refund or credit excess funds to handlers, as prescribed by § 993.81(c). Anticipated assessment income collected during 2004–05 would be adequate to cover authorized expenses.

The grower price for the 2004–05 crop year is expected to average about \$750 per salable ton of dried prunes. Based on an estimated 47,203 salable tons of dried prunes, assessment revenue during the 2004–05 crop year is expected to be less than 1 percent of the total expected grower revenue.

This action would increase the assessment obligation imposed on handlers. While assessments impose some additional costs on handlers, the costs are minimal and uniform on all handlers. Some of the additional costs may be passed on to producers. However, these costs would be offset by the benefits derived by the operation of the marketing order. In addition, the committee's meeting was widely publicized throughout the California dried prune industry and all interested persons were invited to attend the meeting and participate in committee deliberations on all issues. Like all committee meetings, the December 8, 2004, meeting was a public meeting and all entities, both large and small, were able to express views on this issue. Finally, interested persons are invited to submit information on the regulatory and informational impacts of this action on small businesses.

This proposed rule would impose no additional reporting or recordkeeping requirements on either small or large California dried prune handlers. As with all Federal marketing order programs, reports and forms are periodically reviewed to reduce information requirements and duplication by industry and public sector agencies.

USDA has not identified any relevant Federal rules that duplicate, overlap, or conflict with this rule.

A small business guide on complying with fruit, vegetable, and specialty crop marketing agreements and orders may be viewed at: <a href="http://www.ams.usda.gov/fv/moab/html">http://www.ams.usda.gov/fv/moab/html</a>. Any questions about the compliance guide should be sent to Jay Guerber at the previously mentioned address in the FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT section.

A 30-day comment period is provided to allow interested persons to respond to this proposed rule. Thirty days is deemed appropriate because: (1) The 2004–05 crop year began on August 1, 2004, and the marketing order requires that the rate of assessment for each crop year apply to all assessable prunes handled during such crop year; (2) the committee needs to have sufficient

funds to pay its expenses which are incurred on a continuous basis; and (3) handlers are aware of this action which was unanimously recommended by the committee at a public meeting and is similar to other assessment rate actions issued in past years.

#### List of Subjects in 7 CFR Part 993

Marketing agreements, Plums, Prunes, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements.

For the reasons set forth in the preamble, 7 CFR part 993 is proposed to be amended as follows:

# PART 993—DRIED PRUNES PRODUCED IN CALIFORNIA

1. The authority citation for 7 CFR part 993 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 7 U.S.C. 601-674.

2. Section 993.347 is revised to read as follows:

## § 993.347 Assessment rate.

On and after August 1, 2004, an assessment rate of \$6.00 per ton is established for California dried prunes.

Dated: January 31, 2005.

## Kenneth C. Clayton,

 $Acting \ Administrator, A gricultural \ Marketing \ Service.$ 

[FR Doc. 05–2153 Filed 2–3–05; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 3410–02–P

# NATIONAL CREDIT UNION ADMINISTRATION

## 12 CFR Chap. VII

Request for Burden Reduction Recommendation; Safety and Soundness and Anti-Money Laundering Regulations; Economic Growth and Regulatory Paperwork Reduction Act of 1996 Review

**AGENCY:** National Credit Union Administration (NCUA).

**ACTION:** Notice of regulatory review; request for comments.

SUMMARY: The NCUA Board is continuing its review of its regulations to identify outdated, unnecessary, or unduly burdensome regulatory requirements imposed on federally-insured credit unions pursuant to the Economic Growth and Regulatory Paperwork Reduction Act of 1996 (EGRPRA). Today, NCUA requests comments and suggestions on ways to reduce burden in rules that govern safety and soundness and anti-money laundering, consistent with our statutory obligations. All comments are welcome.

We will analyze the comments received and propose burden reducing changes to our regulations where appropriate. Some suggestions for burden reduction might require legislative changes. Where legislative changes would be required, we will consider the suggestions in recommending appropriate changes to Congress.

