

financial records, working files and notes relating to the preparation of the Board's final report and its related appendixes, and records relating to the Board's Web site, including web content records. Also included are electronic copies of records created using electronic mail and word processing. Proposed for permanent retention are such records as electronic recordkeeping copies of approximately 80,000 documents that were gathered during the accident investigation, a database containing minutes of Board meetings, audiovisual and paper records that document the Board's Congressional and public liaison activities, privileged witness testimonies, and the Board's final report and related appendixes. Also proposed for permanent retention are electronic mail messages that were received by the Board from the public as well as scanned images of public comments that were submitted in paper form.

12. National Archives and Records Administration, Agency-wide (N1-64-04-2, 7 items, 6 temporary items). Special project records relating to the Electronic Records Management Initiative. Included are records relating to developing guidance and procedures for agencies to use in electronic records management, project management files, and administrative records. Also included are electronic copies of records created using electronic mail and word processing. Proposed for permanent retention are recordkeeping copies of files accumulated by the agency as Government-wide managing partner in efforts to provide tools needed by Federal agencies to manage their electronic records.

Dated: November 28, 2003.

Michael J. Kurtz,

*Assistant Archivist for Record Services—
Washington, DC.*

[FR Doc. 03-30342 Filed 12-5-03; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7515-01-P

NATIONAL COMMISSION ON TERRORIST ATTACKS UPON THE UNITED STATES

Public Hearing

ACTION: Notice of public hearing.

SUMMARY: The National Commission on Terrorist Attacks Upon the United States will hold its sixth public hearing on December 8, 2003, in Washington, DC. Witnesses will speak about issues related to domestic intelligence collection, protecting privacy while preventing terrorism, and the use of immigration laws to combat terrorism.

Representatives of the media should register in advance of the hearing by visiting the Commission's Web site at <http://www.9-11commission.gov>. Seating for the general public will be on a first-come, first-served basis. Press availability will occur at the conclusion of the hearing.

DATES: December 8, 2003, 9 a.m. to 4 p.m. Press availability to follow.

LOCATION: Russell Senate Office Building, Room 253, Washington, DC, 20510.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Al Felzenberg, (202) 401-1725 (office) or (202) 236-4878 (cellular).

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Please refer to Public Law 107-306 (November 27, 2002), title VI (Legislation creating the Commission), and the Commission's Web site: <http://www.9-11commission.gov>.

Dated: December 2, 2003.

Philip Zelikow,

Executive Director.

[FR Doc. 03-30332 Filed 12-5-03; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4800-01-M

NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

[Docket No. 50-369 and 50-370]

Duke Energy Corporation; Notice of Withdrawal of Application for Amendment to Facility Operating License

The U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC, the Commission) has granted the request of Duke Energy Corporation (the licensee) to withdraw its February 27, 2003, application for proposed amendment to Facility Operating License No. NPF-9 and NPF-17 for the McGuire Nuclear Station, Units 1 and 2, located in Mecklenburg County, North Carolina.

The proposed amendment would have revised the Technical Specifications to allow the use of four mixed oxide (MOX) fuel lead test (LTA) assemblies at either of the Catawba Nuclear Station, Units 1 and 2, (Catawba) or the McGuire Nuclear Station, Units 1 and 2.

The Commission had previously issued a Notice of Consideration of Issuance of Amendment published in the **Federal Register** on July 25, 2003 (68 FR 44107). However, by letter dated September 23, 2003, the licensee amended the application to apply only to the use of MOX LTAs at the Catawba units and not to the McGuire units. The NRC staff is treating this as a withdrawal of application for

amendment dated February 27, 2003, for McGuire.

For further details with respect to this action, see the application for amendment dated February 27, 2003, and the licensee's letter dated September 23, 2003, which is being treated as a withdrawal of application for amendment. Documents may be examined, and/or copied for a fee, at the NRC's Public Document Room (PDR), located at One White Flint North, Public File Area O1 F21, 11555 Rockville Pike (first floor), Rockville, Maryland. Publicly available records will be accessible electronically from the Agencywide Documents Access and Management Systems (ADAMS) Public Electronic Reading Room on the internet at the NRC Web site, <http://www.nrc.gov/reading-rm/adams/html>. Persons who do not have access to ADAMS or who encounter problems in accessing the documents located in ADAMS, should contact the NRC PDR Reference staff by telephone at 1-800-397-4209, or 301-415-4737 or by email to pdr@nrc.gov.

