

as interested parties to a proceeding contact Commerce in writing within 10 days of the publication of the Notice of Initiation.

Please note that if Commerce receives a Notice of Intent to Participate from a member of the domestic industry within 15 days of the date of initiation, the review will continue.

Thereafter, any interested party wishing to participate in the Sunset Review must provide substantive comments in response to the notice of initiation no later than 30 days after the date of initiation. Note that Commerce has modified certain of its requirements for serving documents containing business proprietary information, until further notice.<sup>1</sup>

This notice is not required by statute but is published as a service to the international trading community.

Dated: December 18, 2020.

**James Maeder,**

*Deputy Assistant Secretary for Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Operations.*

[FR Doc. 2020–29121 Filed 1–4–21; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P**

**DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE**

**International Trade Administration**

[A–520–807]

**Circular Welded Carbon-Quality Steel Pipe From the United Arab Emirates: Amended Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review; 2017–2018**

**AGENCY:** Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**SUMMARY:** The Department of Commerce (Commerce) is amending the final results of the administrative review of

the antidumping duty (AD) order on circular welded carbon-quality steel pipe (CWP) from the United Arab Emirates (UAE) to correct a ministerial error.

**DATES:** Applicable January 5, 2021.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Benjamin Luberd, AD/CVD Operations, Office II, Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 1401 Constitution Avenue NW, Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482–2185.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**

**Background**

On December 1, 2020, Commerce published the *Final Results* of the 2017–2018 administrative review of CWP from the UAE in the **Federal Register**.<sup>1</sup> On November 30, 2020, Universal Tube and Plastic Industries, Ltd., KHK Scaffolding and Framework LLC, and THL Pipe and Tube Industries LLC (collectively, Universal), one of two companies selected for individual examination in this administrative review, alleged the existence of a ministerial error in Commerce’s *Final Results*.<sup>2</sup>

**Legal Framework**

A ministerial error, as defined in section 751(h) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act), includes “errors in addition, subtraction, or other arithmetic function, clerical errors resulting from inaccurate copying, duplication, or the like, and any other type of unintentional error which the administering authority considers ministerial.”<sup>3</sup> With respect to final results of administrative reviews, 19 CFR 351.224(e) provides that Commerce “will analyze any comments received and, if appropriate, correct any

ministerial error by amending . . . the final results of review.”

**Ministerial Error**

Commerce committed an inadvertent error within the meaning of section 751(h) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.224(f) by incorrectly calculating Universal’s home market commissions. Accordingly, we determine, in accordance with section 751(h) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.224(f), that we made a ministerial error in the *Final Results*. Pursuant to 19 CFR 351.224(e), we are amending the *Final Results* to correct this error. This correction results in a change to Universal’s weighted-average dumping margin and also changes the rate calculated for the non-individually-examined companies. For a detailed discussion of the ministerial error allegation, as well as Commerce’s analysis, see Ministerial Error Memorandum.<sup>4</sup>

**Correction**

Subsequent to the publication of the notice in the **Federal Register**, we identified an inadvertent error in the *Final Results* in addition to the one alleged by Universal. Specifically, Commerce inadvertently referenced the review-specific rate of 3.14 percent as the all-others rate in the cash deposit section of the notice. However, the all-others rate, established in the investigation, is 5.95 percent.<sup>5</sup> The corrected cash deposit section of the notice is below.

**Amended Final Results of the Review**

We are assigning the following weighted-average dumping margins to the firms listed below for the period December 1, 2017 through November 30, 2018:

Producers/exporters	Weighted-average dumping margin (percent)
Conares Metal Supply Ltd .....	2.49
Universal Tube and Plastic Industries, Ltd./THL Tube and Pipe Industries LLC/KHK Scaffolding and Framework LLC <sup>8</sup> .....	3.63

**Review-Specific Average Rate Applicable to the Following Companies:<sup>6</sup>**

Abu Dhabi Metal Pipes and Profiles Industries Complex .....	3.06
Ajmal Steel Tubes & Pipes Ind. L.L.C./Noble Steel Industries L.L.C. <sup>7</sup> .....	3.06
Al Mansoori Industrial Supply .....	3.06
Baker Hughes EHO Ltd .....	3.06
BioAir Solutions LLC .....	3.06

<sup>1</sup> See *Temporary Rule Modifying AD/CVD Service Requirements Due to COVID-19; Extension of Effective Period*, 85 FR 41363 (July 10, 2020).

