

**DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE****International Trade Administration****[C–533–868]****Welded Stainless Pressure Pipe From India: Final Results of the Expedited First Sunset Review of the Countervailing Duty Order****AGENCY:** Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.**SUMMARY:** As a result of this expedited sunset review, the Department of Commerce (Commerce) finds that revocation of the countervailing duty (CVD) order on welded stainless pressure pipe (WSPP) from India would be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of countervailable subsidies at the levels as indicated in the “Final Results of Sunset Review” section of this notice.**DATES:** Applicable February 1, 2022.**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Jolanta Lawska, AD/CVD Operations, Office II, Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 1401 Constitution Avenue NW, Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482–8362.**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:****Background**

On November 17, 2016, Commerce published the CVD order on WSPP from

India in the **Federal Register**.<sup>1</sup> On October 1, 2021, Commerce published the notice of initiation of the first five-year (sunset) review of the *Order*, pursuant to section 751(c) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act).<sup>2</sup> On October 15, 2021, Commerce received a notice of intent to participate from Bristol Metals, LLC, Felker Brothers Corporation, and Primus Pipe & Tube, Inc. (collectively, domestic interested parties) within the deadline specified in 19 CFR 351.218(d)(1)(i).<sup>3</sup> The domestic interested parties claimed interested party status under section 771(9)(C) of the Act as domestic producers of WSPP.<sup>4</sup> On October 29, 2021, Commerce received a substantive response from the domestic interested parties within the 30-day deadline specified in 19 CFR 351.218(d)(3)(i).<sup>5</sup> Commerce did not receive a substantive response from any respondent interested parties.

On November 30, 2021, Commerce notified the U.S. International Trade Commission that it did not receive an adequate substantive response from respondent interested parties.<sup>6</sup> Accordingly, pursuant to section 751(c)(3)(B) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.218(e)(1)(ii)(C)(2), Commerce conducted an expedited (120-day) sunset review of the *Order*.

**Scope of the Order**

The merchandise covered by the *Order* is welded stainless pressure pipe from India. For a full description of the scope, see the Issues and Decision Memorandum.<sup>7</sup>

**Analysis of Comments Received**

All issues raised in this sunset review are addressed in the accompanying Issues and Decision Memorandum, which is hereby adopted by this notice. The Issues and Decision Memorandum is a public document and is on file electronically via Enforcement and Compliance’s Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Centralized Electronic Services System (ACCESS). ACCESS is available to registered users at <http://access.trade.gov>. In addition, a complete version of the Issues and Decision Memorandum can be accessed directly at <https://access.trade.gov/public/FRNotices/ListLayout.aspx>. A list of the issues discussed in the Issues and Decision Memorandum is attached as an appendix to this notice.

**Final Results of Sunset Review**

Pursuant to sections 751(c)(1) and 752(b) of the Act, Commerce determines that revocation of the *Order* would be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of countervailable subsidies at the following net countervailable subsidy rates:

Producer/exporter	Net countervailable subsidy rate (percent)
Steamline Industries Limited (Steamline) .....	3.13
Sunrise Stainless Private Limited/Sun Mark Stainless Pvt. Ltd./Shah Foils Ltd. (Sunrise Companies) <sup>8</sup> .....	6.22
All Others .....	4.65

**Administrative Protective Order**

This notice serves as the only reminder to parties subject to an administrative protective order (APO) of their responsibility concerning the disposition of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305(a). Timely written notification of the destruction of APO materials or conversion to judicial protective order is hereby requested.

Failure to comply with the regulations and terms of an APO is a violation which is subject to sanction.

**Notification to Interested Parties**

Commerce is issuing and publishing these final results in accordance with sections 751(c), 752(b), and 777(i)(1) of the Act, and 19 CFR 351.218.

Dated: January 26, 2022.

**Lisa W. Wang,**

*Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance.*

**Appendix—List of Topics Discussed in the Issues and Decision Memorandum**

- I. Summary
- II. Background
- III. Scope of the *Order*
- IV. History of the *Order*
- V. Legal Framework

Order on Welded Stainless Pressure Pipe from India,” dated concurrently with, and hereby adopted by, this notice (Issues and Decision Memorandum).

