Summary: States and Indian tribes having an approved reclamation plan may establish, administer and operate self-sustaining State and Indian Tribeadministered programs to insure private property against damages caused by land subsidence resulting from underground mining. States and Indian tribes interested in requesting monies for their insurance programs would apply to the Director of OSM.

Bureau Form Number: None. Frequency of Collection: Once. Description of Respondents: States and Indian tribes with approved coal reclamation plans.

Total Annual Responses: 1. Total Annual Burden Hours: 8. Total Annual Non-Wage Costs: \$0.

Dated: June 12, 2008.

#### John R. Cravnon,

Chief, Division of Regulatory Support.
[FR Doc. E8–13711 Filed 6–17–08; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 4310–05–M

# INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigation No. 731–TA–744 (Second Review)]

#### **Brake Rotors From China**

## Determination

On the basis of the record <sup>1</sup> developed in the subject five-year review, the United States International Trade Commission (Commission) determines, pursuant to section 751(c) of the Tariff Act of 1930 (19 U.S.C. 1675(c)), that revocation of the antidumping duty order on brake rotors from China would not be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of material injury to an industry in the United States within a reasonably foreseeable time.

## Background

The Commission instituted this review on July 2, 2007 (72 FR 36037) and determined on October 5, 2007 that it would conduct a full review (72 FR 59111, October 18, 2007). Notice of the scheduling of the Commission's review and of a public hearing to be held in connection therewith was given by posting copies of the notice in the Office of the Secretary, U.S. International Trade Commission, Washington, DC, and by publishing the notice in the Federal Register on November 27, 2007 (72 FR 66187). The hearing was held in Washington, DC, on April 15, 2008, and all persons who requested the

opportunity were permitted to appear in person or by counsel.

The Commission transmitted its determination in this review to the Secretary of Commerce on June 11, 2008. The views of the Commission are contained in USITC Publication 4009 (June 2008), entitled *Brake Rotors from China: Investigation No. 731–TA–744 (Second Review).* 

By order of the Commission. Issued: June 12, 2008.

## Marilyn R. Abbott,

Secretary to the Commission.
[FR Doc. E8–13678 Filed 6–17–08; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 7020–02–P

#### **DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE**

## **Antitrust Division**

Notice Pursuant to the National Cooperative Research and Production Act of 1993—Joint Industry Project for Fluid Properties Meter Development and Support

Notice is hereby given that, on May 20, 2008, pursuant to Section 6(a) of the National Cooperative Research and Production Act of 1993, 15 U.S.C. 4301 et seq. ('the Act'), Southwest Research Institute: Joint Industry Project for Fluid Properties Meter Development and Support ("SwRI: Fluid Properties Meter") has filed written notifications simultaneously with the Attorney General and the Federal Trade Commission disclosing changes in its nature and objective. The notifications were filed for the purpose of extending the Act's provisions limiting the recovery of antitrust plaintiffs to actual damages under specified circumstances. Specifically, the period of performance has been extended to June 30, 2008.

No other changes have been made in either the membership or planned activity of the group research project. Membership in this group research project remains open, and SwRI: Fluid Properties Meter intends to file additional written notifications disclosing all changes in membership.

On November 30, 2004, SwRI: Fluid Properties Meter filed its original notification pursuant to Section 6(a) of the Act. The Department of Justice published a notice in the **Federal Register** pursuant to Section 6(b) of the Act on February 2, 2005 (70 FR 5487).

The last notification was filed with the Department on April 11, 2005. A notice was published in the **Federal**  **Register** pursuant to Section 6(b) of the Act on June 15, 2005 (70 FR 34796).

#### Patricia A. Brink,

Deputy Director of Operations, Antitrust Division.

[FR Doc. E8–13659 Filed 6–17–08; 8:45 am] **BILLING CODE 4410–11–M** 

## **DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE**

## Drug Enforcement Administration

[Docket No. 07-42]

## Harriston Lee Bass, Jr., M.D.; Revocation of Registration

On June 18, 2007, the Deputy Assistant Administrator, Office of Diversion Control, Drug Enforcement Administration, issued an Order to Show Cause to Harriston Lee Bass, M.D. (Respondent), of Las Vegas, Nevada. The Show Cause Order proposed the revocation of Respondent's DEA Certificate of Registration, BB0816441, as a practitioner, and the denial of any pending applications to renew or modify his registration, on three separate grounds. Show Cause Order at 1.

First, the Show Cause Order alleged that on several dates, Respondent had committed acts inconsistent with the public interest by prescribing various controlled substances including Percocet, a schedule II narcotic, as well as schedule III narcotics containing hydrocodone, to an undercover officer, without a legitimate medical purpose and outside of the usual course of professional practice. Show Cause Order at 1-2 (citing 21 CFR 1306.04(a)). Relatedly, the Show Cause Order alleged that on June 1, 2006, the State of Nevada had executed a search warrant at Respondent's office and residence and seized 10,882 dosage units of controlled substances notwithstanding that his state medical license authorized only the prescribing and administration of, and not the dispensing of, controlled substances. Id. at 2.

Second, the Show Cause Order alleged that on June 16, 2006, the Nevada Board of Medical Examiners summarily suspended Respondent's state medical license based on, *inter alia*, his improper prescribing of controlled substances to nine patients who became addicted to the drugs, and that his prescribing "contribut[ed] to the deaths of six of these patients." *Id.* The Show Cause Order thus alleged that because Respondent lacks authority to handle controlled substances in the State in which he holds his DEA

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The record is defined in sec. 207.2(f) of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (19 CFR 207.2(f)).