

imposed, shall remain in effect until further notice.

Notification to Importers

This notice also serves as a final reminder to importers of their responsibility under 19 CFR 351.402(f) to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during this review period. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in Commerce's presumption that reimbursement of antidumping duties occurred and the subsequent assessment of double antidumping duties.

Administrative Protective Order (APO)

This notice also serves as a final reminder to parties subject to an APO of their responsibility concerning the return or destruction of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305(a)(3), which continues to govern business proprietary information in this segment of the proceeding. Timely written notification of the return or destruction of APO materials, or conversion to judicial protective order, is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and the terms of an APO is a sanctionable violation.

Notification to Interested Parties

We are issuing and publishing these final results of administrative in accordance with sections 751(a)(1) and 777(i)(1) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.221(b)(4).

Dated: April 8, 2025.

Christopher Abbott,

Deputy Assistant Secretary for Policy and Negotiations, performing the non-exclusive functions and duties of the Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance.

Appendix I

List of Topics Discussed in the Issues and Decision Memorandum

- I. Summary
- II. Background
- III. Scope of the *Order*
- IV. Changes Since the *Preliminary Results*
- V. Discussion of the Issues
 - Comment 1: Calculation of Constructed Value Profit
 - Comment 2: Weighted-Average Dumping Margin for Non-Selected Companies
 - Comment 3: Post-Sale Comparison Market Price Adjustments Reported by ACA
 - Comment 4: Inventory Carrying Costs
 - Comment 5: Application of Facts Available to NEXCO's Costs of Production
- VI. Recommendation

Appendix II

Non-Examined Companies Receiving a Review-Specific Rate

1. Azul Agronegocios S.A.

2. Compañía Apícola Argentina S.A.
3. Compañía Inversora Platense S.A.
4. Cooperativa Apícola La Colmena Ltda.
5. D'Ambros Maria de Los Angeles y D'Ambros Maria Daniela SRL.
6. Gasroni S.R.L.
7. Geomiel S.A.
8. Gruas San Blas S.A.
9. Honey & Grains Srl.
10. Industrial Haedo S.A.
11. Industrias Haedo S.A.
12. Naiman S.A.
13. Newsan S.A.
14. Patagonik Food S.A.,
15. Promiel Srl (Vicentin S.A.I.C.).
16. Terremare Foods S.A.S.
17. Villamora S.A.

[FR Doc. 2025–06325 Filed 4–11–25; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510–DS–P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A–533–873]

Certain Cold-Drawn Mechanical Tubing of Carbon and Alloy Steel From India: Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review; 2022–2023

AGENCY: Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: The U.S. Department of Commerce (Commerce) determines that Goodluck India Limited (Goodluck) and Tube Products of India, Ltd., a unit of Tube Investments of India Limited (collectively, TII), made sales of subject merchandise in the United States at prices below normal value during the period of review (POR) June 1, 2022, through May 31, 2023.

DATES: Applicable April 14, 2025.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Colin Thrasher, AD/CVD Operations, Office V, Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce, 1401 Constitution Avenue NW, Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482–3004.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On July 5, 2024, Commerce published the *Preliminary Results* of the 2022–2023 administrative review of the antidumping duty order on certain cold-drawn mechanical tubing of carbon and alloy steel (mechanical tubing) from India, covering two producers/exporters, Goodluck and TII.¹ On July 22, 2024, Commerce tolled certain

deadlines in this administrative proceeding by seven days.² On October 2, 2024, Commerce postponed the final results of this review by 60 days.³ On December 9, 2024, Commerce tolled certain deadlines in this administrative proceeding by an additional 90 days, to April 8, 2025.⁴ For the events that occurred since Commerce published the *Preliminary Results*, see the Issues and Decision Memorandum.⁵ Commerce conducted this review in accordance with section 751(a)(1)(B) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act).

Scope of the Order⁶

The merchandise subject to the *Order* is certain cold-drawn mechanical tubing of carbon and alloy steel from India. For a full description of the scope, see the Issues and Decision Memorandum.

