

United States Trade Representative,
(202) 395-6120.

Robert B. Zoellick,

United States Trade Representative.

[FR Doc. 01-24333 Filed 9-27-01; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3190-01-M

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Federal Aviation Administration

Air Traffic Procedures Advisory Committee

AGENCY: Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), DOT.

SUMMARY: The FAA is issuing this notice to advise the public that a meeting of the Federal Aviation Administration Air Traffic Procedures Advisory Committee (ATPAC) will be held to review present air traffic control procedures and practices for standardization, clarification, and upgrading of terminology and procedures.

DATES: The meeting will be held from October 10-12, 2001, from 8 a.m. to 4 p.m. each day.

ADDRESSES: The meeting will be held at the Department of Transportation, NASSIF Building, 400 7th Street, SW., Washington, DC 20590.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Mr. Eric Harrell, Executive Director, ATPAC, Terminal and En Route Procedures Division, 800 Independence Avenue, SW., Washington, DC 20591, telephone (202) 267-3725.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Pursuant to Section 10(a)(2) of the Federal Advisory Committee Act (Pub. L. 92-463; 5 U.S.C. App. 2), notice is hereby given of a meeting of the ATPAC to be held October 10 through October 12, 2001, at the Department of Transportation, NASSIF Building, 400 7th Street, SW., Washington, DC 20590.

The agenda for this meeting will cover: a continuation of the Committee's review of present air traffic control procedures and practices for standardization, clarification, and upgrading of terminology and procedures. It will also include:

1. Approval of Minutes.
2. Submission and Discussion of Areas of Concern.
3. Discussion of Potential Safety Items.
4. Report from Executive Director.
5. Items of Interest.
6. Discussion and agreement of location and dates for subsequent meetings.

Attendance is open to the interested public but limited to the space available. With the approval of the

Chairperson, members of the public may present oral statements at the meeting. Persons desiring to attend and persons desiring to present oral statements should notify the person listed above not later than October 1, 2001. The next quarterly meeting of the FAA ATPAC is planned to be held from October 10-12, 2001, in Washington, DC.

Any member of the public may present a written statement to the Committee at any time at the address given above.

Issued in Washington, DC, on September 18, 2001.

David W. Madison,

Executive Director, Air Traffic Procedures Advisory Committee.

[FR Doc. 01-23778 Filed 9-27-01; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910-13-M

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Federal Aviation Administration

Planned Establishment of the Raleigh-Durham International Airport Class B Airspace Area, NC; and Revocation of the Raleigh-Durham International Airport Class C Airspace Area, NC

AGENCY: Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), DOT.

ACTION: Notice of public meetings.

SUMMARY: This notice announces two fact-finding informal airspace meetings to solicit information from airspace users, and others, concerning a plan to establish a Class B airspace area at the Raleigh-Durham International Airport, NC. The purpose of these meetings is to provide interested parties an opportunity to present views, recommendations, and comments on the plan to establish the Raleigh-Durham, NC, Class B airspace area. All comments received during these meetings will be considered prior to any revision or issuance of a notice of proposed rulemaking.

TIMES AND DATES: *Meetings.* These informal airspace meetings will be held on Tuesday, December 4, 2001, at 7 p.m.; and Wednesday, December 5, 2001, at 7 p.m. Comments must be received on or before January 4, 2002.

ADDRESSES: Both meetings will be held at the Raleigh-Durham Airport Authority, Room 100, 1000 Trade Drive, at the Raleigh-Durham International Airport, NC.

Comments: Send comments on the proposal in triplicate to: Manager, Air Traffic Division, ASO-500, Federal Aviation Administration, P.O. Box 20636, Atlanta, GA 30320.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Richard A. Belmonte, Manager, Raleigh-Durham Airport Traffic Control Tower, Raleigh-Durham International Airport, 1000 Sawyer Circle, Raleigh, NC 27623; telephone (919) 840-5502.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Meeting Procedures

(a) These meetings will be informal in nature and will be conducted by one or more representatives of the FAA Southern Region. A representative from the FAA will present a formal briefing on the proposed Class B airspace area. Each participant will be given an opportunity to deliver comments or make a presentation at the meetings. Only comments concerning the proposal to establish a Class B airspace area will be accepted.

(b) These meetings will be open to all persons on a space-available basis. There will be no admission fee or other charge to attend and participate.

(c) Any person wishing to make a presentation to the FAA panel will be asked to sign in and estimate the amount of time needed for such presentation. This will permit the panel to allocate an appropriate amount of time for each presenter.

(d) These meetings will not be adjourned until everyone on the list has had an opportunity to address the panel.

(e) Position papers or other handout material relating to the substance of these meetings will be accepted. Participants wishing to submit handout material should present three copies to the presiding officer. There should be additional copies of each handout available for other attendees.

(f) These meetings will not be formally recorded.

Agenda for the Meetings

- Presentation of Meeting Procedures.
- Presentation on the planned Class B airspace area at Raleigh-Durham, NC.
- Public Presentations and Discussions.
- Closing Comments.

Issued in Washington, DC, on September 24, 2001.

Reginald C. Matthews,

Manager, Airspace and Rules Division.

[FR Doc. 01-24428 Filed 9-27-01; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910-13-P

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Federal Highway Administration

Supplemental Environmental Impact Statement: City of Charlottesville and Albemarle County, Virginia

AGENCY: Federal Highway Administration, DOT.

ACTION: Notice of Intent.

