Appendix A—Standard Routine Uses Applicable to NCUA Systems of Records

1. If a record in a system of records indicates a violation or potential violation of civil or criminal law or a regulation, and whether arising by general statute or particular program statute, or by regulation, rule, or order, the relevant records in the system or records may be disclosed as a routine use to the appropriate agency, whether Federal, State, local, or foreign, charged with the responsibility of investigating or prosecuting such violation or charged with enforcing or implementing the statute, rule, regulation, or order issued pursuant thereto.

2. A record from a system of records may be disclosed as a routine use to a Federal, State, or local agency which maintains civil, criminal, or other relevant enforcement information or other pertinent information, such as current licenses, if necessary, to obtain information relevant to an agency decision concerning the hiring or retention of an employee, the issuance of a security clearance, the letting of a contract, or the issuance of a license, grant, or other benefit.

3. A record from a system of records may be disclosed as a routine use to a Federal agency, in response to its request, for a matter concerning the hiring or retention of an employee, the issuance of a security clearance, the reporting of an investigation of an employee, the letting of a contract, or the issuance of a license, grant, or other benefit by the requesting agency, to the extent that the information is relevant and necessary to the requesting agency's decision in the matter.

4. A record from a system of records may be disclosed as a routine use to an authorized appeal grievance examiner, formal complaints examiner, equal employment opportunity investigator, arbitrator or other duly authorized official engaged in investigation or settlement of a grievance, complaint, or appeal filed by an employee. Further, a record from any system of records may be disclosed as a routine use to the Office of Personnel Management in accordance with the agency's responsibility for evaluation and oversight of Federal personnel management.

5. A record from a system of records may be disclosed as a routine use to officers and employees of a federal agency for purposes of audit.

6. A record from a system of records may be disclosed as a routine use to a member of Congress or to a congressional staff member in response to an inquiry from the congressional office made at the request of the individual about whom the record is maintained.

7. A record from a system of records may be disclosed as a routine use to the officers and employees of the General Services Administration (GSA) in connection with administrative services provided to this Agency under agreement with GSA.

8. Records in a system of records may be disclosed as a routine use to the Department of Justice, when: (a) NCUA, or any of its components or employees acting in their official capacities, is a party to litigation; or (b) Any employee of NCUA in his or her individual capacity is a party to litigation and where the Department of Justice has agreed to represent the employee; or (c) The United States is a party in litigation, where NCUA determines that litigation is likely to affect the agency or any of its components, is a party to litigation or has an interest in such litigation, and NCUA determines that use of such records is relevant and necessary to the litigation, provided, however, that in each case, NCUA determines that disclosure of the records to the Department of Justice is a use of the information contained in the records that is compatible with the purpose for which the records were collected.

9. Records in a system of records may be disclosed as a routine use in a proceeding before a court or adjudicative body before which NCUA is authorized to appear (a) when NCUA or any of its components or employees are acting in their official capacities; (b) where NCUA or any employee of NCUA in his or her individual capacity has agreed to represent the employee; or (c) where NCUA determines that litigation is likely to affect the agency or any of its components, is a party to litigation or has an interest in such litigation, and NCUA determines that use of such records is relevant and necessary to the litigation, provided, however, NCUA determines that disclosure of the records to the Department of Justice is a use of the information contained in the records that is compatible with the purpose for which the records were collected.

Appendix B—List of Regional Offices With Addresses and States Covered by Each Region

NCUA Region I Regional Office: 9 Washington Square, Washington Avenue Extension, Albany, NY 12205, Phone (518) 862–7400. States covered: Connecticut, Maine, Massachusetts, Michigan, New Hampshire, New York, Rhode Island, and Vermont.

NCUA Region II Regional Office: 1775 Duke Street, Suite 4206, Alexandria, VA 22314, Phone: (703) 519–4600. States covered: Delaware, District of Columbia, Maryland, New Jersey, Pennsylvania, Virginia, and West Virginia.

NCUA Region III Regional Office: 7000 Central Parkway, Suite 1600, Atlanta, GA 30328, Phone: (678) 443–3000. States covered: Alabama, Florida, Georgia, Indiana, Kentucky, Mississippi, North Carolina, Puerto Rico, Ohio, South Carolina, Tennessee, and Virgin Islands.

NCUA Region IV Regional Office: 4807 Spicewood Springs Road, Suite 5200, Austin, TX 78759, Phone: (512) 342–5600. States covered: Arkansas, Illinois Iowa, Kansas, Louisiana, Minnesota, Missouri, Nebraska, North Dakota, Oklahoma, South Dakota, Texas, and Wisconsin.

NCUA Region V Regional Office: 1230 West Washington Street, Suite 301, Tempe, AZ 85281, Phone: (602) 302–6000. States covered: Alaska, Arizona, California, Colorado, Guam, Hawaii, Idaho, Montana, Nevada, New Mexico, Oregon, Utah, Washington, and Wyoming.