<sup>2</sup> See *Circular Welded Carbon-Quality Steel Pipe from the United Arab Emirates: Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review; 2017–2018*, 85 FR 77159 (December 1, 2020) (*Final Results*), and accompanying Issues and Decision Memorandum.

<sup>3</sup> See Universal’s Letter, “Circular Welded Carbon-Quality Steel Pipe from the United Arab Emirates—Ministerial Error Comments,” dated November 30, 2020.

<sup>4</sup> See 19 CFR 351.224(f).

<sup>5</sup> See Memorandum, “Less-Than-Fair-Value Administrative Review of Circular Welded Carbon-Quality Steel Pipe from the United Arab Emirates: Allegation of Ministerial Errors in the Final

Determination,” dated concurrently with this notice (Ministerial Error Memorandum).

<sup>6</sup> See *Circular Welded Carbon-Quality Steel Pipe from the Sultanate of Oman, Pakistan, and the United Arab Emirates: Amended Final Affirmative Antidumping Duty Determination and Antidumping Duty Orders*, 81 FR 91906, 91908 (December 19, 2016).

Producers/exporters	Weighted-average dumping margin (percent)
Bridgeway Shipping & Clearing Services, LLC .....	3.06
Ferrofab FTZ .....	3.06
Ferrolab LLC .....	3.06
Global Steel Industries .....	3.06
Halima Pipe Co., Ltd .....	3.06
K.D. Industries Inc .....	3.06
Lamprell .....	3.06
Link Middle East Ltd .....	3.06
Noble Marine Metals Co., W.L.L .....	3.06
PSL FZE .....	3.06
Reyah Metal Trading FZE .....	3.06
Three Star Metal Ind LLC .....	3.06
Tiger Steel Industries LLC .....	3.06

**Disclosure**

We intend to disclose the calculations performed for these amended final results in accordance with 19 CFR 351.224(b).

**Antidumping Duty Assessment**

Pursuant to section 751(a)(2)(C) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.212(b)(1), Commerce has determined, and U.S. Customs and Border Protection (CBP) shall assess, antidumping duties on all appropriate entries of subject merchandise in accordance with the amended final results of this review.

Pursuant to 19 CFR 351.212(b)(1), because Conares Metal Supply Ltd and Universal reported the entered value of their U.S. sales, we calculated importer-specific *ad valorem* duty assessment rates based on the ratio of the total amount of dumping calculated for the examined sales to the total entered value of the sales for which entered value was reported. Where an importer-specific rate is zero or *de minimis* within the meaning of 19 CFR 351.106(c)(1), we will instruct CBP to liquidate the appropriate entries without regard to antidumping duties.

For the companies which were not selected for individual review, we will

assign an assessment rate based on the average<sup>8</sup> of the cash deposit rates calculated for Conares Metal Supply Ltd and Universal. The amended final results of this review shall be the basis for the assessment of antidumping duties on entries of merchandise covered by the amended final results of this review and for future deposits of estimated duties, where applicable.<sup>9</sup>

Commerce’s “automatic assessment” practice will apply to entries of subject merchandise during the POR produced by companies included in these final results of review for which the reviewed companies did not know that the merchandise they sold to the intermediary (*e.g.*, a reseller, trading company, or exporter) was destined for the United States. In such instances, we will instruct CBP to liquidate unreviewed entries at the all-others rate if there is no rate for the intermediate company(ies) involved in the transaction.

We intend to issue liquidation instructions for Universal and the companies covered by the non-reviewed companies’ rate to CBP 15 days after publication of these amended final results of this administrative review.

