

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** The Commission instituted this investigation on June 10, 2004, based on a complaint filed by Osram GmbH and Osram Opto Semiconductors GmbH of Germany (collectively, "Osram"). The complaint alleged violations of section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930 (19 U.S.C. 1337) in the importation into the United States, the sale for importation, and the sale within the United States after importation of certain light-emitting diodes and products containing the same due to infringement of various claims of United States Patent Nos. 6,066,861, 6,277,301, 6,613,247, 6,245,259, 6,592,780 ("the Particle Size Patents"), 6,576,930 ("the '930 patent"), 6,376,902, 6,469,321, 6,573,580 ("the Lead Frame Patents"), and 6,716,673 ("the '673 patent").

The Commission found that respondent Dominant Semiconductors Sdn. Bhd. violated section 337 with respect to the Lead Frame Patents and the '673 patent, but not with regard to the Particle Size Patents and the '930 patent. The Commission issued a limited exclusion order directed to light emitting diodes that infringe the Lead Frame Patents and the '673 patent. On appeal by Osram, the Federal Circuit reversed the Commission's determination of no violation with regard to the Particle Size Patents and remanded the investigation to the Commission for further proceedings, *i.e.*, remedy, the public interest, and bonding. Prior to the remand, the parties had briefed the issues of remedy, the public interest, and bonding.

Having examined the record of this investigation, including the submissions of the parties, the Commission has determined on remand (1) to issue a limited exclusion order with respect to the Particle Size Patents; (2) that the public interest factors set out in 19 U.S.C. 1337(d) do not preclude issuance of such an order; and (3) that the amount of the bond to be posted pursuant to 19 U.S.C. 1337(j) during the Presidential review period be set at 100 percent of the entered value of the subject articles. The Commission's order was delivered to the President on the day of its issuance. The Commission's prior remedial order issued in this investigation remains in effect.

The authority for the Commission's determination is contained in section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (19 U.S.C. 1337).

By order of the Commission.

Issued: March 28, 2008.

**Marilyn R. Abbott,**

*Secretary to the Commission.*

[FR Doc. E8-6805 Filed 4-1-08; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 7020-02-P**

## INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[USITC SE-08-006]

### Government in the Sunshine Act Meeting Notice

**AGENCY HOLDING THE MEETING:** United States International Trade Commission.

**TIME AND DATE:** April 10, 2008 at 11 a.m.

**PLACE:** Room 101, 500 E Street, SW., Washington, DC 20436, Telephone: (202) 205-2000.

**STATUS:** Open to the public.

#### MATTERS TO BE CONSIDERED:

1. Agenda for future meetings: None.
2. Minutes.
3. Ratification List.
4. Inv. Nos. 701-TA-415 and 731-TA-933 and 934 (Review) (Polyethylene Terephthalate (PET) Film, Sheet, and Strip from India and Taiwan)—briefing and vote. (The Commission is currently scheduled to transmit its determinations and Commissioners' opinions to the Secretary of Commerce on or before April 23, 2008.)
5. Outstanding action jackets: None.

In accordance with Commission policy, subject matter listed above, not disposed of at the scheduled meeting, may be carried over to the agenda of the following meeting.

By order of the Commission.

Issued: March 28, 2008.

**William R. Bishop,**

*Hearings and Meetings Coordinator.*

[FR Doc. E8-6792 Filed 4-1-08; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 7020-02-P**

## DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

### Notice of Lodging of Consent Decree Under the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act

Notice is hereby given that on March 26, 2008, a proposed consent decree in *United States, et al., v. General Metals of Tacoma, Inc.*, No. 3:08-cv-5183-RJB, was lodged with the United States District Court for the Western District of Washington.

In this action the United States, State of Washington, Puyallup Tribe of Indians and Muckleshoot Indian Tribe sought natural resource damages for releases of hazardous substances into

Commencement Bay, Washington. Under the consent decree, defendant will fund a habitat restoration project in Pierce County, Washington, pay up to \$50,000 for project oversight costs, and reimburse \$479,559.38 in damage assessment costs.

For thirty (30) days after the date of this publication, the Department of Justice will receive comments relating to the Consent Decree. Comments should be addressed to the Assistant Attorney General, Environment and Natural Resources Division, and either e-mailed to [pubcomment-ees.enrd@usdoj.gov](mailto:pubcomment-ees.enrd@usdoj.gov) or mailed to P.O. Box 7611, U.S. Department of Justice, Washington, DC 20044-7611. In either case, the comments should refer to *United States v. General Metals of Tacoma, Inc.*, No. 3:08-cv-5183-RJB, D.J. Ref. No. 90-11-2-1049/11.

During the comment period, the Consent Decree may be examined on the following Department of Justice Web site: [http://www.usdoj.gov/enrd/Consent\\_Decrees.html](http://www.usdoj.gov/enrd/Consent_Decrees.html). A copy of the Consent Decree may also be obtained by mail from the Consent Decree Library, P.O. Box 7611, U.S. Department of Justice, Washington, DC 20044-7611, or by faxing or e-mailing a request to Tonia Fleetwood ([tonia.fleetwood@usdoj.gov](mailto:tonia.fleetwood@usdoj.gov)), fax no. (202) 514-0097, phone confirmation number (202) 514-1547. In requesting a copy from the Consent Decree Library, please enclose a check in the amount of \$9.75 for the decree only or \$28.50 for the decree with attachments (25 cents per page reproduction cost) payable to the United States Treasury or, if by e-mail or fax, forward a check in that amount to the Consent Decree Library at the stated address.