Dated at Rockville, Maryland this 2nd day of December 2003.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

Robert E. Martin,

Senior Project Manager, Section 1, Project Directorate II, Division of Licensing Project Management, Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation.

[FR Doc. 03-30359 Filed 12-5-03; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7590-01-P

NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

[Docket No. 50-286]

Entergy Nuclear Operations, Inc., Indian Point Nuclear Generating Unit No. 3; Exemption

1.0 Background

Entergy Nuclear Operations, Inc. (Entergy, the licensee) is the holder of Facility Operating License No. DPR-64 which authorizes operation of the Indian Point Nuclear Generating Unit No. 3 (IP3). The license provides, among other things, that the facility is subject to all rules, regulations, and orders of the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC, the Commission) now or hereafter in effect.

The facility consists of a pressurized-water reactor located in Westchester County in the State of New York.

2.0 Request/Action

Title 10 of the Code of Federal Regulations (10 CFR), part 50, requires that reactor coolant system (RCS)

pressure-temperature (P-T) limits be established for reactor pressure vessels (RPVs) during normal operating and hydrostatic or leak rate testing conditions. Specifically, Appendix G to 10 CFR part 50 states that “[t]he appropriate requirements on both the pressure-temperature limits and the minimum permissible temperature must be met for all conditions.” Furthermore, Appendix G to 10 CFR part 50 specifies that the requirements for these limits are based on the application of evaluation procedures given in Appendix G to Section XI of the American Society of Mechanical Engineers Boiler and Pressure Vessel Code (ASME Code). Appendix G to 10 CFR part 50 also specifies that the Editions and Addenda of the ASME Code which are incorporated by reference in 10 CFR 50.55a apply to the requirements in Appendix G to 10 CFR part 50. In the 2003 Edition of 10 CFR, the NRC endorsed Editions and Addenda of the ASME Code through the 1998 Edition and 2000 Addenda. However, Entergy has currently incorporated the 1989 Edition of the ASME Code into the IP3 licensing basis for defining the ASME Code requirements which apply to the facility’s ASME Code, Section XI program. Hence, with respect to the statements from Appendix G to 10 CFR part 50 referenced above, it is the 1989 Edition of Appendix G to Section XI of the ASME Code which continues to apply to IP3. Finally, 10 CFR 50.60(b) states that, “[p]roposed alternatives to the described requirements in [Appendix G] of this part or portions thereof may be used when an exemption is granted by the Commission under [10 CFR 50.12].”

Entergy has requested, in a separate submittal dated May 28, 2003, an amendment to the IP3 Technical Specification (TS) P-T limit curves. In order to address the provisions of this amendment, Entergy has also requested that the staff exempt IP3 from the application of specific requirements of Appendix G to 10 CFR part 50, and substitute the use of ASME Code Case N-640. ASME Code Case N-640 permits the use of an alternate reference fracture toughness curve for RPV materials when determining P-T limits. The proposed exemption request is consistent with, and is needed to support, the proposed IP3 TS amendment that was provided in the separate submittal. The proposed IP3 TS amendment will revise the P-T limits for heatup, cooldown, and inservice test limitations for the reactor coolant system (RCS) through 20 effective full-power years of operation.

Code Case N-640

The requested exemption would allow use of ASME Code Case N-640 in conjunction with Appendix G to Section XI of the ASME Code, 10 CFR 50.60(a), and Appendix G to 10 CFR part 50 to establish P-T limits for the IP3 RPV.

The licensee’s proposed TS amendment to revise the P-T limits for IP3 relies, in part, on the requested exemption. These revised P-T limits have been developed using the lower bound K_{IC} fracture toughness curve given in Appendix A to Section XI of the ASME Code, Figure A-2200-1, in lieu of the lower bound K_{IA} fracture toughness curve given in Appendix G to Section XI of the ASME Code, Figure G-2210-1, as the basis fracture toughness curve for defining the IP3 P-T limits. All other margins involved with the ASME Code, Section XI, Appendix G process of determining P-T limit curves remain unchanged.

Use of the K_{IC} curve as the basis fracture toughness curve for the development of P-T operating limits is technically correct. The K_{IC} curve appropriately implements the use of a relationship based on static initiation fracture toughness behavior to evaluate the controlled heatup and cooldown process of an RPV, whereas the K_{IA} fracture toughness curve, as given in Appendix G to Section XI of the ASME Code, was developed from more conservative crack arrest and dynamic fracture toughness test data. The application of the K_{IA} fracture toughness curve was initially codified in Appendix G to Section XI of the ASME Code in 1974 to provide a conservative representation of RPV material fracture toughness. This initial conservatism was necessary due to the limited knowledge of RPV material behavior in 1974. Since that time, however, additional knowledge about RPV materials has been gained, which demonstrates that the lower bound on fracture toughness, provided by the K_{IA} fracture toughness curve, is well beyond the margin of safety required to protect the public health and safety from potential RPV failure.

