PART 71—DESIGNATION OF CLASS A, B, C, D, AND E AIRSPACE AREAS; AIR TRAFFIC SERVICE ROUTES; AND REPORTING POINTS

1. The authority citation for part 71 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 49 U.S.C. 106(g); 40103, 40113, 40120; E.O. 10854, 24 FR 9565, 3 CFR, 1959–1963 Comp., p. 389.

§71.1 [Amended]

2. The incorporation by reference in 14 CFR 71.1 of Federal Aviation Administration Order 7400.9V, Airspace Designations and Reporting Points, dated August 9, 2011, effective September 15, 2011, is amended as follows:

Paragraph 6005 Class E airspace areas extending upward from 700 feet or more above the surface of the earth.

ASO FL E5 Orlando, FL [Amend]

Orlando Executive Airport, FL (Lat.28 °32′44″ N., long. 81°19′58″ W.) Orlando VORTAC

(Lat. 28°32′34″ N., long. 81°20′06″ W.) Orlando International Airport (Lat. 28°25′44″ N., long. 81°18′57″ W.) Kissimmee Municipal Airport (Lat. 28°17′24″ N., long. 81°26′14″ W.)

That airspace extending upward from 700 feet above the surface within a 7-mile radius of Orlando Executive Airport and within 3.1-miles each side of Orlando VORTAC 067° radial, extending from the 7-mile radius to 9.5-miles northeast of the VORTAC and within a 7-mile radius of Orlando International Airport and within 3 miles each side of Orlando VORTAC 176° radial extending from the 7-mile radius to 19 miles south of the VORTAC, and within a 7-mile radius of Kissimmee Municipal Airport.

Issued in College Park, Georgia, on March 14, 2012.

Barry A. Knight,

Manager, Operations Support Group, Eastern Service Center, Air Traffic Organization.

[FR Doc. 2012–6846 Filed 3–21–12; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910-13-P

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES

Food and Drug Administration

21 CFR Part 73

[Docket No. FDA-2012-C-0224]

E. & J. Gallo Winery; Filing of Color Additive Petition

AGENCY: Food and Drug Administration, HHS.

ACTION: Notice of petition.

SUMMARY: The Food and Drug Administration (FDA) is announcing that E. & J. Gallo Winery has filed a petition proposing that the color additive regulations be amended to provide for the expanded safe use of mica-based pearlescent pigments as color additives in certain distilled spirits.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Raphael A. Davy, Center for Food Safety and Applied Nutrition (HFS–265), Food and Drug Administration, 5100 Paint Branch Pkwy., College Park, MD 20740–3835, 240–402–1272.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Under the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act (section 721(d)(1) (21 U.S.C. 379e(d)(1))), notice is given that a color additive petition (CAP 2C0294) has been filed by E. & J. Gallo Winery, c/o Keller and Heckman LLP, One Embarcadero Center, Suite 2110, San Francisco, CA 94111. The petition proposes to amend the color additive regulations in 21 CFR 73.350 to provide for the safe use of mica-based pearlescent pigments prepared from titanium dioxide and mica as color additives in distilled spirits containing not less than 18% and not more than 23% alcohol by volume but not including distilled spirits mixtures containing more that 5% wine on a proof gallon basis.

The Agency has determined under 21 CFR 25.32(k) that this action is of a type that does not individually or cumulatively have a significant effect on the human environment. Therefore, neither an environmental assessment nor an environmental impact statement is required.

Dated: March 13, 2012.

Francis Lin,

Acting Director, Office of Food Additive Safety, Center for Food Safety and Applied Nutrition.

[FR Doc. 2012–6854 Filed 3–21–12; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4160–01–P

DEPARTMENT OF HOMELAND SECURITY

Coast Guard

33 CFR Part 114, 116, 118 [Docket No. USCG-2008-1188]

RIN 1625-AB36

General Bridge Regulation; Amendment

AGENCY: Coast Guard, DHS. **ACTION:** Proposed rule; withdrawal.

