

requirements that States, together with all appropriate local governments, must conduct a joint exercise of that State plan, involving full participation of appropriate local government entities, the State and the appropriate licensee of the NRC. Approved plans and preparedness “must be determined to adequately protect the public health and safety by providing reasonable assurance that appropriate protective measures can be taken offsite in the event of a radiological emergency.”

FEMA defines reasonable assurance as a determination that State, Tribal, local, and utility offsite plans and preparedness are adequate to protect public health and safety in the EPZ of commercial NPP. FEMA will consider plans, procedures, personnel, training, facilities, equipment, drills, and exercises, which in its professional judgment are critical for effective implementation of protective measures offsite in the event of any incident at a commercial NPP. FEMA will make its adequacy determination, supported by other Federal agencies, as necessary, by conducting inspections, providing Staff Assistance Visits (SAVs), organizing, conducting and reviewing training, participating in, observing and evaluating drills and exercises, and by being an engaged partner with Federal, State, Tribal, and local government officials and industry stakeholders.

State, Tribal, or local government participation in offsite radiological emergency planning and preparedness is voluntary. However, participation in the REP planning and preparedness process necessitates adherence to the program requirements as set forth in 44 CFR part 350, the joint NRC/FEMA document NUREG-0645/FEMA-REP-1, Rev. 2, “Criteria for Preparation and Evaluation of Radiological Emergency Response Plans and Preparedness in Support of Nuclear Power Plants” (and supplements), and the REP Program Manual (RPM). The RPM consolidates many of the REP Program’s operative guidance and policy documents into one location and provides guidance that interprets the planning standards and evaluation criteria contained in NUREG-0654 and 44 CFR part 350. See 76 FR 72431, November 23, 2011.

As part of our collection to fulfill one of FEMA’s missions, each instrument is required for the performance of duties related to the mission. Therefore, due to the maturity of the program and the opportunity to reduce burden cost, there is an opportunity to consolidate, improve, or remove collection instruments. Consequently, collection instrument 44 CFR 350.9 (c) was added to collect information and relief requests

from exercise schedule outlined in 44 CFR 350.9. Additionally, to further reduce burden cost, 44 CFR 352.4 has been removed as it currently does not require approval under the Paperwork Reduction Act (PRA) with FEMA only receiving one or no responses in a given year.

### Collection of Information

*Title:* Federal Assistance for Offsite Radiological Emergency Preparedness and Planning.

*Type of Information Collection:* Extension, without change, of a currently approved information collection].

*OMB Number:* 1660-0024.

*FEMA Forms:* There are no forms for this collection; rather the regulatory text details the content in which information is transmitted to FEMA.

*Abstract:* The intent of this request is the collection of comments on an extension, without change, of a currently approved information collection an OMB control number representing all information collections related to FEMA REP Program requirements described in 44 CFR parts 350 and 352.

*Affected Public:* State, local or Tribal government.

*Estimated Number of Respondents:* 104.

*Estimated Number of Responses:* 104.

*Estimated Total Annual Burden*

*Hours:* 3,400.

*Estimated Total Annual Respondent Cost:* \$223,176.

*Estimated Respondents’ Operation and Maintenance Costs:* \$0.

*Estimated Respondents’ Capital and Start-Up Costs:* \$0.

*Estimated Total Annual Cost to the Federal Government:* \$652,598.

### Comments

Comments may be submitted as indicated in the **ADDRESSES** caption above. Comments are solicited to (a) evaluate whether the proposed data collection is necessary for the proper performance of the agency, including whether the information shall have practical utility; (b) evaluate the accuracy of the agency’s estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used; (c) enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and (d) minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, including through the use of appropriate automated, electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology,

e.g., permitting electronic submission of responses.

**Millicent Brown Wilson,**

*Records Management Branch Chief, Office of the Chief Administrative Officer, Mission Support, Federal Emergency Management Agency, Department of Homeland Security.*

[FR Doc. 2022-12117 Filed 6-6-22; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 9111-21-P

## DEPARTMENT OF HOMELAND SECURITY

### Federal Emergency Management Agency

[Internal Agency Docket No. FEMA-4609-DR; Docket ID FEMA-2022-0001]

### Tennessee; Amendment No. 6 to Notice of a Major Disaster Declaration

#### Correction

In notice document 2022-11915 appearing on page 33804 in the issue of Friday, June 3, 2022, make the following correction:

On page 33805, in the second column, in the line second from the bottom, “11905” should read “11915”.

[FR Doc. C1-2022-11915 Filed 6-3-22; 4:15 pm]

BILLING CODE 0099-10-D

## DEPARTMENT OF HOMELAND SECURITY

### Federal Emergency Management Agency

[Docket ID FEMA-2022-0002; Internal Agency Docket No. FEMA-B-2218]

### Proposed Flood Hazard Determinations

**AGENCY:** Federal Emergency Management Agency; Department of Homeland Security.

**ACTION:** Notice; correction.

**SUMMARY:** On March 8, 2022, FEMA published in the **Federal Register** a proposed flood hazard determination notice that contained an erroneous table. This notice provides corrections to that table to be used in lieu of the erroneous information. The table provided here represents the proposed flood hazard determinations and communities affected for Chippewa County, Wisconsin, and Incorporated Areas.

**DATES:** Comments are to be submitted on or before September 6, 2022.

**ADDRESSES:** The Preliminary Flood Insurance Rate Map (FIRM), and where applicable, the Flood Insurance Study (FIS) report for each community are