

number should be included on the subject line if e-mail is used. To help the Commission process and review your comments more efficiently, please use only one method. The Commission will post all comments on the Commission's Internet Web site (<http://www.sec.gov/rules/sro.shtml>). Copies of the submission, all subsequent amendments, all written statements with respect to the proposed rule change that are filed with the Commission, and all written communications relating to the proposed rule change between the Commission and any person, other than those that may be withheld from the public in accordance with the provisions of 5 U.S.C. 552, will be available for inspection and copying in the Commission's Public Reference Room. Copies of the filing also will be available for inspection and copying at the principal office of the CBOE. All comments received will be posted without change; the Commission does not edit personal identifying information from submissions. You should submit only information that you wish to make available publicly. All submissions should refer to File Number SR-CBOE-2004-55 and should be submitted on or before September 14, 2004.

For the Commission, by the Division of Market Regulation, pursuant to delegated authority.¹⁵

J. Lynn Taylor,

Assistant Secretary.

[FR Doc. E4-1890 Filed 8-23-04; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 8010-01-P

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

[Release No. 34-50209; File No. SR-CBOE-2004-43]

Self Regulatory Organizations; Chicago Board Options Exchange, Incorporated; Order Granting Approval to Proposed Rule Change and Amendment No. 1 Thereto To Amend the Exchange's Membership Rules To Accommodate e-DPMs

August 18, 2004.

On July 12, 2004, the Chicago Board Options Exchange, Incorporated ("CBOE" or "Exchange") filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission ("Commission"), pursuant to Section 19(b)(1) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 ("Act")¹ and Rule 19b-4 thereunder,² a proposed rule change to

amend its Chapter III membership rules to accommodate a new category of CBOE market-making participant—electronic Designated Primary Market-Makers ("e-DPMs"). On July 12, 2004, the CBOE filed Amendment No. 1 to the proposed rule change.³

The proposed rule change, as amended, was published for comment in the **Federal Register** on July 19, 2004.⁴ The Commission received no comments on the proposal.

The Commission finds that the proposed rule change, as amended, is consistent with the requirements of the Act and the rules and regulations thereunder applicable to a national securities exchange⁵ and, in particular, the requirements of Section 6 of the Act⁶ and the rules and regulations thereunder. The Commission specifically finds that the proposed rule change, as amended, is consistent with Section 6(b)(5) of the Act⁷ in that it is designed to promote just and equitable principles of trade, to remove impediments and to perfect the mechanism of a free and open market and a national market system, and, in general, to protect investors and the public interest.

In particular, the Commission believes that the CBOE's proposed amendment to CBOE Rule 3.8(a)(ii) to allow a member organization acting as an e-DPM to have one individual be the nominee for multiple memberships that are designated for use in an e-DPM capacity would not be inappropriate given that e-DPMs operate from locations outside of the trading crowds for their applicable option classes, thereby making it possible for a member to act as an nominee on more than one membership.⁸ The Commission notes, however, that such individual cannot be the designated nominee for any of the organization's other memberships in any other market making capacity other than that of an e-DPM.

The Commission further believes that the CBOE's proposal to change the

reference to "floor functions" in CBOE Rules 3.2, 3.8, and 3.9 to "trading functions" should help to clarify the applicability of these rules to e-DPMs, who would not necessarily have a floor presence.⁹ In addition, Commission believes that the proposed amendment to CBOE Rule 3.2 to clarify that a member is deemed to have an authorized "trading function" if the member is approved by the CBOE's Membership Committee to act as a nominee or person registered for an e-DPM organization should help to ensure that e-DPMs, like other Market-Makers and CBOE Floor Brokers, would be required to comply with the CBOE Rule 3.9(g) member orientation and qualification exam requirements. Lastly, the Commission notes that the CBOE's proposed Rule 3.28 requirement that e-DPMs provide the Exchange with a letter of guarantee from a clearing member is similar to ISE Rule 808 and PCX Rule 6.36(a) requirements, previously approved by the Commission.