**DATES:** Comment must be received on or before May 5, 2005.

**ADDRESSES:** You may submit comments by any of the following methods (Please send comments by one method only):

- Federal eRulemaking Portal: http://www.regulations.gov. Follow the instructions for submitting comments.
- NCUA Web Site: http:// www.ncua.gov/ RegulationsOpinionsLaws/ proposed\_regs/proposed\_regs.html. Follow the instructions for submitting comments.
- E-mail: Address to regcomments@ncua.gov. Include "[Your name] Comments on Fourth EGRPRA Notice" in the e-mail subject line.
- Fax: (703) 518–6319. Use the subject line described above for e-mail.
- Mail: Address to Mary Rupp,
   Secretary of the Board, National Credit
   Union Administration, 1775 Duke
   Street, Alexandria, Virginia 22314–3428.
- Hand Delivery/Courier: Same as mail address.

Public inspection: All public comments are available on the agency's Web site at http://www.ncua.gov/
RegulationsOpinionsLaws/comments as submitted, except as may not be possible for technical reasons. Public comments will not be edited to remove any identifying or contact information. Paper copies of comments may be inspected in NCUA's law library, at 1775 Duke Street, Alexandria, Virginia 22314, by appointment weekdays between 9 a.m. and 3 p.m. To make an appointment, call (703) 518–6546 or send an e-mail to OGC\_Mail@ncua.gov.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Ross P. Kendall, Staff Attorney, Office of General Counsel, at the above address or telephone (703) 518–6562.

## SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

## I. Introduction

NCUA seeks public comment and suggestions on ways it can reduce regulatory burdens consistent with our statutory obligations. Today, we request input to help identify which requirements in two regulatory categories—Safety and Soundness and Anti-Money Laundering—are outdated, unnecessary, or unduly burdensome.

The rules in these categories are listed in a chart at the end of this notice. The EGRPRA review supplements and complements the reviews of regulations that NCUA conducts under other laws and its internal policies.

We specifically invite comment on the following issues: Whether statutory changes are needed; whether the regulations contain requirements that are not needed to serve the purposes of the statutes they implement; the extent to which the regulations may adversely affect competition; the cost of compliance associated with reporting, recordkeeping, and disclosure requirements, particularly on small credit unions; whether any regulatory requirements are inconsistent or redundant; and whether any regulations are unclear.

In drafting this notice, the NCUA participated as part of the EGRPRA planning process with the Office of the Comptroller of the Currency, Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System, Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation, and Office of Thrift Supervision (Agencies). Because of the unique circumstances of federallyinsured credit unions and their members, NCUA is issuing a separate notice from the four bank regulatory agencies, which are issuing a joint notice. NCUA's notice is consistent and comparable with the joint notice, except on issues that are unique to credit unions. For example, unlike the bank regulators, NCUA does not have a regulatory category governing securities activities, and so its notice makes no reference to that subject.

#### II.

A. The EGRPRA Review Requirements and NCUA's Proposed Plan

This notice is part of the regulatory review required by section 2222 of EGRPRA.<sup>1</sup> The NCUA described the review requirements in our initial Federal Register notice, published on July 3, 2003 (68 FR 39863). As we noted at that time, we anticipate that the EGRPRA review's overall focus on the "forest" of regulations will offer a new perspective in identifying opportunities to reduce regulatory burden. We must, of course, assure that the effort to reduce regulatory burden is consistent with applicable statutory mandates and provides for the continued safety and soundness of federally-insured credit unions and appropriate consumer

The EGRPRA review required that NCUA categorize our regulations by

type. Our July 3, 2003, **Federal Register** publication identified ten broad categories for our regulations.