SUMMARY: The Coast Guard is withdrawing its rulemaking concerning

amendments to the general bridge regulations. The rulemaking was initiated to clarify the statutory responsibilities of bridge owners to remove their bridges from navigable waterways when they are no longer being used for land transportation functions. The Coast Guard will initiate a new rulemaking on this matter when an appropriate methodology, which might include an investigation and meetings, to be used in determining whether an unused bridge is an unreasonable obstruction to navigation is developed.

DATES: The proposed rule is withdrawn on March 22, 2012.

ADDRESSES: The docket for this withdrawn rulemaking is available for inspection or copying at the Docket Management Facility (M–30), U.S. Department of Transportation, West Building Ground Floor, Room W12–140, 1200 New Jersey Avenue SE., Washington, DC 20590, between 9 a.m. and 5 p.m., Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays. You may also find this docket on the Internet by going to http://www.regulations.gov, inserting USCG–2008–1188 in the "Keyword" box, and then clicking "Search."

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: If you have questions about this notice, call or email Mr. Chris Jaufmann, Bridge Program, U.S. Coast Guard, telephone 202–372–1511, email Josef. C. Jaufmann@uscg. mil. If you have questions on viewing material in the docket, call Renee V. Wright, Program Manager, Docket Operations, telephone 202–366–9826.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

There were no documents published in the Federal Register for this rulemaking, but this rulemaking was announced in the Unified Agenda of Regulatory and Deregulatory Actions beginning in fall agenda 2009. The Coast Guard does not currently have regulations describing the processes of requiring alteration or removal of unused bridges. This rulemaking would have proposed making amendments to the general bridge regulations to articulate the responsibility of the bridge owner to alter or remove unused bridges, and to describe the Coast Guard processes to require alteration or removal of those bridges.

Withdrawal

The Coast Guard is withdrawing this rulemaking in order to ascertain the appropriate due process, which might include an investigation and meetings, to be used in determining whether an unused bridge is an unreasonable obstruction to navigation. The Coast Guard will initiate a new rulemaking when an appropriate methodology is developed.

Authority

We issue this notice of withdrawal under the authority of 33 U.S.C. 494, 502, 525; Department of Homeland Security Delegation No. 0170.1.

Dated: March 9, 2012.

Dana A. Goward,

Director, Maritime Transportation Systems, United States Coast Guard.

[FR Doc. 2012-6861 Filed 3-21-12; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 9110-04-P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

40 CFR Part 52

[EPA-R10-OAR-2011-0883, FRL- 9650-4]

Approval and Promulgation of Implementation Plans; Alaska: Infrastructure Requirements for the 1997 8-Hour Ozone National Ambient Air Quality Standard

AGENCY: Environmental Protection

Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Proposed rule.

SUMMARY: EPA is proposing to approve the State Implementation Plan (SIP) submittal from the State of Alaska to demonstrate that the SIP meets the requirements of section 110(a)(1) and (2) of the Clean Air Act (CAA) for the National Ambient Air Quality Standard (NAAQS) promulgated for ozone on July 18, 1997. EPA is proposing to find that the Alaska SIP meets the following 110(a)(2) infrastructure elements for the 1997 8-hour ozone NAAQS: (A), (B), (C), (D)(ii), (E), (F), (G), (H), (J), (K), (L), and (M). EPA is proposing to concurrently approve a number of revisions to the Alaska SIP as a necessary condition to approving the 110(a)(2) infrastructure elements for ozone. Specifically, EPA is proposing to approve revisions submitted by Alaska to update the SIP to include the ozone standard at an 8hour averaging period, the associated federal method for measuring and monitoring ozone in ambient air, a general definition of ozone, federal Prevention of Significant Deterioration (PSD) program changes to regulate NOx as a precursor to ozone, and provisions to satisfy CAA section 128 conflict of interest disclosure requirements.

DATES: Comments must be received on or before April 23, 2012.

