

**DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE****Antitrust Division****Notice Pursuant to the National Cooperative Research and Production Act of 1993—DVD Copy Control Association (“DVD CCA”)**

Notice is hereby given that, on July 23, 2004, pursuant to Section 6(a) of the National Cooperative Research and Production Act of 1993, 15 U.S.C. 4301 *et seq.* (“the Act”), DVD Copy Control Association (“DVD CCA”) has filed written notifications simultaneously with the Attorney General and the Federal Trade Commission disclosing changes in its membership status. The notifications were filed for the purpose of extending the Act’s provisions limiting the recovery of antitrust plaintiffs to actual damages under specified circumstances. Specifically, BK DGTEC Co., Ltd., Seoul, Republic of Korea; Digeo Interactive, LLC, Palo Alto, CA; Eizano Nanao Corporation, Ishikawa, Japan; and Molino Networks, Inc., Santa Cruz, CA have been added as parties to this venture. Also, Aplus Technics Co., Ltd., Taipei Hsian, Taiwan; Aralion Inc., Seoul, Republic of Korea; Argus Electronics Co., Ltd., Taipei, Taiwan; Concord Disc Manufacturing Corp., Anaheim, CA; Dai Hwa Industrial Co., Ltd., Chungli, Taiwan; Escent Technologies, LLC, Indianapolis, IN; Force NO A/S, Oslo, Norway; Guangdong Kwanloon Electronics and Technology, Co., Ltd., Shenzhen, People’s Republic of China; HERTZ Engineering Co., Ltd., Tokyo, Japan; Hirel Co., Ltd., Tokyo, Japan; Musion Co., Ltd., Seoul, Republic of Korea; Oak Technology, Inc., Sunnyvale, CA; Pony Canyon Enterprise Inc., Tokyo, Japan; Prochips Technology Inc., Seoul, Republic of Korea; Pro-Tech Industries Corp., Hong Kong, Hong Kong-China; Ritek Corporation, HsinChu Industrial Park, Taiwan; SANYO Laser Products, Inc., Richmond, IN; Soft4D Co., Ltd., Seoul, Republic of Korea; and WEA Manufacturing Inc., Olyphant, PA have been dropped as parties to this venture.

No other changes have been made in either the membership or planned activity of the group research project. Membership in this group research project remains open, and DVD CCA intends to file additional written notification disclosing all changes in membership.

On April 11, 2001, DVD CCA filed its original notification pursuant to Section 6(1) of the Act. The Department of Justice published a notice in the **Federal**

**Register** pursuant to Section 6(b) of the Act on August 3, 2001 (66 FR 40727).

The last notification was filed with the Department on July 2, 2004. The Department of Justice published a notice in the **Federal Register** pursuant to Section 6(b) of the Act on August 6, 2004 (69 FR 47959).

**Dorothy B. Fountain,**

*Deputy Director of Operations, Antitrust Division.*

[FR Doc. 04–19363 Filed 8–23–04; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4410–11–M**

**DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE****Antitrust Division****Notice Pursuant to the National Cooperative Research and Production Act of 1993—Multiservice Switching Forum**

Notice is hereby given that, on July 16, 2004, pursuant to Section 6(a) of the National Cooperative Research and Production Act of 1993, 15 U.S.C. 4301 *et seq.* (“the Act”), Multiservice Switching Forum (“MSF”) filed written notifications simultaneously with the Attorney General and the Federal Trade Commission disclosing changes in its membership. The notifications were filed for the purpose of extending the Act’s provisions limiting the recovery of antitrust plaintiffs to actual damages under specified circumstances. Specifically, Advanced Fibre Communications, Petaluma, CA; Applied Innovation, Dublin, OH; Italtel, Settimo Milanese, Italy; Mitsubishi Electric Corporation, Kamakura, Japan; Nortel Networks, Ottawa, Ontario, Canada; and Xener Systems, Seoul, Republic of Korea, have been added as parties to this venture.

No other changes have been made in either the membership or planned activity of the group research project. Membership in this group research project remains open, and MSF intends to file additional written notifications disclosing all changes in membership.

On January 22, 1999, MSF filed its original notification pursuant to Section 6(a) of the Act. The Department of Justice published a notice in the **Federal Register** pursuant to Section 6(b) of the Act on May 26, 1999 (64 FR 28519).

The last notification was filed with the Department on April 13, 2004. A notice was published in the **Federal**

**Register** pursuant to Section 6(b) of the Act on June 21, 2004 (69 FR 344050).

**Dorothy B. Fountain,**

*Deputy Director of Operations, Antitrust Division.*

[FR Doc. 04–19362 Filed 8–23–04; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4410–11–M**

**DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE****Office of Justice Programs****Agency Information Collection Activities: Proposed Collection; Comments Requested**

**ACTION:** 30-Day notice of information collection under review: School Crime Supplement (SCS) to the National Crime Victimization Survey (NCVS).

The Department of Justice (DOJ), Office of Justice Programs (OJP) has submitted the following information collection request to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for review and approval in accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995. The proposed information collection is published to obtain comments from the public and affected agencies. This proposed information collection was previously published in the **Federal Register** Volume 69, Number 88, on page 25414 on May 6, 2004, allowing for a 60-day comment period.

The purpose of this notice is to allow for an additional 30 days for public comment until September 23, 2004. This process is conducted in accordance with 5 CFR 1320.10.

Written comments and/or suggestions regarding the items contained in this notice, especially the estimated public burden and associated response time, should be directed to The Office of Management and Budget, Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, Attention Department of Justice Desk Officer, Washington, DC 20503. Additionally, comments may be submitted to OMB via facsimile to (202) 395–5806. Written comments and suggestions from the public and affected agencies concerning the proposed collection of information are encouraged. Your comments should address one or more of the following four points:

- Evaluate whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information will have practical utility;
- Evaluate the accuracy of the agency’s estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information,