It is therefore ordered, pursuant to Section 19(b)(2) of the Act,¹⁰ that the proposed rule change (SR-CBOE-2004-43) and Amendment No. 1 thereto be approved.

For the Commission, by the Division of Market Regulation, pursuant to delegated authority.¹¹

Margaret H. McFarland,

Deputy Secretary.

[FR Doc. E4-1892 Filed 8-23-04; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 8010-01-P

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

[Release No. 34-50215; File No. SR-CHX-2004-14]

Self-Regulatory Organizations; The Chicago Stock Exchange, Incorporated; Order Granting Approval to Proposed Rule Change Relating to the Handling of Preopening Orders in Nasdaq/NM Securities

August 18, 2004.

On May 19, 2004, The Chicago Stock Exchange, Incorporated ("CHX" or "Exchange") filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission

⁹ The Commission notes that it is possible for e-DPMs to stream quotes into the Exchange from locations on the trading floor other than the trading crowds where their allocated option classes are traded. In addition, for an 18-month period, e-DPMs are permitted to have no more than one Market-Maker affiliated with the e-DPM to trade on the trading floor in any specific options classes allocated to the e-DPM. CBOE Rule 8.93(vii).

¹⁰ 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(2).

¹¹ 17 CFR 200.30-3(a)(12).

¹⁵ 17 CFR 200.30-3(a)(12).

¹ 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(1).

² 17 CFR 240.19b-4.

³ See letter from David Doherty, Attorney, Legal Division, CBOE, to Deborah Flynn, Assistant Director, Division of Market Regulation, Commission, dated July 12, 2004 ("Amendment No. 1").

⁴ See Securities Exchange Act Release No. 50007 (July 13, 2004), 69 FR 43034.

⁵ In approving this proposed rule change, as amended, the Commission notes that it has considered the proposed rule's impact on efficiency, competition, and capital formation. 15 U.S.C. 78c(f).

⁶ 15 U.S.C. 78f.

⁷ 15 U.S.C. 78f(b)(5).

⁸ The Commission notes that it would not be possible for an in-crowd market participant to act as nominee on more than one membership because such participant would be unable to physically be present in more than one trading crowd.

("Commission"), pursuant to Section 19(b)(1) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 ("Act")¹ and Rule 19b-4 thereunder,² a proposed rule change to amend the manner in which it handles preopening orders in Nasdaq/NM securities, to eliminate the distinction in the treatment of orders received at or before 8:20 a.m. and those received after 8:20 a.m. (Central Time) until the opening of trading. The proposed rule change was published for comment in the **Federal Register** on July 14, 2004.³ The Commission received no comments on the proposal. This order approves the proposed rule change.

The Commission finds that the proposed rule change is consistent with the requirements of the Act and the rules and regulations thereunder applicable to a national securities exchange⁴ and, in particular, the requirements of Section 6 of the Act⁵ and the rules and regulations thereunder. The Commission finds specifically that the proposed rule change is consistent with Section 6(b)(5) of the Act,⁶ in that it is designed to promote just and equitable principles of trade, to remove impediments to and perfect the mechanism of a free and open market and a national market system, and, in general, to protect investors and the public interest.

It is therefore ordered, pursuant to Section 19(b)(2) of the Act⁷, that the proposed rule change (SR-CHX-2004-14) be, and it hereby is, approved.

For the Commission, by the Division of Market Regulation, pursuant to delegated authority.⁸

J. Lynn Taylor,

Assistant Secretary.

[FR Doc. E4-1894 Filed 8-23-04; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 8010-01-P

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

[Release No. 34-50208; File No. SR-ISE-2004-19]

Self-Regulatory Organizations; Order Granting Approval to a Proposed Rule Change and Amendment No. 1 Thereto by the International Securities Exchange, Inc. Relating to the Entry of Electronically Generated Orders

August 17, 2004.