In addition, P-T limit curves based on the K_{IC} fracture toughness curve will enhance overall plant safety by opening the P-T operating window with the greatest safety benefit in the region of low temperature operations. The operating window through which the operator heats up and cools down the RCS is determined by the difference between the maximum allowable pressure, determined by Appendix G to Section XI of the ASME Code, and the minimum required pressure for the

reactor coolant pump (RCP) seals adjusted for instrument uncertainties. A narrow operating window could potentially have an adverse safety impact by increasing the possibility of inadvertent overpressure protection system (OPPS) actuation due to pressure surges associated with normal plant evolutions such as RCS pump starts and swapping operating charging pumps with the RCS in a water-solid condition.

Since application of ASME Code Case N-640 provides appropriate procedures to establish maximum postulated defects and to evaluate those defects in the context of establishing RPV P-T limits, this application of the Code Case maintains an adequate margin of safety for protecting RPV materials from brittle failure. Therefore, the licensee concluded that these considerations were special circumstances pursuant to 10 CFR 50.12(a)(2)(ii), “[a]pplication of the regulation in the particular circumstances would not serve the underlying purpose of the rule or is not necessary to achieve the underlying purpose of the rule.”

In summary, the ASME Code, Section XI, Appendix G procedure was conservatively developed based on the level of knowledge existing in 1974 concerning reactor coolant pressure boundary materials and the estimated effects of operation. Since 1974, the level of knowledge about the fracture mechanics behavior of RCS materials has been greatly expanded, especially in regard to the effects of radiation embrittlement and the understanding of fracture toughness properties under static and dynamic loading conditions.

3.0 Discussion

Pursuant to 10 CFR 50.12, the Commission may, upon application by any interested person or upon its own initiative, grant exemptions from the requirements of 10 CFR part 50 when (1) the exemptions are authorized by law, will not present an undue risk to the public health and safety, and are consistent with the common defense and security; and (2) when special circumstances are present.

Special circumstances, pursuant to 10 CFR 50.12(a)(2)(ii), are present because the continued operation of IP3 with the P-T curves developed in accordance with Appendix G to Section XI of the ASME Code, without the relief provided by ASME Code Case N-640, is not necessary to achieve the underlying purpose of Appendix G to 10 CFR part 50. Application of ASME Code Case N-640 in lieu of the requirements of Appendix G to Section XI of the ASME Code provides an acceptable alternative evaluation procedure, which will

continue to meet the underlying purpose of Appendix G to 10 CFR part 50. The underlying purpose of the regulations in Appendix G to 10 CFR part 50 is to provide an acceptable margin of safety against brittle failure of the RCS during any condition of normal operation to which the pressure boundary may be subjected over its service lifetime.

The NRC staff examined the licensee's rationale to support the exemption request, and accepts the licensee's determination that an exemption would be required to approve the use of ASME Code Case N-640. The staff has also concluded that the use of ASME Code Case N-640 would meet the underlying intent of Appendix G to 10 CFR part 50. The NRC staff concluded that the application of the technical provisions of ASME Code Case N-640 provided sufficient margin in the development of RPV P-T limit curves such that the underlying purpose of the regulations contained in Appendix G to 10 CFR part 50 continued to be met. Therefore, the specific conditions required by the regulations; *i.e.*, the use of all provisions in Appendix G to Section XI of the ASME Code, were not necessary. The NRC staff has, therefore, concluded that the exemption requested by Entergy is justified based on the special circumstances of 10 CFR 50.12(a)(2)(ii), "[a]pplication of the regulation in the particular circumstances would not serve the underlying purpose of the rule or is not necessary to achieve the underlying purpose of the rule."

Based upon a consideration of the conservatism that is explicitly incorporated into the methodologies of Appendix G to 10 CFR part 50 and Appendix G to Section XI of the ASME Code, the staff concluded that the application of ASME Code Case N-640 would provide an adequate margin of safety against brittle failure of the RPV. This is also consistent with the determination that the staff has reached for other licensees under similar conditions based on the same considerations. The staff concludes that the exemption requested by Entergy is appropriate under the special circumstances of 10 CFR 50.12(a)(2)(ii), and the methodology of ASME Code Case N-640 may be used to revise the P-T limits for the IP3 RPV. Pursuant to 10 CFR 50.12(a)(1), the granting of this exemption is authorized by law, will not present undue risk to the public health and safety, and is consistent with the common defense and security. Therefore, the staff considers granting an exemption to 10 CFR 50.60(a) and Appendix G to 10 CFR part 50 to allow use of ASME Code Case N-640 as part

of the basis for generating the P-T limit curves for IP3 is appropriate.