Analysis of Comments Received

All issues raised by interested parties in the case and rebuttal briefs are addressed in the Issues and Decision Memorandum. A list of the issues addressed in the Issues and Decision Memorandum is included in the appendix to this notice. The Issues and Decision Memorandum is a public document and is on file electronically via Enforcement and Compliance's Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Centralized Electronic Service System (ACCESS). ACCESS is available to registered users at <https://access.trade.gov>. In addition, a complete version of the Issues and Decision Memorandum can be accessed directly at <https://access.trade.gov/public/FRNoticesListLayout.aspx>.

Changes Since the Preliminary Results

Based on our review of the record, Commerce made certain revisions to the margin calculations for Goodluck and made no changes to the margin

² See Memorandum, "Tolling of Deadlines for Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Proceedings," dated July 22, 2024.

³ See Memorandum, "Extension of Deadline for Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review," dated October 2, 2024.

⁴ See Memorandum, "Tolling of Deadlines for Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Proceedings," dated December 9, 2024.

⁵ See Memorandum, "Issues and Decision Memorandum for the Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review of Certain Cold-Drawn Mechanical Tubing of Carbon and Alloy Steel from India; 2022–2023," dated concurrently with, and hereby adopted by, this notice (Issues and Decision Memorandum).

⁶ See *Certain Mechanical Tubing of Carbon and Alloy Steel from the People's Republic of China, the Federal Republic of Germany, India, Italy, the Republic of Korea, and Switzerland: Antidumping Duty Orders; and Amended Final Determinations of Sales at Less Than Fair Value for the People's Republic of China and Switzerland*, 83 FR 26962 (June 11, 2018) (*Order*).

¹ See *Certain Cold-Drawn Mechanical Tubing of Carbon and Alloy Steel from India: Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review; 2022–2023*, 89 FR 55552 (July 5, 2024) (*Preliminary Results*), and accompanying Preliminary Decision Memorandum.

calculations for TII. The Issues and Decision Memorandum contains descriptions of these revisions.

Final Results of the Administrative Review

We determine that the following estimated weighted-average dumping

margin exists for the period June 1, 2022, through May 31, 2023:

Exporter/producer	Weighted-average dumping margin (percent)
Goodluck India Limited	2.47
Tube Products of India, Ltd., a unit of Tube Investments of India Limited	2.44

Disclosure

We intend to disclose the calculations performed for these final results within five days of the date of publication of this notice to parties in this proceeding, in accordance with 19 CFR 351.224(b).

Assessment Rates

Pursuant to section 751(a)(2)(C) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.212(b), Commerce has determined, and U.S. Customs and Border Protection (CBP) shall assess, antidumping duties on all appropriate entries of subject merchandise in accordance with the final results of this review. Because Goodluck and TII's weighted-average dumping margins are not zero or *de minimis* (i.e., less than 0.5 percent) in the final results of this review, we calculated an importer-specific assessment rate based on the ratio of the total amount of dumping calculated for each importer's examined sales and the total entered value of those same sales in accordance with 19 CFR 351.212(b)(1).⁷ Where an importer-specific assessment rate is zero or *de minimis* (i.e., less than 0.5 percent), the entries by that importer will be liquidated without regard to antidumping duties. The final results of this administrative review shall be the basis for the assessment of antidumping duties on entries of merchandise covered by the final results of this review and for future deposits of estimated duties, where applicable.⁸

For entries of subject merchandise during the POR produced by either of the individually examined respondents for which it did not know that the merchandise it sold to the intermediary (e.g., a reseller, trading company, or exporter) was destined for the United States, we will instruct CBP to liquidate unreviewed entries at the all-others rate if there is no rate for the intermediate

company(ies) involved in the transaction.⁹

Commerce intends to issue assessment instructions to CBP no earlier than 35 days after the date of publication of the final results of this review in the **Federal Register**. If a timely summons is filed at the U.S. Court of International Trade, the assessment instructions will direct CBP not to liquidate relevant entries until the time for parties to file a request for a statutory injunction has expired (i.e., within 90 days of publication).

Cash Deposit Requirements

The following cash deposit requirements will be effective for all shipments of CDMT from India entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after the date of publication of the final results as provided by section 751(a)(2) of the Act: (1) the cash deposit rate for Goodluck and TII will be equal to the weighted-average dumping margin established in these final results of this administrative review; (2) for merchandise exported by producers or exporters not covered in this review but covered in a prior completed segment of this proceeding, the cash deposit rate will continue to be the company-specific rate published in the completed segment for the most recent period; (3) if the exporter is not a firm covered in this review, or the less-than-fair-value investigation, but the producer is, then the cash deposit rate will be the cash deposit rate established for the most recently completed segment for the producer of the subject merchandise; and (4) the cash deposit rate for all other producers and exporters will continue to be the all-others rate (i.e., 5.87 percent *ad valorem*).¹⁰ These cash deposit requirements, when imposed, shall remain in effect until further notice.