<sup>8</sup> Commerce determined that Sunrise Stainless Private Limited, Sun Mark Stainless Pvt. Ltd., and Shah Foils Ltd. are entitled to the same subsidy rate. See *Order*, 81 FR at 81064.

<sup>1</sup> See *Welded Stainless Pressure Pipe from India: Antidumping Duty and Countervailing Duty Orders*, 81 FR 81062 (November 17, 2016) (*Order*).

<sup>2</sup> See *Initiation of Five-Year (Sunset) Reviews*, 86 FR 54423 (October 1, 2021).

<sup>3</sup> See Domestic Interested Parties’ Letter, “Notice of Intent to Participate in the First Five-Year Review of the Countervailing Duty Order on Welded Stainless Pressure Pipe from India,” dated October 15, 2021.

<sup>4</sup> *Id.*

<sup>5</sup> See Domestic Interested Parties’ Letter, “First Five-Year (‘Sunset’) Review of Countervailing Order on Welded Stainless Steel Pressure Pipe from India: Domestic Producers’ Substantive Response to Notice of Initiation,” dated October 29, 2021.

<sup>6</sup> See Commerce’s Letter, “Sunset Reviews Initiated on October 1, 2021,” dated November 30, 2021.

<sup>7</sup> See Memorandum, “Issues and Decision Memorandum for the Final Results of Expedited First Sunset Review of the Countervailing Duty

## VI. Discussion of the Issues

1. Likelihood of Continuation or Recurrence of a Countervailable Subsidy
2. Net Countervailable Subsidy Rates Likely to Prevail
3. Nature of the Subsidies

## VII. Final Results of Review

## VIII. Recommendation

[FR Doc. 2022-01956 Filed 1-31-22; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

## International Trade Administration

[A-533-824]

**Polyethylene Terephthalate Film, Sheet, and Strip from India: Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review; 2019–2020**

**AGENCY:** Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**SUMMARY:** On August 4, 2021, the Department of Commerce (Commerce) published the preliminary results of the administrative review of the antidumping duty order on polyethylene terephthalate film, sheet, and strip (PET film) from India. The period of review (POR) is July 1, 2019, through June 30, 2020. We received no comments or requests for a hearing. We continue to find that sales of subject merchandise by Jindal Poly Films Limited (Jindal) and SRF Limited of India (SRF) were not made at less than normal value during the POR.

**DATES:** Applicable February 1, 2022.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Jacqueline Arrowsmith, AD/CVD Operations, Office VII, Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 1401 Constitution Avenue NW, Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482-5255.

## SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

## Background

On August 4, 2021, Commerce published the preliminary results for this administrative review.<sup>1</sup> In the *Preliminary Results*, we invited interested parties to comment within 30 days. The petitioners<sup>2</sup> also requested an

extension of the briefing schedule on August 25, 2021.<sup>3</sup> Commerce issued a memorandum to all interested parties on August 27, 2021, which granted the petitioners' request and stated that we would reset the deadlines to submit case briefs on a later date.<sup>4</sup> On September 1, 2021, we issued a supplemental questionnaire to SRF.<sup>5</sup> On September 10, 2021, we issued a supplemental questionnaire to Jindal.<sup>6</sup> On September 16, 2021, SRF submitted its response to our supplemental questionnaire.<sup>7</sup> On September 24, 2021, Jindal submitted its response to our supplemental questionnaire.<sup>8</sup> On November 18, 2021, Commerce extended these final results and then on November 23, 2021, Commerce established the revised deadlines for the briefing schedule.<sup>9</sup> No interested party submitted comments or requested a hearing in this administrative review. The current deadline for these final results is January 28, 2022. Commerce conducted this administrative review in accordance with section 751(a)(1)(B) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act).

Scope of the Order<sup>10</sup>

The products covered by the *Order* are all gauges of raw, pretreated, or primed PET film, whether extruded or coextruded. Excluded are metalized

<sup>3</sup> See Petitioners' Letter, "Polyethylene Terephthalate (PET) Film, Sheet, and Strip from India: Request for Extension of Briefing Schedule," dated August 25, 2021.