**Cash Deposit Requirements**

The following cash deposit requirements will be effective for all shipments of the subject merchandise entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after December 1, 2020, the date of publication date of the *Final Results* of this administrative review, as provided by section 751(a)(2)(C) of the Act: (1) The cash deposit rate for each specific company listed above will be that established in the amended final results; (2) for previously reviewed or investigated companies, including those for which Commerce may have determined had no shipments during the POR, the cash

deposit will continue to be the company-specific rate published for the most recently completed segment of this proceeding in which the company participated; (3) if the exporter is not a firm covered in this or an earlier review, or the original less-than-fair-value (LTFV) investigation, but the manufacturer is, the cash deposit rate will be the rate established for the most recently completed segment of this proceeding for the manufacturer of the merchandise; and (4) if neither the exporter nor the manufacturer is a firm covered in this or any previously completed segment of this proceeding, then the cash deposit rate will be the all-others rate of 5.95 percent established in the LTFV investigation.<sup>10</sup> These deposit requirements, when imposed, shall remain in effect until further notice.

**Notification to Importers**

This notice serves as a final reminder to importers of their responsibility under 19 CFR 351.402(f)(2) to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during this review period. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in the Secretary’s presumption that reimbursement of antidumping duties occurred and the subsequent assessment of doubled antidumping duties.

**Administrative Protective Order**

This notice serves as the only reminder to parties subject to administrative protective order (APO) of their responsibility concerning the disposition of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance

<sup>6</sup> This rate is based on the simple average of the margins calculated for those companies selected for individual review. Because we cannot apply our normal methodology of calculating a weighted-average margin due to requests to protect business proprietary information, we find this rate to be the best proxy of the actual weighted-average margin determined for the mandatory respondents. See *Ball Bearings and Parts Thereof from France, et al.: Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Reviews, Final Results of Changed-Circumstances Review, and Revocation of an Order in Part*, 75 FR 53661, 53663 (September 1, 2010).

<sup>7</sup> We collapsed Ajmal Steel Tubes and Pipes Ind. L.L.C. and Noble Steel Industries L.L.C. together in the final results of the 2016–2017 administrative review. See *Circular Welded Carbon-Quality Steel Pipe from the United Arab Emirates: Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review; 2016–2017*, 84 FR 44845 (August 27, 2019).

<sup>8</sup> This rate was calculated as discussed in a n.6, *supra*.

<sup>9</sup> See section 751(a)(2)(C) of the Act.

<sup>10</sup> See *Circular Welded Carbon-Quality Steel Pipe from the Sultanate of Oman, Pakistan, and the United Arab Emirates: Amended Final Affirmative Antidumping Duty Determination and Antidumping Duty Orders*, 81 FR 91906 (December 19, 2016).

with 19 CFR 351.305(a)(3), which continues to govern business proprietary information in this segment of the proceeding. Timely written notification of return/destruction of APO materials or conversion to judicial protective order is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and the terms of an APO is a sanctionable violation.

#### Notification to Interested Parties

This amended notice is issued and published in accordance with sections 751(h) and 777(i) of the Act.

Dated: December 28, 2020.

**Jeffrey I. Kessler,**

*Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance.*

[FR Doc. 2020–29180 Filed 1–4–21; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 3510–DS–P**

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

### International Trade Administration

#### Antidumping or Countervailing Duty Order, Finding, or Suspended Investigation; Opportunity To Request Administrative Review

**AGENCY:** Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Brenda E. Brown, Office of AD/CVD Operations, Customs Liaison Unit, Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 1401 Constitution Avenue NW, Washington, DC 20230, telephone: (202) 482–4735.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

##### Background

Each year during the anniversary month of the publication of an antidumping or countervailing duty order, finding, or suspended investigation, an interested party, as defined in section 771(9) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act), may request, in accordance with 19 CFR 351.213, that the Department of Commerce (Commerce) conduct an administrative review of that antidumping or countervailing duty order, finding, or suspended investigation.