The categories are:

- 1. Applications and Reporting
- 2. Powers and Activities
- 3. Agency Programs
- 4. Capital
- 5. Consumer Protection
- 6. Corporate Credit Unions
- 7. Directors, Officers and Employees
- 8. Money Laundering
- 9. Rules of Procedure
- 10. Safety and Soundness

To spread the work of commenting on and reviewing the categories of rules over a reasonable period of time, we proposed to publish one or more categories of rules approximately every six months between 2003 and 2006 and provide a 90-day comment period for each publication. We asked for comment on all aspects of our plan, including: The categories, the rules in each category, and the order in which we should review the categories. Because the NCUA was eager to begin reducing unnecessary burden where appropriate, our initial notice also published the first two categories of rules for comment (Applications and Reporting and Powers and Activities). NCUA published its second notice, soliciting comment on consumer protection rules in the lending area, on February 4, 2004 (69 FR 5300), and its third notice, relating to other consumer protection rules, on July 8, 2004 (69 FR 41202). All our covered categories of rules must be published for comment and reviewed by the end of September

The EGRPRA review then requires the Agencies to: (1) Publish a summary of the comments we received, identifying and discussing the significant issues raised in them; and (2) eliminate unnecessary regulatory requirements. Within 30 days after the Agencies publish the comment summary and discussion, the Federal Financial **Institutions Examination Council** (FFIEC), which is an interagency body to which all of the Agencies belong, must submit a report to Congress. This report will summarize significant issues raised by the public comments and the relative merits of those issues. It will also analyze whether the appropriate federal financial institution regulatory agency can address the burdens by regulation, or whether the burdens must be addressed by legislation.

## B. Public Response and NCUA's Current

NCUA received eight comments in response to its first notice, four

comments in response to its second notice, and six in response to the third notice. The comments have been posted on the interagency EGRPRA Web site, http://www.ĔGRPRA.gov, and can be viewed by clicking on "Comments." We are actively reviewing the feedback received about specific ways to reduce regulatory burden, as well as conducting our own analyses. Because the main purpose of this notice is to request comment on the next category of regulations, we will not discuss specific recommendations that we have received in response to our earlier notices here. However, as we develop initiatives to reduce burden on specific subjects in the future—whether through regulatory, legislative, or other channels—we will discuss the public's recommendations that relate to our proposed actions.

On June 22, 2004, NCUA Chairman JoAnn Johnson testified about regulatory reform before the Senate Committee on Banking, Housing and Urban Affairs. Representatives from the federal banking agencies also testified, as did key private sector representatives from the financial institution industry. On August 27, Senator Mike Crapo, who is leading a financial services regulatory reform effort for the Senate Banking Committee, released a matrix detailing more than 130 burden reduction proposals that were made at the June 2004 hearing.

## III. Request for Comment on Safety and Soundness and Anti-Money Laundering Rules Category

NCUA is asking the public to identify the ways in which the rules in the category of safety and soundness and anti-money laundering may be outdated, unnecessary, or unduly burdensome. If the implementation of a comment would require modifying a statute that underlies the regulation, the comment should, if possible, identify the needed statutory change. The rules in this category are listed in the chart below. We note that the U.S. Treasury Department also administers rules under the Bank Secrecy Act that apply to Federal credit unions. These rules are beyond the jurisdiction of the NCUA. To the extent, however, that we receive comment raising significant issues about these rules, we will assure that the issues are identified in the FFIEC report to Congress and will notify the Treasury Department of the substance of the comments.

We encourage comments that not only deal with individual rules or requirements but also pertain to certain product lines. A product line approach is consistent with EGRPRA's focus on how rules interact, and may be

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Pub. Law 104–208, div. A, title II, section 2222, 110 Stat. 3009–414; codified at 12 U.S.C. 3311.

especially helpful in exposing redundant or potentially inconsistent regulatory requirements. We recognize that commenters using a product line approach may want to make recommendations about rules that are not in our current request for comment. They should do so since the EGRPRA categories are designed to stimulate creative approaches rather than limiting them. We note, in this respect, that NCUA included both its lending and investment rules in its first EGRPRA notice (68 FR 39863, July 3, 2003), and that the same rules are included with this notice as well. The first notice solicited comment on the category of Powers and Activities, while in this notice we are focused on Safety and Soundness issues. Because aspects of both rules fall into each category, we are including them for this second time. There are several other rules, which we have placed in other categories, that also involve safety and soundness. Finally, we note that, as related to state chartered, federally insured credit unions, the inclusion of subpart B of 12 CFR part 748 in this category is a shorthand reference to a number of rules codified elsewhere in our regulations that have a significant safety and soundness impact. Comment is invited on all of these rules.