<sup>4</sup> See Memorandum, "2019–2020 Antidumping Duty Administrative Review of Polyethylene Terephthalate (PET) Film, Sheet, and Strip from India," dated August 27, 2021.

<sup>5</sup> See Commerce's Letter, "2019–2020 Administrative Review of the Antidumping Duty Order on Polyethylene Terephthalate Film, Sheet and Strip (PET Film) from India: Supplemental Questionnaire," dated September 1, 2021.

<sup>6</sup> See Commerce's Letter, "2019–2020 Administrative Review of the Antidumping Duty Order on Polyethylene Terephthalate Film, Sheet and Strip (PET Film) from India: Supplemental Questionnaire," dated September 10, 2021.

<sup>7</sup> See SRF's Letter, "Polyethylene Terephthalate (PET) Film from India: Submission of 1st Supplemental Response of Anti-dumping Admin Review Questionnaire," dated September 16, 2021 (SRF's September 16, 2021 SQR).

<sup>8</sup> See Jindal's Letter, "2019–2020 Administrative Review of the Anti-Dumping Duty Order on Polyethylene Terephthalate Film, Sheet and Strip (PET Film) from India: Supplemental Questionnaire Response," dated September 24, 2021.

<sup>9</sup> See Memorandum, "Polyethylene Terephthalate Film, Sheet, and Strip (PET Film) from India—Briefing," dated November 18, 2021.

<sup>10</sup> See Notice of Amended Final Determination of Sales at Less Than Fair Value and Antidumping Duty Order: Polyethylene Terephthalate Film, Sheet, and Strip from India, 67 FR 44175 (July 1, 2002) (*Order*).

films and other finished films that have had at least one of their surfaces modified by the application of a performance-enhancing resinous or inorganic layer of more than 0.00001 inches thick. Imports of polyethylene terephthalate film, sheet, and strip are currently classifiable in the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States (HTSUS) under item number 3920.62.00.90. HTSUS subheadings are provided for convenience and customs purposes. The written description of the scope of the *Order* is dispositive.

## Changes Since the Preliminary Results

As noted above, Commerce received no comments concerning the *Preliminary Results*. Jindal submitted corrected information for a movement charge, which had been inadvertently omitted in its prior filings.<sup>11</sup> Also, in response to instructions from Commerce, Jindal separated information for discounts and rebates that were grouped together.<sup>12</sup> We incorporated these corrections and updated information into these final results; we continue to find that sales of subject merchandise by Jindal were not made at less than normal value during the POR. SRF submitted corrected information for its quantity discount as explained in its supplemental questionnaire.<sup>13</sup> We also incorporated the corrections and updated information into these final results; we also continue to find that sales of subject merchandise made by SRF were not made at less than normal value during the POR. Accordingly, no decision memorandum accompanies this **Federal Register** notice. For further details of the issues addressed in this proceeding, see the *Preliminary Results* and the accompanying Preliminary Decision Memorandum.<sup>14</sup>

## Final Results of Review

The final weighted-average dumping margins for the period July 1, 2019, through June 30, 2020, are as shown below:

<sup>11</sup> See Memorandum, "Jindal's Final Analysis Memorandum," dated concurrently with the signature of this **Federal Register** notice.

<sup>12</sup> See Jindal's Letter, "2019–2020 Administrative Review of the Anti-Dumping Duty Order on Polyethylene Terephthalate Film, Sheet and Strip (PET Film) from India: Supplemental Questionnaire Response," dated September 24, 2021.

<sup>13</sup> See SRF's September 16, 2021 SQR at 7–8. See also Memorandum, "SRF's Final Analysis Memorandum," dated concurrently with the signature of this **Federal Register** notice.

<sup>14</sup> See *Preliminary Results* PDM.

<sup>1</sup> See *Polyethylene Terephthalate Film, Sheet, and Strip from India: Preliminary Results and Partial Rescission of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review; 2019–2020*, 86 FR 41949 (August 4, 2021) (*Preliminary Results*) and accompanying Preliminary Decision Memorandum (PDM).

<sup>2</sup> The petitioners are DuPont Teijin Films; Mitsubishi Polyester Film, Inc.; and SKC, Inc.