ADDRESSES: Submit your comments, identified by Docket ID No. EPA-R10-OAR-2011-0883, by any of the following methods:

- www.regulations.gov: Follow the on-line instructions for submitting comments.
 - Email: R10-

Public Comments@epa.gov

- Mail: Kristin Hall, EPA Region 10, Office of Air, Waste and Toxics (AWT– 107), 1200 Sixth Avenue, Suite 900, Seattle, WA 98101.
- Hand Delivery/Courier: EPA Region 10, 1200 Sixth Avenue, Suite 900, Seattle, WA 98101. Attention: Kristin Hall, Office of Air, Waste and Toxics, AWT–107. Such deliveries are only accepted during normal hours of operation, and special arrangements should be made for deliveries of boxed information.

Instructions: Direct your comments to Docket ID No. EPA-R10-OAR-2011-0883. EPA's policy is that all comments received will be included in the public docket without change and may be made available online at www.regulations.gov, including any personal information provided, unless the comment includes information claimed to be Confidential Business Information (CBI) or other information whose disclosure is restricted by statute. Do not submit information that you consider to be CBI or otherwise protected through www.regulations.gov or email. The www.regulations.gov Web site is an "anonymous access" system, which means EPA will not know your identity or contact information unless you provide it in the body of your comment. If you send an email comment directly to EPA without going through www.regulations.gov your email address will be automatically captured and included as part of the comment that is placed in the public docket and made available on the Internet. If you submit an electronic comment, EPA recommends that you include your name and other contact information in the body of your comment and with any disk or CD-ROM you submit. If EPA cannot read your comment due to technical difficulties and cannot contact you for clarification, EPA may not be able to consider your comment. Electronic files should avoid the use of special characters, any form of encryption, and be free of any defects or viruses.

Docket: All documents in the docket are listed in the www.regulations.gov index. Although listed in the index, some information is not publicly available, e.g., CBI or other information whose disclosure is restricted by statute. Certain other material, such as

copyrighted material, is not placed on the Internet and will be publicly available only in hard copy. Publicly available docket materials are available either electronically in www.regulations.gov or in hard copy during normal business hours at the Office of Air, Waste and Toxics, EPA Region 10, 1200 Sixth Avenue, Seattle, WA 98101.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Kristin Hall at telephone number: (206) 553–6357, email address: hall.kristin@epa.gov, or the above EPA, Region 10 address.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Throughout this document wherever "we", "us" or "our" are used, we mean EPA. Information is organized as follows:

Table of Contents

I. What action is EPA proposing?

- II. What is the background for the action that EPA is proposing?
- III. What infrastructure elements are required under CAA sections 110(a)(1) and (2)?
- IV. What is the scope of action on infrastructure submittals?
- V. What is EPA's analysis of Alaska's submittal?
- VI. Scope of Proposed Action
- VII. Proposed Action
- VIII. Statutory and Executive Order Reviews

I. What action is EPA proposing?

EPA is proposing to approve the State Implementation Plan (SIP) submittal from the State of Alaska to demonstrate that the SIP meets the requirements of section 110(a)(1) and (2) of the Clean Air Act (CAA) for the National Ambient Air Quality Standard (NAAQS) promulgated for ozone on July 18, 1997. EPA is proposing to find that the Alaska SIP meets the following 110(a)(2) infrastructure elements for the 1997 8-hour ozone NAAQS: (A), (B), (C), (D)(ii), (E), (F), (G), (H), (J), (K), (L), and (M).

Section 110(a)(1) of the CAA requires that each state, after a new or revised NAAQS is promulgated, review their SIPs to ensure that they meet the requirements of the "infrastructure" elements of section 110(a)(2). The Alaska Department of Environmental Conservation (ADEC) submitted a SIP to EPA on March 2, 2012, certifying that Alaska's SIP meets the infrastructure obligations for the 1997 ozone NAAQS, 1997 PM_{2.5} NAAQS, 2006 PM_{2.5} NAAQS, 2008 ozone NAAQS, and 2008 lead NAAQS. The submittal included an attachment analyzing Alaska's SIP as it relates to each section of the infrastructure requirements. The state has requested parallel processing on the March 2, 2012 submittal. Under this procedure, the state submits the SIP revision to EPA before final adoption by