**Robert E. Maher, Jr.,**

*Assistant Section Chief, Environmental Enforcement Section, Environment and Natural Resources Division.*

[FR Doc. E8-6729 Filed 4-1-08; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4410-15-P**

## DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

### Drug Enforcement Administration

#### Importer of Controlled Substances; Notice of Application

This is notice that on January 23, 2008, Stepan Company, Natural Products Department, 100 W. Hunter Avenue, Maywood, New Jersey 07607, made application by renewal to the Drug Enforcement Administration (DEA) for registration as an importer of Coca Leaves (9040), a basic class of

controlled substance listed in schedule II.

The company plans to import the listed controlled substance for the manufacture of a bulk controlled substance for distribution to its customer.

As noted in a previous notice published in the **Federal Register** on September 23, 1975, (40 FR 43745), all applicants for registration to import a basic class of any controlled substances in schedule I or II are, and will continue to be, required to demonstrate to the Deputy Assistant Administrator, Office of Diversion Control, Drug Enforcement Administration, that the requirements for such registration pursuant to 21 U.S.C. 958(a), 21 U.S.C. 823(a), and 21 CFR 1301.34(b), (c), (d), (e), and (f) are satisfied.

Dated: March 27, 2008.

**Joseph T. Rannazzisi,**  
Deputy Assistant Administrator, Office of  
Diversion Control, Drug Enforcement  
Administration.

[FR Doc. E8-6774 Filed 4-1-08; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4410-09-P

## DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

### Drug Enforcement Administration

#### Manufacturer of Controlled Substances; Notice of Application

Pursuant to § 1301.33(a) of Title 21 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR), this is notice that on March 4, 2008, Lonza Riverside, 900 River Road, Conshohocken, Pennsylvania 19428, made application by renewal to the Drug Enforcement Administration (DEA) to be registered as a bulk manufacturer of the basic classes of controlled substances listed in schedules I and II:

Drug	Schedule
Gamma hydroxybutyric acid (2010).	I
Amphetamine (1100) .....	II
Methylphenidate (1724) .....	II

The company plans to manufacture bulk products for finished dosage units and distribution to its customers.

Any other such applicant, and any person who is presently registered with DEA to manufacture such substances, may file comments or objections to the issuance of the proposed registration pursuant to 21 CFR § 1301.33(a).

Any such written comments or objections being sent via regular mail should be addressed, in quintuplicate, to the Drug Enforcement Administration, Office of Diversion

Control, Federal Register Representative (ODL), Washington, DC 20537, or any being sent via express mail should be sent to Drug Enforcement Administration, Office of Diversion Control, Federal Register Representative (ODL), 8701 Morrisette Drive, Springfield, Virginia 22152; and must be filed no later than June 2, 2008.

Dated: March 27, 2008.

**Joseph T. Rannazzisi,**  
Deputy Assistant Administrator, Office of  
Diversion Control, Drug Enforcement  
Administration.

[FR Doc. E8-6775 Filed 4-1-08; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4410-09-P

## DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

### Employee Benefits Security Administration

#### Proposed Extension of Information Collection Request Submitted for Public Comment; Consent To Receive Employee Benefit Plan Disclosure Electronically

**AGENCY:** Employee Benefits Security Administration, Department of Labor.  
**ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** The Department of Labor, as part of its continuing effort to reduce paperwork and respondent burden, conducts a preclearance consultation program to provide the general public and Federal agencies with an opportunity to comment on proposed and continuing collections of information in accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (PRA 95). This program helps to ensure that requested data can be provided in the desired format, reporting burden (time and financial resources) is minimized, collection instruments are clearly understood, and the impact of collection requirements on respondents can be properly assessed. Currently, the Employee Benefits Security Administration is soliciting comments on the proposed extension of an information collection request (ICR) incorporated in the Final Rules relating to the use of electronic communication and recordkeeping technologies by employee pension and welfare benefit plans (29 CFR 2520.104b-1).

A copy of the information collection request (ICR) can be obtained by contacting the individual shown in the **ADDRESSES** section of this notice or at <http://www.RegInfo.gov>.

**DATES:** Written comments must be submitted to the office shown in the **ADDRESSES** section on or before June 2, 2008.

**ADDRESSES:** G. Christopher Cosby, Department of Labor, Employee Benefits Security Administration, 200 Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20210, (202) 693-8410, FAX (202) 693-4745 (these are not toll-free numbers).

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

##### I. Background

The Department established a safe harbor pursuant to which all pension and welfare benefit plans covered by Title I of ERISA may use electronic media to satisfy disclosure obligations under Title I of ERISA (29 CFR 2520.104b-1). Employee benefit plan administrators will be deemed to satisfy their disclosure obligations when furnishing documents electronically only if a participant who does not have access to the employer's electronic information system in the normal course of his duties, or a beneficiary or other person entitled to documents, has affirmatively consented to receive disclosure documents. Prior to consenting, the participant or beneficiary must also be provided with a clear and conspicuous statement indicating the types of documents to which the consent would apply, that consent may be withdrawn at any time, procedures for withdrawing consent and updating necessary information, the right to obtain a paper copy, and any hardware and software requirements. In the event of a hardware or software change that creates a material risk that the individual will be unable to access or retain documents that were the subject of the initial consent, the individual must be provided with information concerning the revised hardware or software, and an opportunity to withdraw a prior consent.

##### II. Review Focus

The Department of Labor (Department) is particularly interested in comments that:

- Evaluate whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information will have practical utility;
- Evaluate the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used;
- Enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and
- Minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who