

petition filed by a company official on behalf of workers at Crowe Logging, Inc., Encampment, Wyoming.

The petitioner has requested that the petition be withdrawn. Consequently, the investigation has been terminated.

Signed at Washington, DC this 24th day of February 2003.

Richard Church,

Certifying Officer, Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance.

[FR Doc. 03-5417 Filed 3-6-03; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510-30-P

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Employment and Training Administration

[TA-W-50,887]

General Binding Corporation, Notice of Termination of Investigation, De Forest, WI

Pursuant to Section 221 of the Trade Act of 1974, as amended, an investigation was initiated on February 13, 2003 in response to a worker petition filed on behalf of workers at General Binding Corporation, De Forest, Wisconsin.

The petitioning group of workers is covered by an earlier petition filed on January 31, 2003 (TA-W-50,813) that is the subject of an ongoing investigation for which a determination has not yet been issued. Further investigation in this case would duplicate efforts and serve no purpose; therefore the investigation under this petition has been terminated.

Signed at Washington, DC this 21st day of February, 2003.

Richard Church,

Certifying Officer, Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance.

[FR Doc. 03-5420 Filed 3-6-03; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510-30-P

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Employment and Training Administration

[TA-W-41,799]

General Electric Industrial Systems, Salem, VA; Notice of Negative Determination Regarding Application for Reconsideration

By application received on September 30, 2002, petitioners requested administrative reconsideration of the Department's negative determination regarding eligibility for workers and former workers of the subject firm to

apply for Trade Adjustment Assistance (TAA). The denial notice applicable to workers of General Electric Industrial Systems, Salem, Virginia was signed on September 3, 2002, and published in the **Federal Register** on September 23, 2002 (67 FR 59551).

Pursuant to 29 CFR 90.18(c) reconsideration may be granted under the following circumstances:

(1) If it appears on the basis of facts not previously considered that the determination complained of was erroneous;

(2) If it appears that the determination complained of was based on a mistake in the determination of facts not previously considered; or

(3) If in the opinion of the Certifying Officer, a mis-interpretation of facts or of the law justified reconsideration of the decision.

The TAA petition, filed on behalf of workers at General Electric Industrial Systems, Salem, Virginia, engaged in activities related to production of drives and control systems, was denied because the "contributed importantly" group eligibility requirement of Section 222(3) of the Trade Act was not met. The contributed importantly test is generally demonstrated through a survey of customers of the workers' firm. Results of the survey revealed that customers did not increase their imports of competitive products during the relevant period. The subject firm did not import drives and control systems during the relevant period.

In requesting reconsideration, the petitioner(s) stated that their function as engineers merited separate consideration from the negative determination issued to production workers. This separate consideration appears to be based on the belief that their jobs had been shifted overseas and the understanding that "the moving of business functions overseas is the equivalent of importing products when U.S. jobs are eliminated."

The work conducted by the engineering group is considered a service. Since the engineering worker group was engaged in design and development and not the actual production of drive and control systems produced at the subject plant they do not meet the eligibility requirements under section 222 of the Trade Act of 1974, as amended. Only in very limited instances are service workers certified for TAA, namely the worker separations must be caused by a reduced demand for their services from a parent or controlling firm or subdivision under certification for TAA. If import impact had been established for the production workers of General Electric Industrial

Systems, only then, could the engineers be included in a certification for TAA.

Conclusion

After review of the application and investigative findings, I conclude that there has been no error or misinterpretation of the law or of the facts which would justify reconsideration of the Department of Labor's prior decision. Accordingly, the application is denied.

Signed at Washington, DC, this 5th day of February 2003.

Edward A. Tomchick,

Director, Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance.

[FR Doc. 03-5415 Filed 3-6-03; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510-30-P

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Employment and Training Administration

[TA-W-50,775]

Harman Wisconsin, Inc., Prairie Du Chien, WI; Notice of Termination of Investigation

Pursuant to Section 221 of the Trade Act of 1974, as amended, an investigation was initiated on February 4, 2003 in response to a worker petition filed by a company official on behalf of workers at Harman Wisconsin, Inc., Prairie du Chien, Wisconsin.

The petitioner has requested that the petition be withdrawn. Consequently, the investigation has been terminated.

Signed at Washington, DC, this 26th day of February 2003.

Richard Church,

Certifying Officer, Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance.

[FR Doc. 03-5418 Filed 3-6-03; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510-30-P

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Employment and Training Administration

[TA-W-50,779]

Jacobson Greenhouse, Inc. Spokane, WA; Notice of Termination of Investigation

Pursuant to Section 221 of the Trade Act of 1974, as amended, an investigation was initiated on February 4, 2003 in response to a worker petition filed by a company official on behalf of workers of Jacobson Greenhouse, Inc., Spokane, Washington.

The petitioning group of workers was separated from the Jacobson