All deadlines for the submission of comments or actions by Commerce discussed below refer to the number of calendar days from the applicable starting date.

##### Respondent Selection

In the event Commerce limits the number of respondents for individual

examination for administrative reviews initiated pursuant to requests made for the orders identified below, Commerce intends to select respondents based on U.S. Customs and Border Protection (CBP) data for U.S. imports during the period of review. We intend to release the CBP data under Administrative Protective Order (APO) to all parties having an APO within five days of publication of the initiation notice and to make our decision regarding respondent selection within 21 days of publication of the initiation **Federal Register** notice. Therefore, we encourage all parties interested in commenting on respondent selection to submit their APO applications on the date of publication of the initiation notice, or as soon thereafter as possible. Commerce invites comments regarding the CBP data and respondent selection within five days of placement of the CBP data on the record of the review.

In the event Commerce decides it is necessary to limit individual examination of respondents and conduct respondent selection under section 777A(c)(2) of the Act:

In general, Commerce finds that determinations concerning whether particular companies should be “collapsed” (*i.e.*, treated as a single entity for purposes of calculating antidumping duty rates) require a substantial amount of detailed information and analysis, which often require follow-up questions and analysis. Accordingly, Commerce will not conduct collapsing analyses at the respondent selection phase of a review and will not collapse companies at the respondent selection phase unless there has been a determination to collapse certain companies in a previous segment of this antidumping proceeding (*i.e.*, investigation, administrative review, new shipper review or changed circumstances review). For any company subject to a review, if Commerce determined, or continued to treat, that company as collapsed with others, Commerce will assume that such companies continue to operate in the same manner and will collapse them for respondent selection purposes. Otherwise, Commerce will not collapse companies for purposes of respondent selection. Parties are requested to (a) identify which companies subject to review previously were collapsed, and (b) provide a citation to the proceeding in which they were collapsed. Further, if companies are requested to complete a Quantity and Value Questionnaire for purposes of respondent selection, in general each company must report volume and value data separately for itself. Parties should not include data

for any other party, even if they believe they should be treated as a single entity with that other party. If a company was collapsed with another company or companies in the most recently completed segment of a proceeding where Commerce considered collapsing that entity, complete quantity and value data for that collapsed entity must be submitted.

#### Deadline for Withdrawal of Request for Administrative Review

Pursuant to 19 CFR 351.213(d)(1), a party that requests a review may withdraw that request within 90 days of the date of publication of the notice of initiation of the requested review. The regulation provides that Commerce may extend this time if it is reasonable to do so. Determinations by Commerce to extend the 90-day deadline will be made on a case-by-case basis.

#### Deadline for Particular Market Situation Allegation

Section 504 of the Trade Preferences Extension Act of 2015 amended the Act by adding the concept of particular market situation (PMS) for purposes of constructed value under section 773(e) of the Act.<sup>1</sup> Section 773(e) of the Act states that “if a particular market situation exists such that the cost of materials and fabrication or other processing of any kind does not accurately reflect the cost of production in the ordinary course of trade, the administering authority may use another calculation methodology under this subtitle or any other calculation methodology.” When an interested party submits a PMS allegation pursuant to section 773(e) of the Act, Commerce will respond to such a submission consistent with 19 CFR 351.301(c)(2)(v). If Commerce finds that a PMS exists under section 773(e) of the Act, then it will modify its dumping calculations appropriately.

Neither section 773(e) of the Act nor 19 CFR 351.301(c)(2)(v) set a deadline for the submission of PMS allegations and supporting factual information. However, in order to administer section 773(e) of the Act, Commerce must receive PMS allegations and supporting factual information with enough time to consider the submission. Thus, should an interested party wish to submit a PMS allegation and supporting new factual information pursuant to section 773(e) of the Act, it must do so no later than 20 days after submission of initial Section D responses.

<sup>1</sup> See Trade Preferences Extension Act of 2015, Public Law 114–27, 129 Stat. 362 (2015).