Notification to Importers

This notice serves as a final reminder to importers of their responsibility

under 19 CFR 351.402(f)(2) to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping and/or countervailing duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during the POR. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in Commerce's presumption that reimbursement of antidumping duties occurred and the subsequent assessment of double antidumping duties.

Administrative Protective Order (APO)

This notice serves as the only reminder to parties subject to an administrative protective order (APO) of their responsibility concerning the disposition of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 9 CFR 351.305(a)(3). Timely notification of the return, or destruction, of APO materials, or conversion to judicial protective order, is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and the terms of an APO is a violation subject to sanction.

Notification to Interested Parties

We are issuing and publishing these results in accordance with sections 751(a)(1) and 777(i) of the Act, and 19 CFR 351.221(b)(5).

Dated: April 8, 2025.

Christopher Abbott,

Deputy Assistant Secretary for Policy and Negotiations, performing the non-exclusive functions and duties of the Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance.

Appendix

List of Topics Discussed in the Issues and Decision Memorandum

- I. Summary
- II. Background
- III. Scope of the Order
- IV. Changes Since the *Preliminary Results*
- V. Discussion of the Issues
 - Comment 1: Whether Commerce Should Allow Goodluck's Claimed Early Payment Discounts
 - Comment 2: Whether Commerce Should Allow Goodluck's Claimed Negative Billing Adjustments
 - Comment 3: Whether Commerce Should Allow Goodluck's Claimed Quantity Discounts

⁷ In these final results, Commerce applied the assessment rate calculation method adopted in *Antidumping Proceedings: Calculation of the Weighted-Average Dumping Margin and Assessment Rate in Certain Antidumping Duty Proceedings*; Final Modification, 77 FR 8101 (February 14, 2012).

⁸ See section 751(a)(2)(C) of the Act.

⁹ See *Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Proceedings: Assessment of Antidumping Duties*, 68 FR 23954 (May 6, 2003).

¹⁰ See *Order*.

Comment 4: Whether Commerce Should Allow Goodluck's Claimed Warehousing Expenses

Comment 5: Whether Commerce Should Revise Goodluck's Calculated Home Market Insurance Expenses

Comment 6: Whether Commerce Should Allow Goodluck's Reported Home Market Bank Discounting Charges

Comment 7: Whether Commerce Should Ignore Separately Negotiated Revenues for Two U.S. Sales

Comment 8: Whether Commerce Should Remove One U.S. Sale from its Analysis VI. Recommendation

[FR Doc. 2025-06323 Filed 4-11-25; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A-552-833]

Raw Honey From the Socialist Republic of Vietnam: Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review; 2021-2023

AGENCY: Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: The U.S. Department of Commerce (Commerce) determines that Ban Me Thout Honeybee Joint Stock Company (BMT) and DakLak Honeybee Joint Stock Company (DakHoney), sold raw honey from the Socialist Republic of Vietnam (Vietnam) in the United States at less than normal value during the period of review (POR) August 25, 2021, through May 31, 2023.

DATES: Applicable April 14, 2025.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Krisha Hill or Stephanie Trejo, AD/CVD Operations, Office IV, Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 1401 Constitution Avenue NW, Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482-4037 or (202) 482-4390, respectively.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On July 5, 2024, Commerce published the *Preliminary Results* of the 2021-2023 administrative review of the antidumping duty (AD) order on raw honey from Vietnam.¹ We invited interested parties to comment on the *Preliminary Results*. For details of the events that occurred since the

Preliminary Results, see the Issues and Decision Memorandum.²

Scope of the Order³

The product covered by this order is raw honey from Vietnam. For a full description of the scope of the *Order*, see the Issues and Decision Memorandum.

