

[FR Doc. E9-27319 Filed 11-12-09; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7590-01-P

NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

[Docket No. 50-259; NRC-2009-0490]

Tennessee Valley Authority; Notice of Withdrawal of Application for Amendment to Facility Operating License

The U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (the Commission) has granted the request of Tennessee Valley Authority (the licensee) to withdraw its application dated July 18, 2008, as supplemented by letter dated December 5, 2008, for proposed amendment to Renewed Facility Operating License No. DPR-33 for the Browns Ferry Nuclear Plant, Unit 1, located in Limestone County, Alabama.

The proposed amendment would have revised the Technical Specifications (TS) to lower the numeric values of safety limit minimum critical power ratio (SLMCPR) in TS Section 2.1.1.2 for single and two reactor recirculation loop operation to incorporate the results of the Unit 1 Cycle 8 SLMCPR analysis.

The Commission had previously issued a Notice of Consideration of Issuance of Amendment published in the **Federal Register** on November 18, 2008 (73 FR 68456). However, by letter dated October 23, 2009, the licensee withdrew the proposed change.

For further details with respect to this action, see the application for amendment dated July 18, 2008, as supplemented by letter dated December 5, 2008, and the licensee's letter dated October 23, 2009, which withdrew the application for license amendment. Documents may be examined, and/or copied for a fee, at the NRC's Public Document Room (PDR), located at One White Flint North, Public File Area O1 F21, 11555 Rockville Pike (first floor), Rockville, Maryland. Publicly available records will be accessible electronically from the Agencywide Documents Access and Management System (ADAMS) Public Electronic Reading Room on the Internet at the NRC Web site, <http://www.nrc.gov/reading-rm/adams.html>. Persons who do not have access to ADAMS or who encounter problems in accessing the documents located in ADAMS should contact the NRC PDR Reference staff by telephone at 1-800-397-4209, or 301-415-4737 or by e-mail to pdr.resource@nrc.gov.

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 3rd day of November 2009.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

Eva A. Brown,

Senior Project Manager, Plant Licensing Branch II-2, Division of Operating Reactor Licensing, Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation.

[FR Doc. E9-27284 Filed 11-12-09; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7590-01-P

NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

[Docket 50-331; NRC-2009-0497]

FPL Energy Duane Arnold, LLC, Central Iowa Power Cooperative, Corn Belt Power Cooperative, Duane Arnold Energy Center; Environmental Assessment and Finding of No Significant Impact

The U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) is considering issuance of an amendment for Facility Operating License No. DPR-49, issued to FPL Energy Duane Arnold, LLC (the licensee), for operation of the Duane Arnold Energy Center, located in Linn County, Iowa. Therefore, as required by 10 CFR 51.21, the NRC is issuing this environmental assessment and finding of no significant impact.

Environmental Assessment

Identification of the Proposed Action

The proposed action would change the legal name of the Licensee and Co-owner from "FPL Energy Duane Arnold, LLC" to "NextEra Energy Duane Arnold, LLC."

The proposed action is in accordance with the licensee's application dated April 17, 2009.

The Need for the Proposed Action

The proposed action is necessary to reflect the legal change of name of the Licensee and Co-Owner on April 16, 2009.

Environmental Impacts of the Proposed Action

The NRC has concluded in its safety evaluation of the proposed action that since this action is for a name change only that (1) there is a reasonable assurance that the health and safety of the public will not be endangered by operation in the proposed manner, (2) such activities will be conducted in compliance with the Commission's regulations, and (3) the issuance of the amendments will not be inimical to the common defense and security or to the health and safety of the public.

The details of the NRC staff's safety evaluation will be provided in the license amendment that will be issued

as part of the letter to the licensee approving the license amendment.

The proposed action will not significantly increase the probability or consequences of accidents. No changes are being made in the types of effluents that may be released offsite. There is no significant increase in the amount of any effluent released offsite. There is no significant increase in individual or cumulative occupational radiation exposure. Therefore, there are no significant radiological environmental impacts associated with the proposed action.

With regard to potential non-radiological impacts, the proposed action does not have a potential to affect any historic sites. It does not affect non-radiological plant effluents and has no other environmental impact. Therefore, there are no significant non-radiological environmental impacts associated with the proposed action.

Accordingly, the NRC concludes that there are no significant environmental impacts associated with the proposed action.

Environmental Impacts of the Alternatives to the Proposed Action

As an alternative to the proposed action, the NRC staff considered denial of the proposed action (*i.e.*, the "no-action" alternative). Denial of the application would result in no change in current environmental impacts. The environmental impacts of the proposed action and the alternative action are similar.

Alternative Use of Resources

The action does not involve the use of any different resources than those previously considered in the Final Environmental Impact Statement for the Duane Arnold Energy Center, dated March 31, 2009.

Agencies and Persons Consulted

In accordance with its stated policy, on October 19, 2009, the NRC staff consulted with the Iowa State Liaison Officer regarding the environmental impact of the proposed action. The State official had no comments.

Finding of No Significant Impact

On the basis of the environmental assessment, the NRC concludes that the proposed action will not have a significant effect on the quality of the human environment. Accordingly, the NRC has determined not to prepare an environmental impact statement for the proposed action.

For further details with respect to the proposed action, see the licensee's letter dated April 17, 2009. Documents may