., Japan. Intended Use: The instrument will be used in Ph.D. research, to study the electronic properties in solid state superconductors, semiconductors and magnetic materials. Justification for *Duty-Free Entry:* Instruments of the same general category being manufactured in the United States do not offer the level of low operating temperatures and magnetic field applications required for the intended use. Application accepted by Commissioner of Customs: June 9, 2011.

Docket Number: 11-034. Applicant: University of Chicago, Argonne National Laboratory, 9700 South Cass Avenue, Lemont, IL 60439. Instrument: Solar spectrum simulation array system. Manufacturer: Atlas Material Testing Technology, Germany. Intended Use: The instrument will simulate solar radiation for an existing vehicle and component testing cell, to evaluate vehicle-level control solutions for mitigating temperature-related impacts on energy consumption. Justification for Duty-Free Entry: There are no instruments of the same general category being manufactured in the United States. Application accepted by Commissioner of Customs: June 9, 2011.

Docket Number: 11–035. Applicant: University of California, Los Angeles, 760 Westwood Plaza, Box 77, Los Angeles, CA 90095. Instrument: Slicescope microscope. Manufacturer: Scientifica Ltd., U.K. Intended Use: The instrument will be used to examine the electrochemical properties of neurons, as part of research into the neurochemical effects of addictive drugs. Justification for Duty-Free Entry: There are no instruments of the same general category being manufactured in the United States. Application accepted by Commissioner of Customs: June 10, 2011.

Docket Number: 11–036. Applicant: Smith College, 44 College Lane, Northampton, MA 01063. Instrument: Quanta 450 Electron Microscope. Manufacturer: FEI Company, Czech Republic. Intended Use: The instrument will be used for biological, chemical, geological, and paleontological research, to identify and study a variety of minerals, glass, biofilms, nanotubes, nanofibers and other natural materials. *Justification for Duty-Free Entry:* There are no instruments of the same general category being manufactured in the United States. *Application accepted by Commissioner of Customs:* June 10, 2011.

Dated: June 20, 2011.

#### Gregory W. Campbell,

Director, Subsidies Enforcement Office, Office of Policy, Import Administration.
[FR Doc. 2011–16068 Filed 6–24–11; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 3510–DS–P

#### **DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE**

### **International Trade Administration**

Lawrence Technological University, et al.; Notice of Decision on Applications for Duty-Free Entry of Scientific Instruments

This is a decision pursuant to Section 6(c) of the Educational, Scientific, and Cultural Materials Importation Act of 1966 (Pub. L. 89–651, as amended by Pub. L. 106–36; 80 Stat. 897; 15 CFR part 301). Related records can be viewed between 8:30 a.m. and 5 p.m. in Room 3720, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th and Constitution Ave, NW., Washington, DC 20230.

Comments: None received. Decision: Approved. We know of no instrument of equivalent scientific value to the foreign instrument described below, for such purposes as this is intended to be used, that was being manufactured in the United States at the time of its order.

Docket Number: 11–022. Applicant:
Lawrence Technological University,
21000 W. 10 Mile Road, Southfield, MI
48075. Instrument: FEI Quanta 450 FEG
Electron Microscope. Manufacturer: FEI
Company, Brno, Czech Republic.
Intended Use: See notice at 76 FR
29725, May 23, 2011. Reasons: The
instrument will be used to study
polymers for biomedical applications;
metals and ceramics used in
orthopaedic implants; cement used in
construction; lubricated components in
automotives; and electrode materials in
lithium ion batteries.

Docket Number: 11–027. Applicant:
U.C. Davis, One Shields Avenue, Davis,
CA 95616. Instrument: Sacher
Lasertechnik Laser System.
Manufacturer: Sacher Lasertechnik,
LLC, Marburg, Germany. Intended Use:
See notice at 76 FR 29725, May 23,
2011. Reasons: The instrument will be used for scientific research related to the

development of a new optical technique for analyzing biological cells, for applications in biological and biomedical sciences.

Dated: June 20, 2011.

## Gregory W. Campbell,

Director, Subsidies Enforcement Office, Office of Policy, Import Administration.
[FR Doc. 2011–16069 Filed 6–24–11; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

## **DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE**

#### **International Trade Administration**

[A-580-810]

Certain Welded Stainless Steel Pipes From the Republic of Korea: Notice of Court Decision Not in Harmony With Final Results of Administrative Review and Amended Final Results

**AGENCY:** Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: On May 26, 2011, the United States Court of International Trade (CIT) sustained the Department of Commerce's (the Department's) results of redetermination as applied to respondent SeAH Steel Corporation (SeAH) pursuant to the CIT's remand order in SeAH Steel Corporation v. United States and Bristol Metals, Slip Op. 11-33 (March 29, 2011) (SeAH II). SeAH Steel Corporation v. United States, Court No. 09-00248 (Ct. Int'l Trade May 26, 2011) (SeAH III) (affirming the Department's Final Results of Redetermination Pursuant to Remand, Court No. 09-00248, dated April 26, 2011, available at http:// ia.ita.doc.gov/remands). Consistent with the decision of the United States Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit (CAFC) in Timken Co. v. United States, 893 F.2d 337 (Fed. Cir. 1990) (Timken), as clarified by Diamond Sawblades Mfrs. Coalition v. United States, 612 F.3d. 1348 (Fed. Cir. 2010) (Diamond Sawblades), the Department is notifying the public that the final judgment in this case is not in harmony with the Department's Final Results and is amending the final results of the administrative review of the antidumping duty order on certain welded stainless steel pipes from the Republic of Korea covering the period of review (POR) of December 1, 2006, through November 30, 2007 with respect to SeAH. See Certain Welded Stainless Steel Pipes From the Republic of Korea: Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review, 74 FR 31242 (June 30, 2009) (Final Results)