Analysis of Comments Received

A summary of the events that occurred since Commerce published the *Preliminary Results*, as well as a full discussion of the issues raised by parties for these final results, may be found in the Issues and Decision Memorandum.⁴ A list of the issues that parties raised and to which we responded in the Issues and Decision Memorandum is provided in the appendix to this notice. The Issues and Decision Memorandum is a public document and is on file electronically via Enforcement and Compliance's Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Centralized Electronic Service System (ACCESS). ACCESS is available to registered users at <https://access.trade.gov>. In addition, a complete version of the Issues and Decision Memorandum can be accessed directly at <https://access.trade.gov/public/FRNoticesListLayout.aspx>.

Changes Since the Preliminary Results

Based on a review of the record and the comments received from interested parties, and for the reasons explained in the Issues and Decision Memorandum, we made certain changes to the preliminary weighted-average dumping margin calculations for BMT and DakHoney. For further discussion of these changes, see the Issues and Decision Memorandum.

Separate Rates

No parties commented on our preliminary separate rate determination. Therefore, we have continued to grant separate rate status to 15 companies/company groups listed in the "Final Results of Review" section, below. Additionally, consistent with the *Preliminary Results*, we have continued to deny separate rate status to the following companies: (1) Bee Honey Corporation of Ho Chi Minh City; (2)

Golden Bee Company Limited; (3) Golden Honey Co., Ltd.; (4) Hai Phong Honeybee Company Limited; (5) Highlands Honeybee Travel Co., Ltd.; (6) Hoa Viet Honeybee Co., Ltd.; (7) Hung Binh Phat; (8) Hung Thinh Trading Pvt; (9) Huong Rung Co., Ltd.; (10) Huong Viet Honey Co., Ltd.; (11) Nguyen Hong Honey Co., LTD; (12) Phong Son Co., Ltd.; (13) Saigon Bees Co., Limited; (14) Thai Hoa Mat Bees Raising Co., Ltd.; (15) Thai Hoa Viet Mat Bees Raising Co.; (16) TNB Foods Co., Ltd.; and (17) Vinawax Producing Trading and Service Company Limited.⁵

Rate for Non-Examined Separate Rate Respondents

The statute and Commerce's regulations do not address what rate to apply to respondents not selected for individual examination when Commerce limits its examination in an administrative review pursuant to section 777A(c)(2) of the Act. Generally, Commerce looks to section 735(c)(5) of the Act, which provides instructions for calculating the all-others rate in an investigation, for guidance when calculating the rate for non-selected respondents that are not examined individually in an administrative review. Section 735(c)(5)(A) of the Act states that the all-others rate should be calculated by averaging the weighted-average dumping margins for individually-examined respondents, excluding rates that are zero, *de minimis*, or based entirely on facts available. When the rates for individually examined companies are all zero, *de minimis*, or based entirely on facts available, section 735(c)(5)(B) of the Act provides that Commerce may use "any reasonable method" to establish the all-others rate.

We calculated a 100.72 percent dumping margin for one of the mandatory respondents in this review, BMT, and a 156.96 percent dumping margin for the other mandatory respondent, DakHoney. Therefore, we assigned the separate rate respondents identified in the rate chart below, a dumping margin equal to the weighted average of the dumping margins for BMT and DakHoney,⁶ consistent with

⁵ See *Raw Honey From the Socialist Republic of Vietnam: Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review; 2021-2023*, 89 FR 55554 (July 5, 2024).

⁶ With two respondents under examination, Commerce normally calculates: (A) a weighted-average of the dumping margins calculated for the examined respondents; (B) a simple average of the dumping margins calculated for the examined respondents; and (C) a weighted-average of the dumping margins calculated for the examined respondents using each company's publicly ranged

¹ See *Raw Honey From the Socialist Republic of Vietnam: Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review; 2021-2023*, 89 FR 55554 (July 5, 2024) (*Preliminary Results*), and accompanying Preliminary Decision Memorandum (PDM).

² See Memorandum, "Issues and Decision Memorandum for the Final Results of the 2021-2023 Administrative Review of the Antidumping Duty Order on Raw Honey from the Socialist Republic of Vietnam," dated concurrently with, and hereby adopted by, this notice (Issues and Decision Memorandum).

³ See *Raw Honey From Argentina, Brazil, India, and the Socialist Republic of Vietnam: Antidumping Duty Orders*, 87 FR 35501 (June 10, 2022) (*Order*).

⁴ See Issues and Decision Memorandum.

Continued