4.0 Conclusion

Accordingly, the Commission has determined that, pursuant to 10 CFR 50.12(a), the exemption is authorized by law, will not present an undue risk to the public health and safety, and is consistent with the common defense and security. Also, special circumstances are present. Therefore, the Commission hereby grants Entergy an exemption from the requirements of 10 CFR 50.60 and Appendix G to 10 CFR part 50, to allow for the application of ASME Code Case N-640 in establishing TS requirements for the RPV P-T limits for IP3.

Pursuant to 10 CFR 51.32, the Commission has determined that the granting of this exemption will not have a significant effect on the quality of the human environment (68 FR 67490).

This exemption is effective upon issuance.

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 2nd day of December, 2003.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission,
Ledyard B. Marsh, Director,
Division of Licensing Project Management,
Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation.
[FR Doc. 03-30360 Filed 12-5-03; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 7590-01-P

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

[Release No. IC-26282; 812-12912]

The Vanguard Group, Inc., et al.; Notice of Application

December 2, 2003.

AGENCY: Securities and Exchange Commission ("Commission").

ACTION: Notice of application for an order under section 6(c) of the Investment Company Act of 1940 ("Act") for an exemption from sections 2(a)(32), 18(f)(1), 18(i), 22(d) and 24(d) of the Act and rule 22c-1 under the Act, and under sections 6(c) and 17(b) of the Act for an exemption from sections 17(a)(1) and (2) of the Act to the extent necessary to amend a prior order.¹

Summary of Application: Applicants request an order to amend the Original VIPERs Order. The requested order would permit additional registered investment companies, and their series, to rely on the Original VIPERs Order, and modify certain terms and

conditions of the Original VIPERs Order.

Applicants: The Vanguard Group, Inc. ("VGI"), Vanguard Index Funds ("Index Trust"), Vanguard Specialized Funds ("Specialized Trust"), Vanguard World Fund ("World Trust"), and Vanguard Marketing Corporation ("VMC").

Filing Dates: The application was filed on December 13, 2002, and amended on October 30, 2003. Applicants have agreed to file an amendment during the notice period, the substance of which is reflected in this notice.

Hearing or Notification of Hearing: An order granting the application will be issued unless the Commission orders a hearing. Interested persons may request a hearing by writing to the Commission's Secretary and serving Applicants with a copy of the request, personally or by mail. Hearing requests should be received by the Commission by 5:30 p.m. on December 29, 2003, and should be accompanied by proof of service on applicants, in the form of an affidavit, or for lawyers, a certificate of service. Hearing requests should state the nature of the writer's interest, the reason for the request, and the issues contested. Persons who wish to be notified of a hearing may request notification by writing to the Commission's Secretary.

ADDRESSES: Secretary, Commission, 450 Fifth Street, NW, Washington, DC 20549-0609. Applicants, P.O. Box 2600, Valley Forge, PA 19482.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Stacy L. Fuller, Senior Counsel, or Michael W. Mundt, Senior Special Counsel, at 202-942-0564 (Division of Investment Management, Office of Investment Company Regulation).

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The following is a summary of the application. The complete application may be obtained for a fee at the Commission's Public Reference Branch, 450 Fifth Street, NW, Washington, DC 20549-0102 (telephone 202-942-8090).

Applicants' Representations:

1. Index Trust, VGI and VMC (together, "Original Applicants") obtained the Original VIPERs Order to permit the nine series of Index Trust ("Original Applicant Funds") to offer an exchange-traded class of shares ("VIPER Shares"). The Original VIPERs Order granted exemptions under section 6(c) of the Act from sections 2(a)(32), 18(f)(1), 18(i), 22(d) and 24(d) of the Act and rule 22c-1 under the Act and under sections 6(c) and 17(b) of the Act from sections 17(a)(1) and (2) of the Act. The Original Applicants, Specialized Trust, and World Trust (together,

¹ Vanguard Index Funds, *et al.*, Investment Company Act Rel. Nos. 24680 (Oct. 6, 2000) (notice) and 24789 (Dec. 12, 2000) (order) ("Original VIPERs Order").