By the National Credit Union Administration Board on June 24, 2010.

Mary F. Rupp,

Secretary of the Board.

[FR Doc. 2010–17330 Filed 7–15–10; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7535–01–P

THE NATIONAL FOUNDATION ON THE ARTS AND THE HUMANITIES

Meetings of Humanities Panel

AGENCY: The National Endowment for the Humanities.

ACTION: Notice of meetings.

SUMMARY: Pursuant to the provisions of the Federal Advisory Committee Act (Pub. L. 92–463, as amended), notice is hereby given that the following meetings of Humanities Panels will be held at the Old Post Office, 1100 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20506.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Michael P. McDonald, Advisory Committee Management Officer, National Endowment for the Humanities, Washington, DC 20506; telephone (202) 606–8322. Hearing-impaired individuals are advised that information on this matter may be obtained by contacting the Endowment's TDD terminal on (202) 606–8282.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The proposed meetings are for the purpose of panel review, discussion, evaluation and recommendation on applications for financial assistance under the National Foundation on the Arts and the Humanities Act of 1965, as amended, including discussion of information given in confidence to the agency by the grant applicants. Because the proposed meetings will consider information that is likely to disclose trade secrets and commercial or financial information obtained from a person and privileged or confidential and/or information of a personal nature the disclosure of which would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy, pursuant to authority granted me by the Chairman's Delegation of Authority to Close Advisory Committee meetings, dated July 19, 1993, I have determined that these meetings will be closed to the public pursuant to subsections (c)(4), and (6) of section 552b of Title 5, United States Code.

1. *Date:* August 2, 2010.
Time: 8:30 a.m. to 5 p.m.
Room: 315.
Program: This meeting will review applications for Language, Linguistics, Rhetoric, and Communication in Fellowships, submitted to the Division

of Research Programs at the May 4, 2010 deadline.

2. *Date:* August 2, 2010.
Time: 8:30 a.m. to 5 p.m.
Room: 415.
Program: This meeting will review applications for Middle Eastern Studies in Fellowships, submitted to the Division of Research Programs at the May 4, 2010 deadline.

3. *Date:* August 3, 2010.
Time: 9 a.m. to 5 p.m.
Room: 421.
Program: This meeting will review applications for Public Programming, submitted to the Office of Challenge Grants at the May 5, 2010 deadline.

4. *Date:* August 3, 2010.
Time: 8:30 a.m. to 5 p.m.
Room: 315.
Program: This meeting will review applications for South and Southeast Asian Studies in Fellowships, submitted to the Division of Research Programs at the May 4, 2010 deadline.

5. *Date:* August 3, 2010.
Time: 8:30 a.m. to 5 p.m.
Room: 415.
Program: This meeting will review applications for Medieval and Renaissance Studies in Fellowships, submitted to the Division of Research Programs at the May 4, 2010 deadline.

6. *Date:* August 3, 2010.
Time: 9 a.m. to 5 p.m.
Room: 421.
Program: This meeting will review applications for Public Programming, submitted to the Office of Challenge Grants at the May 5, 2010 deadline.

7. *Date:* August 4, 2010.
Time: 8:30 a.m. to 5 p.m.
Room: 315.
Program: This meeting will review applications for American History I in Fellowships, submitted to the Division of Research Programs at the May 4, 2010 deadline.

8. *Date:* August 4, 2010.
Time: 8:30 a.m. to 5 p.m.
Room: 415.
Program: This meeting will review applications for American History II in Fellowships, submitted to the Division of Research Programs at the May 4, 2010 deadline.

9. *Date:* August 5, 2010.
Time: 9 a.m. to 5 p.m.
Room: 421.
Program: This meeting will review applications for History II, submitted to the Office of Challenge Grants at the May 5, 2010 deadline.

10. *Date:* August 5, 2010.
Time: 8:30 a.m. to 5 p.m.
Room: 415.
Program: This meeting will review applications for American Studies II in Fellowships, submitted to the Division of Research Programs at the May 4, 2010 deadline.

11. *Date:* August 5, 2010.
Time: 8:30 a.m. to 5 p.m.
Room: 315.
Program: This meeting will review applications for Art History II in Fellowships, submitted to the Division of Research Programs at the May 4, 2010 deadline.

12. *Date:* August 6, 2010.
Time: 8:30 a.m. to 5 p.m.
Room: 315.
Program: This meeting will review applications for American History and Studies in Fellowships, submitted to the Division of Research Programs at the May 4, 2010 deadline.

13. *Date:* August 9, 2010.
Time: 8:30 a.m. to 5 p.m.
Room: 415.
Program: This meeting will review applications for Ancient and Classical Studies in Fellowships, submitted to the Division of Research Programs at the May 4, 2010 deadline.

