

20250–2000. All responses to this notice will become a matter of public record and be included in the request for OMB approval.

Signed at Washington, DC, April 24, 2000.

Rich Allen,

Associate Administrator.

[FR Doc. 00–11051 Filed 5–2–00; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3410–20–P

DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

Rural Housing Service

Notice of Funds Availability (NOFA) Inviting Applications for the Rural Community Development Initiative (RCDI); Correction

AGENCY: Rural Housing Service, USDA.

ACTION: Correction.

SUMMARY: The Rural Housing Service (RHS) corrects a notice published March 17, 2000 (65 FR 14525). This action is taken to correct the definition of “low-income community”.

Accordingly, the notice published March 17, 2000 (65 FR 14525), is corrected as follows:

On page 14525 in the third column under “Definitions for RCDI Purposes”, the definition for “Low-income community” should read “*Low-income community*—a city, town, village, county, parish, borough, or federally recognized Indian tribe with a median household income at, or below, 80 percent of the statewide median household income.”

Dated: April 26, 2000.

Inga Smulkstys,

Acting Under Secretary, Rural Development.

[FR Doc. 00–11053 Filed 5–2–00; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3410–XV–P

COMMISSION ON CIVIL RIGHTS

Agenda and Notice of Public Meeting of the Connecticut Advisory Committee

Notice is hereby given, pursuant to the provisions of the rules and regulations of the U.S. Commission on Civil Rights, that a meeting of the Connecticut Advisory Committee to the Commission will convene at 1:00 p.m. and recess at 10:00 p.m. on Wednesday, May 24, 2000; reconvene at 9:00 a.m. and adjourn at 5:10 p.m. on Thursday, May 25, 2000, at the Bridgeport Holiday Inn, 1070 Main Street, Bridgeport, Connecticut 06604. The Committee will hold a community forum on issues dealing with police-community relations and treatment of minority

students in public schools in Bridgeport, Connecticut. Invited panelists include local and Federal officials, civil rights advocates, community leaders and citizens.

Persons desiring additional information, or planning a presentation to the Committee, should contact Committee Chairperson Neil Macy, 860–242–7287, or Ki-Taek Chun, Director of the Eastern Regional Office, 202–376–7533 (TDD 202–376–8116). Hearing-impaired persons who will attend the meeting and require the services of a sign language interpreter should contact the Regional Office at least ten (10) working days before the scheduled date of the meeting.

The meeting will be conducted pursuant to the provisions of the rules and regulations of the Commission.

Dated at Washington, DC, April 27, 2000.

Lisa M. Kelly,

Special Assistant to the Staff Director, Regional Programs Coordination Unit.

[FR Doc. 00–10947 Filed 5–2–00; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6335–01–P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A–557–805]

Extruded Rubber Thread From Malaysia: Notice of Amended Final Results of Administrative Review in Accordance With Final Court Decision

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

ACTION: Notice of amended final results of antidumping duty administrative review in accordance with final court decision on extruded rubber thread from Malaysia.

SUMMARY: On November 24, 1999, the U.S. Court of International Trade (“the Court”) affirmed the Department of Commerce’s (“the Department’s”) remand determination of the final results of the third (1994–1995) antidumping duty administrative review of extruded rubber thread from Malaysia. No party has appealed this determination. As there is now a final and conclusive court decision in this action, we are amending our final results.

EFFECTIVE DATE: May 3, 2000.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Ron Trentham or Tom Futtner, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20230;

telephone: (202) 482–6320 and (202) 482–3814, respectively.

Applicable Statute and Regulations

Unless otherwise indicated, all citations to the statute are references to the provisions effective January 1, 1995, the effective date of the amendments made to the Tariff Act of 1930 (“the Act”) by the Uruguay Round Agreements Act (“URAA”).

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On June 20, 1997, the Department published *Extruded Rubber Thread From Malaysia, Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review*, 62 FR 33588 (June 20, 1997) (“*Final Results*”), covering the period October 1, 1994 through September 30, 1995. Subsequent to the publication of the Department’s *Final Results*, the respondents (Heveafil Sdn. Bhd., Rubberflex Sdn. Bhd., Rubfil Sdn. Bhd., and Filati Lastex Elastofibre (Malaysia)) appealed the *Final Results* to the Court.

Based on these challenges the Court remanded the *Final Results*. See *Heveafil Sdn. Bhd., Rubberflex Sdn. Bhd., Rubfil Sdn. Bhd., and Filati Lastex Elastofibre (Malaysia) v. the United States*, Slip Op. 99–69 (July 23, 1999). On remand, the Court instructed the Department to (1) repeat the verification of Rubberflex Sdn. Bhd. (“Rubberflex”); (2) correct the double-counting of general and administrative (“G&A”) and indirect selling expenses in the calculation of constructed value (“CV”) for Rubfil Sdn. Bhd. (“Rubfil”); and (3) eliminate the double-counting of marine insurance for Filati Lastex Elastofibre (“Filati”) in the calculation of Filati’s dumping margin.

As a result of settlement negotiations, Rubberflex entered into an agreement with the Department to settle the litigation and to dismiss its claim with respect to the lawsuit. On October 22, 1999, the Department filed its remand determination with the Court, addressing issues related to the remaining plaintiffs. In its determination, the Department corrected for the double-counting of G&A and indirect selling expenses in the calculation of CV for Rubfil. The Department also corrected for the double-counting of marine insurance in Filati’s margin calculation program.

As noted above, on November 24, 1999, the Court affirmed the Department’s remand results and no appeal was filed. As there is now a final and conclusive court decision in this action, we are amending our *Final Results* of review in this matter and we will instruct the U.S. Customs Service