#### **DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE**

### **Antitrust Division**

## Notice Pursuant to the National Cooperative Research and Production Act of 1993—PXI Systems Alliance, Inc.

Notice is hereby given that, on February 11, 2002, pursuant to section 6(a) of the National Cooperative Research and Production Act of 1993, 15 U.S.C. 4301 et seq. ("the Act"), PXI Systems Alliance, Inc. has filed written notifications simultaneously with the Attorney General and the Federal Trade Commission disclosing changes in its membership status. The notifications were filed for the purpose of extending the Act's provisions limiting the recovery of antitrust plaintiffs to actual damages under specified circumstances. Specifically, Advanced Test Methods, Camberley, Surrey, UNITED KINGDOM; Datappli, Midland, MI; Data Patterns, West Mambalam, Chennai, INDIA; GTE-ERS, Ontario, CA; SBS Greenspring Computers, Newark, CA; Shaanxi Hitech, Xi'an, PEOPLE'S REPUBLIC OF CHINA; and Vero/APW Electronics, Southhampton, Hampshire, UNITED KINGDOM have been dropped as parties to this venture.

No other changes have been made in either the membership of planned activity of the group research project. Membership in this group research project remains open, and PXI Systems Alliance, Inc. intends to file additional written notification disclosing all changes in membership.

On November 22, 2000, PXI Systems Alliance, Inc. filed its original notification pursuant to section 6(a) of the Act. The Department of Justice published a notice in the **Federal Register** pursuant to section 6(b) of the Act on March 8, 2001 (66 FR 13971).

The last notification was filed with the Department of August 20, 2001. A notice was published in the **Federal Register** pursuant to section 6(b) of the Act on September 25, 2001 (66 FR 49042).

# Constance K. Robinson,

Director of Operations, Antitrust Division. [FR Doc. 02–7283 Filed 3–26–02; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4410–11–M

#### **DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE**

#### **Antitrust Division**

## Notice Pursuant to the National Cooperative Research and Production Act of 1993—PXI Systems Alliance, Inc.

Notice is hereby given that, on February 13, 2002, pursuant to section 6(a) of the National Cooperative Research and Production Act of 1993, 15 U.S.C. 4301 et seq. ("the Act"), PXI Systems Alliance, Inc. has filed written notifications simultaneously with the Attorney General and the Federal Trade Commission disclosing changes in its membership status. The notifications were filed for the purpose of extending the Act's provisions limiting the recovery of antitrust plaintiffs to actual damages under specified circumstances. Specifically, Ines GmbH, Leibnizstrasse, Bad Breisig, Germany has been added as a party to this venture.

No other changes have been made in either the membership or planned activity of the group research project. Membership in this group research project remains open, and PXI Systems Alliance, Inc. intends to file additional written notification disclosing all changes in membership.

On November 22, 2000, PXI Systems Alliance, Inc. filed its original notification pursuant to section 6(a) of the Act. The Department of Justice published a notice in the **Federal Register** pursuant to section 6(b) of the Act on March 8, 2001 (66 FR 13971).

The last notification was filed with the Department on February 11, 2002. A notice has not yet been published in the **Federal Register**.

#### Constance K. Robinson,

Director of Operations, Antitrust Division. [FR Doc. 02–7284 Filed 3–26–02; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4410–11–M

## **DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE**

# **Antitrust Division**

# Notice Pursuant to the National Cooperative Research and Production Act of 1993—Spray Drift Task Force

Notice is hereby given that, on February 26, 2002, pursuant to section 6(a) of the National Cooperative Research and Production Act of 1993, 15 U.S.C. 4301 et seq. ("the Act"), Spray Drift Task Force has filed written notifications simultaneously with the Attorney General and the Federal Trade Commission disclosing changes in its membership status. The notifications were filed for the purpose of extending the Act's provisions limiting the recovery of antitrust plaintiffs to actual damages under specified circumstances. Specifically, Nissan Chemical Industries, Ltd., Tokyo, Japan has been added as a party to this venture. Also, Micro-Flo Company, Memphis, TN has been dropped as a party to this venture.

No other changes have been made in either the membership or planned activity of the group research project. membership in this group research project remains open, and Spray Drift Task Force intends to file additional written notification disclosing all changes in membership.

On May 15, 1990, Spray Drift Task Force filed its original notification pursuant to section 6(a) of the Act. The Department of Justice published a notice in the **Federal Register** pursuant to section 6(b) of the Act on July 5, 1990 (55 FR 27701).

The last notification was filed with the Department on January 28, 2002. A notice has not yet been published in the Federal Register.

#### Constance K. Robinson,

Director of Operations, Antitrust Division. [FR Doc. 02–7285 Filed 3–26–02; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4410–11–M

#### **DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE**

# **Drug Enforcement Administration**

## Manufacture of Controlled Substances; Notice of Registration

By Notice dated September 24, 2001, and published in the **Federal Register** on October 3, 2001 (66 FR 50454), Roche Diagnostics Corporation, ATTN: Regulatory Compliance, 9115 Hague Road, Indianapolis, Indiana 46250, made application by letter to the Drug Enforcement Administration (DEA) to be registered as a bulk manufacturer of alphamethadol (9605) a basic class of controlled substance listed in Schedule I

Roche Diagnostics Corporation plans to manufacture small quantities of the above listed controlled substance for incorporation in drug of abuse detection kits.