14. *Date:* August 9, 2010.
Time: 8:30 a.m. to 5 p.m.
Room: 315.
Program: This meeting will review applications for Romance Studies in Fellowships, submitted to the Division of Research Programs at the May 4, 2010 deadline.

15. *Date:* August 10, 2010.
Time: 8:30 a.m. to 5 p.m.
Room: 315.
Program: This meeting will review applications for Art History I in Fellowships, submitted to the Division of Research Programs at the May 4, 2010 deadline.

16. *Date:* August 10, 2010.
Time: 8:30 a.m. to 5 p.m.
Room: 415.
Program: This meeting will review applications for Political Science and Jurisprudence in Fellowships, submitted to the Division of Research Programs at the May 4, 2010 deadline.

17. *Date:* August 11, 2010.
Time: 8:30 a.m. to 5 p.m.
Room: 315.
Program: This meeting will review applications for American Literature I in Fellowships, submitted to the Division of Research Programs at the May 4, 2010 deadline.

18. *Date:* August 11, 2010.
Time: 8:30 a.m. to 5 p.m.
Room: 415.
Program: This meeting will review applications for American Literature II in Fellowships, submitted to the Division of Research Programs at the May 4, 2010 deadline.

19. *Date:* August 12, 2010.
Time: 8:30 a.m. to 5 p.m.
Room: 415.
Program: This meeting will review applications for American History III in Fellowships, submitted to the Division of Research Programs at the May 4, 2010 deadline.

20. *Date:* August 12, 2010.
Time: 8:30 a.m. to 5 p.m.
Room: 315.
Program: This meeting will review applications for Sociology and Psychology in Fellowships, submitted to the Division of Research Programs at the May 4, 2010 deadline.

21. *Date:* August 16, 2010.
Time: 8:30 a.m. to 5 p.m.
Room: 315.
Program: This meeting will review applications for Advanced Social Science Research on Japan in Fellowships, submitted to the Division of Research Programs at the May 4, 2010 deadline.

22. *Date:* August 17, 2010.
Time: 8:30 a.m. to 5 p.m.
Room: 415.
Program: This meeting will review applications for American Literature and Studies in Awards for Faculty, submitted to the Division of Research Programs at the April 15, 2010 deadline.

23. *Date:* August 17, 2010.
Time: 8:30 a.m. to 5 p.m.
Room: 315.
Program: This meeting will review applications for History and Politics in Awards for Faculty, submitted to the Division of Research Programs at the April 15, 2010 deadline.

24. *Date:* August 18, 2010.
Time: 8:30 a.m. to 5 p.m.
Room: 315.
Program: This meeting will review applications for Literature, Philosophy, and the Arts in Awards for Faculty, submitted to the Division of Research Programs at the April 15, 2010 deadline.

25. *Date:* August 18, 2010.
Time: 8:30 a.m. to 5 p.m.
Room: 415.
Program: This meeting will review applications for Social Sciences and Ethnic Studies in Awards for Faculty, submitted to the Division of Research Programs at the April 15, 2010 deadline.

26. *Date:* August 19, 2010.
Time: 8:30 a.m. to 5 p.m.
Room: 415.
Program: This meeting will review applications for Old and New World Archaeology in Fellowships, submitted to the Division of Research Programs at the May 4, 2010 deadline.

27. *Date:* August 19, 2010.
Time: 8:30 a.m. to 5 p.m.

Room: 315.

Program: This meeting will review applications for Modern European History II in Fellowships, submitted to the Division of Research Programs at the May 4, 2010 deadline.

Michael P. McDonald,

Advisory Committee, Management Officer.

[FR Doc. 2010–17408 Filed 7–15–10; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7536–01–P

NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

[NRC–2010–0238]

Report to Congress on Abnormal Occurrences Fiscal Year 2009; Dissemination of Information

Section 208 of the Energy Reorganization Act of 1974 (Pub. L. 93–438) defines an abnormal occurrence (AO) as an unscheduled incident or event which the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) determines to be significant from the standpoint of public health or safety. The Federal Reports Elimination and Sunset Act of 1995 (Pub. L. 104–68) requires that AOs be reported to Congress annually. During Fiscal Year 2009, nine events that occurred at facilities licensed or otherwise regulated by the NRC and/or Agreement States were determined to be AOs. The report describes three events at NRC-licensed facilities. All three NRC-licensee events were medical events, as defined in Title 10, Part 35, of the Code of Federal Regulations (10 CFR part 35). The report also describes six events at Agreement State-licensed facilities. [Agreement States are those States that have entered into formal agreements with the NRC pursuant to Section 274 of the Atomic Energy Act (AEA) to regulate certain quantities of AEA licensed material at facilities located within their borders.] Currently, there are 37 Agreement States. The first two Agreement State-licensee events involved radiation exposure to an embryo/fetus. The other four Agreement State-licensee events were medical events, as defined in 10 CFR part 35, and occurred at medical institutions. As required by Section 208, the discussion for each event includes the date and place, nature and probable consequences, the cause or causes, and the actions taken to prevent recurrence. Each event is also being described in NUREG–0090, Vol. 32, "Report to Congress on Abnormal Occurrences: Fiscal Year 2009." This report is available electronically at the NRC Web site *http://www.nrc.gov/reading-rm/doc-collections/nuregs/staff/.*