On May 27, 2004, the International Securities Exchange, Inc. ("ISE" or "Exchange") filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission ("Commission"), pursuant to Section 19(b)(1) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 ("Act")¹ and Rule 19b-4 thereunder,² a proposed rule change to amend ISE Rule 717(f) to allow Electronic Access Members ("EAMs") to enter electronically generated and communicated market orders, immediate-or-cancel limit orders, and fill-or-kill limit orders. On June 30, 2004, the Exchange filed Amendment No. 1 to the proposed rule change.³ The proposed rule change and Amendment No. 1 were published for comment in the **Federal Register** on July 8, 2004.⁴ The Commission received no comments on the proposal, as amended. This order approves the proposed rule change, as amended.

ISE Rule 717(f) limits the ability of EAMs to enter orders that are generated and communicated electronically. In its amended proposal, the Exchange represented that one purpose of this restriction is to prohibit non-market makers from effectively making markets on the Exchange using automated systems that place and cancel orders in a manner that is similar to quoting.⁵ Further, the Exchange represented that, as a general matter, it continues to believe that maintaining the prohibition on electronically generated orders is important to prevent EAMs from acting like market makers without also being subject to the responsibilities of market makers. However, the Exchange represented that it believes that market orders, immediate-or-cancel limit orders, and fill-or-kill limit orders, which are not eligible to rest on the limit order book, do not present the

same "market making" potential as resting limit orders. Accordingly, the Exchange proposed to amend ISE Rule 717(f) to permit EAMs to enter electronically generated market orders, immediate-or-cancel limit orders, and fill-or-kill limit orders.

The Commission finds that the proposed rule change, as amended, is consistent with the requirements of the Act and the rules and regulations thereunder applicable to a national securities exchange⁶ and, in particular, the requirements of Section 6(b)(5) of the Act,⁷ because it is designed to remove impediments to and perfect the mechanism of a free and open market and national market system and, in general, to protect investors and the public interest. Specifically, the Commission finds that the proposed rule change, as amended, is consistent with Section 6(b)(5) of the Act⁸ because it should benefit investors by allowing EAMs to electronically generate additional types of orders for their own account and for the accounts of investors whose orders they represent. The Commission believes that this should allow for greater speed and efficiency while continuing to satisfy the Exchange's desire to prevent EAMs from effectively making markets on the Exchange using automated systems that place and cancel orders in a manner that is similar to quoting.⁹

It is therefore ordered, pursuant to Section 19(b)(2) of the Act,¹⁰ that the proposed rule change (File No. SR-ISE-2004-19), as amended, is approved.

For the Commission, by the Division of Market Regulation, pursuant to delegated authority.¹¹

Margaret H. McFarland,

Deputy Secretary.

[FR Doc. E4-1891 Filed 8-23-04; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 8010-01-P

⁶ In approving this proposal, the Commission has considered the proposed rule's impact on efficiency, competition, and capital formation. See 15 U.S.C. 78c(f).

⁷ 15 U.S.C. 78f(b)(5).

⁸ *Id.*

⁹ In addition, the Commission notes that it recently approved a similar proposal by the Philadelphia Stock Exchange, Inc. to lift restrictions on electronically generated orders. See Securities Exchange Act Release No. 48648 (October 16, 2003) 68 FR 60762 (October 23, 2003) (approving SR-Phlx-2003-37).

¹⁰ 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(2).

¹¹ 17 CFR 200.30-3(a)(12).

¹ 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(1).

² 17 CFR 240.19b-4.

³ See Securities Exchange Act Release No. 49978 (July 7, 2004), 69 FR 42231.

⁴ In approving this proposed rule change, the Commission has considered the proposed rule's impact on efficiency, competition, and capital formation. 15 U.S.C. 78c(f).

⁵ 15 U.S.C. 78f.

⁶ 15 U.S.C. 78f(b)(5).

⁷ 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(2).

⁸ 17 CFR 200.30-3(a)(12).

¹ 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(1).

² 17 CFR 240.19b-4.

³ See letter from Michael J. Simon, Senior Vice President and General Counsel, ISE, to Nancy Sanow, Assistant Director, Division of Market Regulation, Commission, dated June 29, 2004 ("Amendment No. 1").

⁴ See Securities Exchange Act Release No. 49956 (July 1, 2004), 69 FR 41320.

⁵ See *id.*