(NGA). The Commission is authorized to oversee continuity of service in the transportation of natural gas in interstate commerce. The information collected by FERC–576 notifies the Commission in a timely manner of any interruption of service or possible hazard to public health or safety.

The Commission in response to timely notification of a serious interruption may contact other pipelines to determine available supply, and if requested, authorize transportation or construction of facilities to alleviate the problem. The data collected in FERC-576 pertains to serious interruptions of service to any wholesale customer involving facilities operated under certificate authorization from the Commission. The reporting of these interruptions will assist the Commission and the natural gas industry in fulfilling their obligations to the public to provide better service through increased efficiency and reliability. The data required for notification of interruptions is specified by 18 Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) part 260.9.

5. Respondent Description: The respondent universe currently comprises 22 natural gas companies (on average per year) subject to the Commission's jurisdiction.

6. Estimated Burden: 22 total hours, 22 respondents (average per year), 1 response per respondent, and 1 hour per response (average).

7. Estimated Čost Burden to Respondents: 22 hours / 2080 hours per year  $\times$  \$107,185 per year = \$1,134.

**Statutory Authority:** Sections 4, 7, 10 and 16 of the Natural Gas Act (15 U.S.C. 717–717w).

### Magalie R. Salas,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 04–25388 Filed 11–15–04; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6717–01–P

# ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[MN 84; FRL-7838-1]

Notice of Final Determination for Rochester Public Utilities' Silver Lake Plant in Rochester, MN

AGENCY: Environmental Protection

Agency (EPA).

**ACTION:** Notice of final action.

**SUMMARY:** This notice announces that on August 3, 2004, the Environmental Appeals Board (EAB) of the EPA dismissed a petition for review of a permit issued for the Rochester Public Utilities' Silver Lake Plant (RPU) by the Minnesota Pollution Control Agency

(MPCA). The EAB dismissed the petition because it determined that MPCA did not need to require best available control technology (BACT) for the permitted major modification.

**DATES:** The effective date for the EAB's decision is August 3, 2004. Judicial review of this permit decision, to the extent it is available pursuant to section 307(b)(1) of the Clean Air Act, 42 U.S.C. 7607(b)(1), may be sought by filing a petition for review in the United States Court of Appeals for the Eighth Circuit within 60 days of November 16, 2004.

**ADDRESSES:** The documents relevant to the above action are available for public inspection during normal business hours at the following address: EPA, Region 5, 77 West Jackson Boulevard (AR–18J), Chicago, Illinois 60604. To arrange viewing of these documents, call Jennifer Darrow at (312) 886–6315.

### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Jennifer Darrow, EPA, Region 5, 77 W. Jackson Boulevard (AR–18J), Chicago, Illinois 60604 or

darrow.jennifer@epa.gov. Anyone who wishes to review the EAB decision can obtain it at http://www.epa.gov/eab/disk11/rochester.pdf.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** This supplemental information is organized as follows:

A. What Action Is EPA Taking?
B. What Is the Background Information?
C. What Did EPA Determine?

## A. What Action Is EPA Taking?

We are notifying the public of a final decision by EPA's EAB on a permit issued by MPCA.

# B. What Is The Background Information?

On June 27, 2003, MPCA issued a Prevention of Significant Deterioration (PSD) permit (permit number 10900006-007) to RPU to construct and operate an underground high-pressure steam line from its Silver Lake Plant to the Mayo Clinic's Prospect Utility Plant (Mayo Plant). The permit allows RPU to tap into existing steam lines at the Silver Lake Plant that currently provide steam for four boilers; and to route that steam through a single pipeline to provide steam to the Mayo Plant. This change does not alter the boilers themselves, but results in annual burning of approximately 73,700 additional tons of coal at RPU. MPCA determined that this project would constitute a "major modification" subject to PSD. MPCA did not require the use of BACT, determining that there would not be a modification to an "emissions unit."

The Minnesota Center for Environmental Advocacy (MCEA) filed a petition for review of this permit with the EAB on July 24, 2003. MCEA argued that the term "emissions unit" encompasses the steam lines as well as the boilers, based on a change to the regulatory definition of "emissions unit" in revisions to the PSD regulations promulgated at 67 FR 80186 (December 31, 2002). MCEA further argued that MPCA erred by not requiring BACT under this revised definition.

#### C. What Did the EAB Determine?

On August 3, 2004, the EAB dismissed the petition for review on the grounds that the revised PSD regulations did not change the meaning of "emissions unit," and therefore did not make it necessary for MPCA to require BACT.

Dated: October 26, 2004.

#### Gary Gulezian,

Acting Regional Administrator, Region 5. [FR Doc. 04–25399 Filed 11–15–04; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6560–50–P

## ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[OPP-2004-0033; FRL-7686-9]

Rodenticides; Availability of Revised Comparative Ecological Risk Assessment; Extension of Comment Period

**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

**ACTION:** Notice; extension of comment period.

**SUMMARY:** EPA issued a notice in the **Federal Register** on September 22, 2004, titled "Rodenticides; Availability of Revised Comparative Ecological Risk Assessment." This notice extends the closing date of the comment period announced in that notice by 60 days, from November 22, 2004, to January 21, 2005.

**DATES:** Comments, identified by docket identification (ID) number OPP–2004–0033, must be received on or before January 21, 2005.

**ADDRESSES:** Comments may be submitted electronically, by mail, or through hand delivery/courier. Follow the detailed instructions asprovided in Unit I of the **SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION.** 

## FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Kelly White, Special Review and Reregistration Division (7508C), Office of Pesticide Programs, Environmental Protection Agency, 1200 Pennsylvania Ave., NW., Washington, DC 20460–