requirements, Superfund, Water pollution control, Water supply.

Authority: 33 U.S.C. 1321(c)(2); 42 U.S.C. 9601–9657; E.O. 12777, 56 FR 54757, 3 CFR, 1991 Comp., p. 351; E.O. 12580, 52 FR 2923; 3 CFR, 1987 Comp., p. 193.

Dated: July 12, 2001.

William W. Rice,

Acting Regional Administrator, Region 7. [FR Doc. 01–18317 Filed 7–24–01; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6560–50–P

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

47 CFR Part 51

[CC Docket No. 96-98; DA 01-1648]

Update and Refresh Record on Rules Adopted in 1996 Local Competition Docket

AGENCY: Federal Communications Commission.

ACTION: Proposed rule.

SUMMARY: This document invites parties to update and refresh the record on issues pertaining to the rules the Commission adopted in the First Report and Order in CC Docket No. 96–98, *Implementation of the Local Competition Provisions of the Telecommunications Act of 1996.*

DATES: Comments are due August 24, 2001 and reply comments are due September 10, 2001.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Jonathan Reel, Attorney Advisor, Policy and Program Planning Division, Common Carrier Bureau, (202) 418– 1580.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: This is a summary of the Commission's document regarding CC Docket No. 96-98, released on July 11, 2001. The complete text of this document is available for inspection and copying during normal business hours in the FCC Reference Information Center, Courtyard Level, 445 12th Street, S.W., Washington, DC, and also may be purchased from the Commission's copy contractor, International Transcription Services (ITS, Inc.), CY-B400, 445 12th Street, S.W., Washington, DC. It is also available on the Commission's website at http://www.fcc.gov.

Synopsis

1. On August 8, 1996, the Commission released the *Local Competition First Report and Order* (61 FR 45476) as required by the Telecommunications Act of 1996. Many of the parties filed petitions for reconsideration of that

Order and there has been significant litigation concerning many of the rules adopted in that Order. At this time, only certain rules concerning combination and pricing of unbundled network elements remain in dispute. Now that the issues in dispute have narrowed, the Commission will address petitions for reconsideration relating to rules that are not the subject of pending litigation. Since many of these petitions were filed several years ago, the passage of time and intervening developments may have rendered the record developed by those petitions stale. Moreover, some issues raised in petition for reconsideration may have become moot or irrelevant in light of intervening events.

2. For these reasons, the Commission requests that parties that filed petitions for reconsideration in 1996 addressing issues that are not subject to pending litigation now file a supplemental notice indicating which of such issues they still wish to be reconsidered. In addition, parties may refresh the record with any new information or arguments they believe to be relevant to deciding such issues. To the extent parties do not indicate an intent to pursue their respective petitions for reconsideration, the petitions will be deemed withdrawn and will be dismissed. The refreshed record will enable the Commission to undertake appropriate reconsideration of its local competition rules.

List of Subjects in 47 CFR Part 51

Communications common carriers, Interconnection.

Federal Communications Commission. **Michelle M. Carey**,

Chief, Policy and Program Planning Division, Common Carrier Bureau.

[FR Doc. 01–18516 Filed 7–24–01; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6712–01–P

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

Fish and Wildlife Service

50 CFR Part 17

Endangered and Threatened Wildlife and Plants; 12-Month Finding for a Petition To List the Yellow-billed Cuckoo (*Coccyzus americanus*) in the Western Continental United States

AGENCY: Fish and Wildlife Service, Interior.

ACTION: Notice of 12-month petition finding.

SUMMARY: We, the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (Service), announce a 12-month finding for a petition to list the yellow-billed cuckoo (*Coccyzus*

americanus) in the western continental United States under the Endangered Species Act of 1973, as amended (Act). We find that the petitioned action is warranted, but precluded by higher priority listing actions. We will develop a proposed rule to list this population pursuant to our Listing Priority Guidance. Upon publication of this notice of 12-month petition finding, this species will be added to our candidate species list.

DATES: The finding announced in this document was made on July 18, 2001. Comments and information may be submitted until further notice.

ADDRESSES: You may submit data, information, comments, or questions concerning this finding to the Supervisor, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Sacramento Fish and Wildlife Office, 2800 Cottage Way, Room 2605, Sacramento, California 95825. You may inspect the petition, administrative finding, supporting information, and comments received, by appointment, during normal business hours at the above address.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Stephanie Brady, Fish and Wildlife Biologist, at the above address, by telephone at 916/414–6600, facsimile at 916/414–6613, or electronic mail at stephanie brady@fws.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

Section 4(b)(3)(B) of the Endangered Species Act of 1973, as amended (Act) (16 U.S.C. 1531 et seq.), requires that, for any petition to revise the List of Threatened and Endangered Species containing substantial scientific and commercial information that listing may be warranted, we make a finding within 12 months of the date of the receipt of the petition on whether the petitioned actions is: (a) not warranted, (b) warranted, or (c) warranted but precluded from immediate proposal by other higher priority efforts to revise the List of Threatened and Endangered Species. Section 4(b)(3)(C) requires that petitions for which requested action is found to be warranted but precluded should be treated as though resubmitted on the date of such finding, i.e., requiring a subsequent finding to be made within 12 months. Such 12-month findings are to be published promptly in the Federal Register.

Section 4(b) of the Act states that we may make warranted but precluded findings only if we can demonstrate that: (1) An immediate proposed rule is precluded by other pending actions, and (2) expeditious progress is being made on other listing actions. Due to the large