There are three major categories of events reported in this document: I. For All Licensees, II. For Commercial Nuclear Power Plant Licensees, and III. Events at Facilities Other Than Nuclear Power Plants and All Transportation Events. The full report, available on the NRC Web site, provides the specific criteria for determining when an event is an abnormal occurrence (AO) and discusses "Other Events of Interest" that do not meet the AO criteria but which the Commission has determined should be included in the report. The event identification number begins with "AS" for Agreement State AO events and "NRC" for NRC AO events.

I. For All Licensees

A. Human Exposure to Radiation From Licensed Material

During this reporting period, two events at Agreement State-licensed facilities were significant enough to be reported as abnormal occurrences (AOs). Although both of these events occurred at medical facilities, they both involved unintended exposures to individuals who were not the patient. Therefore, these events belong under the criteria I.A, "For All Licensees" category as opposed to the criteria III.C, "For Medical Licensees" category.

AS09–01 Human Exposure to Radiation at Chester County Hospital in West Chester, Pennsylvania

Date and Place—March 30, 2009, West Chester, Pennsylvania.

Nature and Probable Consequences—Chester County Hospital (the licensee) reported that a therapeutic dose of 2,001.7 MBq (54.1 mCi) of iodine-131 resulted in a dose to an embryo/fetus of 119 mSv (11.9 rem). On March 30, 2009, the patient was given a pregnancy test and it yielded a negative result. Based on the negative pregnancy test, the licensee administered the iodine-131 to the patient.

On May 13, 2009, the patient informed the authorized user that she was pregnant. The administration of iodine-131 was given to the patient approximately 5 days post-conception, a time period at which the thyroid had not developed. The hospital discovered the pregnancy at 9.5 weeks gestation, at which time the thyroid had developed. Due to residual iodine-131 in the patient's system, both a whole body and an organ dose exposure occurred. The hospital calculated a total whole body dose to the embryo/fetus of 119 mSv (11.9 rem) and a fetal thyroid dose of 9.7 mSv (0.97 rem). The hospital recommended that the patient consult with a genetic counselor for any potential health effects to the embryo/fetus.

Cause(s)—The cause of this event was the close proximity of conception, which resulted in a negative pregnancy test, to the administration of iodine-131.

Actions Taken To Prevent Recurrence:

Licensee—The licensee is providing additional instructions to its staff to strongly emphasize to patients the risks associated with being pregnant prior to the administration of radioiodine treatments.

State—The State conducted a follow-up inspection and did not take any enforcement action regarding this event.

* * * * *

AS09–02 Human Exposure to Radiation at Loyola University Medical Center in Maywood, Illinois

Date and Place—September 21, 2009, Maywood, Illinois.

Nature and Probable Consequences—Loyola University Medical Center (the licensee) reported that the administration of 925 MBq (25 mCi) of iodine-131 resulted in a dose to an embryo/fetus of 67 mSv (6.7 rem). Prior to the administration of iodine-131, a urinary pregnancy test was conducted by the licensee on September 21, 2009, and it yielded a negative result. On September 29, 2009, the patient notified the licensee that she took a home pregnancy test and it was positive. The patient's pregnancy was confirmed by an independent clinic that administered a second pregnancy test.

The administration of iodine-131 was given to the patient at 2 to 3 weeks gestation (as determined by a consulting physician), a time period at which the thyroid had not developed. Shortly thereafter, the pregnancy ended. The licensee calculated a total whole body dose of 67 mSv (6.7 rem) to the embryo/fetus. There was no dose to the fetal thyroid since the pregnancy had ended before the thyroid had developed.

Cause(s)—The cause of this event was the close proximity of conception, which resulted in a negative pregnancy test, to the administration of iodine-131.

Actions Taken To Prevent Recurrence:

Licensee—The licensee reviewed its established patient selection criteria, screening methods, and testing protocols for any procedural changes. A more sensitive pregnancy test for women capable of bearing children will now be conducted no more than a few days prior to the dose administration.

State—After consulting an expert, the State determined that the administration occurred before the development of the thyroid. The State also performed independent calculations that verified the estimate of the fetal dose by the