Specific issues to consider. While all comments are welcome, NCUA specifically invites comment on the following issues:

- Need for statutory change. Do any of the statutory requirements underlying these regulations impose redundant, conflicting or otherwise unduly burdensome requirements? Are there less burdensome alternatives?
- Need and purpose of the regulations. Are the regulations consistent with the purposes of the statutes that they implement? Have circumstances changed so that the regulation is no longer necessary? Do changes in the financial products and services offered to consumers suggest a need to revise certain regulations or statutes? Do any of the regulations impose compliance burdens not required by the statutes they implement?
- General approach/flexibility.
  Generally, is there a different approach to regulating that NCUA could use that would achieve statutory goals while imposing less burden? Do any of the regulations in this category or the statutes underlying them impose unnecessarily inflexible requirements?
- Effect of the regulations on competition. Do any of the regulations in this category or the statutes underlying them create competitive

disadvantages for credit unions compared to another part of the financial services industry?

- Reporting, recordkeeping and disclosure requirements. Do any of the regulations in this category or the statutes underlying them impose particularly burdensome reporting, recordkeeping or disclosure requirements? Are any of these requirements similar enough in purpose and use so that they could be consolidated? What, if any, of these requirements could be fulfilled electronically to reduce their burden? Are any of the reporting or recordkeeping requirements unnecessary to demonstrate compliance with the law?
- Consistency and redundancy. Do any of the regulations in this category impose inconsistent or redundant regulatory requirements that are not warranted by the purposes of the regulation?
- *Clarity*. Are the regulations in this category drafted in clear and easily understood language?
- Burden on small insured institutions. NCUA has a particular interest in minimizing burden on small insured credit unions (those with less than \$10 million in assets). More than half of federally-insured credit unions are small—having \$10 million in assets or less—as defined by NCUA in Interpretative Ruling and Policy Statement 03-2, Developing and Reviewing Government Regulations. NCUA solicits comment on how any regulations in this category could be changed to minimize any significant economic impact on a substantial number of small credit unions.

NCUA appreciates the efforts of all interested parties to help us eliminate outdated, unnecessary or unduly burdensome regulatory requirements.

## IV. Regulations About Which Burden Reduction Recommendations Are Requested Currently

## SAFETY AND SOUNDNESS AND ANTI-MONEY LAUNDERING RULES

Subject	Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) Citation
Lending	12 CFR 701.21. 12 CFR part 703. 12 CFR part 715.
Security Programs	12 CFR 748.0. 12 CFR 748, appendix A.
Records Preservation Program and Record	12 CFR part 749.

Retention Index.

## SAFETY AND SOUNDNESS AND ANTI-MONEY LAUNDERING RULES—Continued

Subject	Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) Citation
Appraisals	12 CFR part 722. 12 CFR 741.1. 12 CFR part 741, subpart B.
tered credit unions.  Report of Crimes or Suspected Crimes.	12 CFR 748.1(c).
Bank Secrecy Act	12 CFR 748.2.

By the National Credit Union Administration Board on January 25, 2005.

#### Mary Rupp,

Secretary of the Board.

[FR Doc. 05–2205 Filed 2–3–05; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7535-01-P

#### **DEPARTMENT OF THE TREASURY**

#### **Internal Revenue Service**

#### 26 CFR Part 1

[REG-129709-03]

## RIN 1545-BC34

# Prohibited Allocations of Securities in an S Corporation

**AGENCY:** Internal Revenue Service (IRS), Treasury.

**ACTION:** Correction to notice of proposed rulemaking by cross-reference to temporary regulations and notice of public hearing.

**SUMMARY:** This document contains corrections to a notice of proposed rulemaking that was published in the **Federal Register** on December 17, 2004 (69 FR 75492), relating to prohibited allocations of securities in an S Corporation.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** John Ricotta at (202) 622–6060 (not a toll-free number).

## SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

## **Background**

The notice of proposed rulemaking (REG-129709-03) that is the subject of this correction is under section 409 of the Internal Revenue Code.

## **Need for Correction**

As published the notice of proposed rulemaking (REG-129709-03), contains errors that may prove to be misleading and are in need of clarification.