No comments or objections have been received. DEA has considered the factors in Title 21, United States Code, section 823(a) and determined that the registration of Roche Diagnostics Corporation, is consistent with the public interest at this time. DEA has investigated Roche Diagnostics Corporation on a regular basis to ensure that the company's continued registration is consistent with the public interest. These investigations have

included inspection and testing of the company's physical security systems, audits of the company's records, verification of the company's compliance with state and local laws, and a review of the company's background and history. Therefore, pursuant to 21 U.S.C. 823 and 28 CFR § 0.100 and 0.104, the Deputy Assistant Administrator, Office of Diversion Control, hereby orders that the application submitted by the above firm for registration as a bulk manufacturer of the basic class of controlled substance listed above is granted.

Dated: March 18, 2002.

#### Laura M. Nagel,

Deputy Assistant Administrator, Office of Diversion Control, Drug Enforcement Administration.

[FR Doc. 02–7259 Filed 3–26–02; 8:45 am]

#### **DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE**

## **Drug Enforcement Administration**

# Importer of Controlled Substances; Notice of Registration

By Notice dated October 5, 2001, and published in the **Federal Register** on October 17, 2001, (66 FR 52782), Stepan Company, Natural Products Department, 100 W. Hunter Avenue, Maywood, New Jersey 07607, made application by renewal to the Drug Enforcement Administration (DEA) to be registered as an importer of coca leaves (9040), a basic class of controlled substance listed in Schedule II.

The firm plans to import the coca leaves to manufacture bulk controlled substance.

No comments or objections have been received. DEA has considered the factors in Title 21, United States Code, Section 823(a) and determined that the registration of Stepan Company, Natural Products Department to import coca leaves is consistent with the public interest and with United States obligations under international treaties, conventions, or protocols in effect on May 1, 1971, at this time. DEA has investigated Stepan Company, Natural Products Department on a regular basis to ensure that the company's continued registration is consistent with the public interest. This investigation included inspection and testing of the company's physical security systems, audits of the company's records, verification of the company's compliance with state and local laws, and a review of the company's background and history. Therefore, pursuant to section 1008(a) of the Controlled Substances Import and

Export Act and in accordance with Title 21, Code of Federal Regulations, § 1301.34, the above firm is granted registration as an importer of the basic class of controlled substance listed above.

Dated: March 18, 2002.

#### Laura M. Nagel,

Deputy Assistance Administrator, Office of Diversion Control Drug Enforcement Administration.

[FR Doc. 02–7260 Filed 3–26–02; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 4410–04–M

# NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

## Agency Information Collection Activities: Proposed Collection; Comment Request

**AGENCY:** Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC).

**ACTION:** Notice of the OMB review of information collection and solicitation of public comment.

summary: The NRC has recently submitted to OMB for review the following proposal for the collection of information under the provisions of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. Chapter 35). The NRC hereby informs potential respondents that an agency may not conduct or sponsor, and that a person is not required to respond to, a collection of information unless it displays a current valid OMB control number.

- 1. Type of submission, new, revision, or extension: Extension.
- 2. The title of the information collection: 10 CFR part 36—Licenses and Radiation Safety Requirements for Large Irradiators.
- 3. *The form number if applicable:* Not applicable.
- 4. How often the collection is required: On occasion. It is estimated that there are approximately 3 NRC and 7 Agreement State reports submitted annually.
- 5. Who will be required or asked to report: Irradiator licensees licensed by NRC or an Agreement State.
- 6. An estimate of the number of responses: 87 (3 NRC licensee + 7 Agreement State licensee responses + 77 recordkeepers).
- 7. The estimated number of annual respondents: 77 (22 NRC licensees and 55 Agreement State licensees).
- 8. An estimate of the total number of hours needed annually to complete the requirement or request: 35,975 (10,277 hours for NRC licensees [10,087 recordkeeping + 190 reporting] and 25,698 hours for Agreement State

licensees [25,218 recordkeeping + 480 reporting]) or 467 hours per licensee.

- 9. An indication of whether Section 3507(d), Pub. L. 104–13 applies: Not applicable.
- 10. Abstract: 10 CFR part 36 contains requirements for the issuance of a license authorizing the use of sealed sources containing radioactive materials in irradiators used to irradiate objects or materials for a variety of purposes in research, industry, and other fields. The subparts cover specific requirements for obtaining a license or license exemption, design and performance criteria for irradiators; and radiation safety requirements for operating irradiators, including requirements for operator training, written operating and emergency procedures, personnel monitoring, radiation surveys, inspection, and maintenance. Part 36 also contains the recordkeeping and reporting requirements that are necessary to ensure that the irradiator is being safely operated so that it poses no danger to the health and safety of the general public and the irradiator employees.

A copy of the final supporting statement may be viewed free of charge at the NRC Public Document Room, One White Flint North, 11555 Rockville Pike, Room O–1 F23, Rockville, MD 20852. OMB clearance requests are available at the NRC world wide web site: <a href="http://www.nrc.gov/public-involve/doc-comment/OMB/index/html">http://www.nrc.gov/public-involve/doc-comment/OMB/index/html</a>. The document will be available on the NRC home page site for 60 days after the signature date of this notice.

Comments and questions should be directed to the OMB reviewer listed below by April 26, 2002. Comments received after this date will be considered if it is practical to do so, but assurance of consideration cannot be given to comments received after this date. Bryon Allen, Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs (3150–0158), NEOB–10202, Office of Management and Budget, Washington, DC 20503. Comments can also be submitted by telephone at (202) 395–3087.

The NRC Clearance Officer is Brenda Jo. Shelton, 301–415–7233.

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 21st day of March, 2002.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

# Brenda Jo. Shelton,

NRC Clearance Officer, Office of the Chief Information Officer.

[FR Doc. 02–7324 Filed 3–26–02; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7590-01-P