SUMMARY: The Federal Highway Administration (FHWA) is issuing this notice to advise the public of its intent to prepare a supplemental Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) in cooperation with the Virginia Department of Transportation (VDOT) to more thoroughly examine the impacts associated with the selected bypass alternative (Alternative 10) from the Route 29 Corridor Study final EIS and the subsequent changes to the termini on the South Fork Rivanna River Reservoir and archeological resources not previously accounted for.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Edward Sundra, Senior Environmental Specialist, Federal Highway Administration, Post Office Box 10249, Richmond, Virginia 23240-0249, Telephone 804-775-3338.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: In the late-1980s, an EIS was initiated to address congestion on Route 29 through the City of Charlottesville and Albemarle County in central Virginia. In 1993, a Record of Decision (ROD) was issued by FHWA which identified a series of improvements to address the project's purpose and need. This series of improvements included a bypass alternative known as Alternative 10 located west of existing Route 29. Shortly after issuing the ROD, changes were requested by the localities to the termini of the bypass. To address these changes, an Environmental Assessment was prepared which concluded that a supplemental EIS was not required. In 1996, a Reevaluation was initiated to address design changes to the bypass recommended by a local design advisory committee as well as other issues that arose since the EA. In 1998, litigation was brought against the project by the Southern Environmental Law Center on behalf of the Piedmont Environmental Council and Sierra Club alleging violations of the National Environmental Policy Act and section 4(f) of the U.S. Department of Transportation Act of 1966. On March 13, 2000, FHWA completed its Reevaluation and issued a revised ROD documenting the changes to the selected alternative and the mitigation for the bypass. In August of 2001, a judge for the United States District Court in the City of Charlottesville rendered his decision on the litigation granting the plaintiffs motion for summary judgment in part. As a result, the Court enjoined further action on the project until a supplemental EIS was completed which addressed the issues enumerated in the judge's memorandum opinion—impacts

to the South Fork Rivanna River Reservoir and archeological resources which had not been previously considered. On the other eight counts raised by the plaintiffs, the judge granted the defendants' motion for summary judgment concluding that FHWA adequately considered the issues raised by the plaintiffs in the NEPA process and were not arbitrary and capricious in rendering its decision. Therefore, this supplemental EIS will be of limited scope with the purpose of determining whether the FHWA decision for the selected alternative represented by the revised ROD dated March 13, 2001, remains reasonable once the impacts of the bypass on the South Fork Rivanna River Reservoir and archeological resources not currently accounted for are thoroughly examined and considered.

In accordance with 40 CFR 1502.9(c)(4), scoping will not be reinitiated for the project. To support the development of the supplemental EIS, local officials and State and Federal agencies will be coordinated with as necessary, given the limited scope of the supplemental EIS. Letters describing the proposed study and soliciting input will be sent to the appropriate agencies which are known to have an interest or legal role in the project. Once completed, copies of the supplemental EIS will be sent to all recipients of the final EIS for the Route 29 Corridor Study. A public hearing is planned where the draft supplemental EIS will be made available to the public for review and comment prior to and after the hearing. Notices of the public hearing will be given through various forums, including the newspaper, providing the time and place of the meeting along with other relevant information. Any comments that are received during the public comment period that address the issues for which the supplemental EIS is being prepared will be considered before FHWA renders its decision regarding the existing selected alternative. Any comments that are received which address issues which the Court has already determined have been adequately addressed will be reviewed but not considered unless they raise significant new information.

Comments and questions concerning the development of the supplemental EIS and its scope should be directed to FHWA at the address provided above. Preparation of this supplemental EIS does not require the withdrawal of any previous approvals or documents.

(Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance Program Number 20.205, Highway Planning

and Construction. The regulations implementing Executive Order 12372 regarding intergovernmental consultation on Federal programs and activities apply to this proposed action.)

Authority: 23 U.S.C. 315; 49 CFR 1.48.

Issued on: September 14, 2001.

Edward S. Sundra,

Senior Environmental Specialist.

[FR Doc. 01-24287 Filed 9-27-01; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910-22-M

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Federal Highway Administration

Environmental Assessment or Environmental Impact Statement: Summit County, OH

AGENCY: Federal Highway Administration (FHWA), DOT.

ACTION: Notice of intent.

SUMMARY: The FHWA is issuing this notice to advise the public that an environmental assessment or environmental impact statement will be prepared for a proposed project in Summit County, Ohio.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Michael B. Armstrong, Urban Programs Engineer, Federal Highway Administration, 200 N. High Street, Room 328, Columbus, Ohio 43215, Telephone: (614) 280-6855.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The FHWA, in cooperation with the Ohio Department of Transportation (ODOT), will prepare an environmental assessment (EA) or environmental impact statement (EIS) on a proposal to upgrade 5.2 miles of SR 8 between SR 303 and I-271 in Summit County, Ohio.

Upgrading SR 8 is considered necessary to improve the traffic flow and to meet current design standards. The proposal will reduce the existing vehicular traffic congestion along SR8.

Alternatives under consideration include: (1) Taking no action; (2) upgrading the existing 4-lane controlled access facility to a 4-lane limited access facility; (3) constructing a highway on new alignment; and (4) upgrading the existing 4-lane controlled access facility to a 6-lane controlled access facility.

Letters describing the proposed action and soliciting comments will be sent to appropriate Federal, State and, local agencies, and to private organizations and citizens who have previously expressed or are known to have interest in this proposal. A public hearing was previously held for the project on May 24, 2000. In Spring of 2002, an additional public hearing will be held in the project area. Public notice will be