Environmental Policy Act at 40 CFR 1503.3).

In addition, Federal court decisions have established that reviewers of draft environmental impact statements must structure their participation in the environmental review of the proposal so that it is meaningful and alerts an agency to the reviewers' position and contentions. Vermont Yankee Nuclear Corp v. NRDC 435 US 519, 553 (1978). Environmental objections that could have been raised at the draft stage may be waived if not raised until after completion of the final environmental impact statement. City of Angoon v. Hodel 9th Circuit, 1986) and Wisconsin Heritages, Inc v. Harris, 490F. Supp.1334, 1338 (E.D. Wis. 1980). The reason for this is to ensure that substantive comments and objections are made available to the Forest Service at a time when they can meaningfully consider them in the final environmental impact statement.

Dated: January 31, 2002.

John C. Bedell,

Forest Supervisor.

[FR Doc. 02-3394 Filed 2-12-02; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3410-11-M

DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

Forest Service

Lake County Resource Advisory Committee (RAC)

AGENCY: Forest Service, USDA. **ACTION:** Notice of meeting.

SUMMARY: The Lake County Resource Advisory Committee (RAC) will hold its second meeting.

DATES: The meeting will be held on February 28, 2002, from 3 P.M. to 6 P.M.

ADDRESSES: The meeting will be held at the Lake County Board of Supervisor's Chambers at 255 North Forbes Street, Lakeport.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Debbie McIntosh, Committee Coordinator, USDA, Mendocino National Forest, Upper Lake Ranger District, 10025 Elk Mountain Road, Upper Lake, CA 95485, (707) 275–2361; EMAIL dmcintosh@fs.fed.us.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Agenda items to be covered include: (1) Review and approval of the minutes of the January meeting: (2) Title II and Title III dollars—County input; (3) Evaluation Criteria; (4) Project Proposals/Ideas; and (5) Public Comment. The meeting is open to the public. Public input opportunity will be provided and

individuals will have the opportunity to address the Committee at that time.

Dated: February 4, 2002.

Blaine P. Baker,

Designated Federal Officer.

[FR Doc. 02-3487 Filed 2-12-02; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3410-11-M

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Bureau of Export Administration

Action Affecting Export Privileges; Federal Parts International, Inc.; Order

In the Matter of: Federal Parts International, Inc., 5455 Peachtree Industrial Blvd., Norcross, Georgia 30092, Respondent.

The Bureau of Export Administration, United States Department of Commerce (BXA), having initiated an administrative proceeding against Federal Parts International, Inc. (hereinafter referred to as Federal Parts) pursuant to section 13(c) of the Export Administration Act of 1979, as amended (50 U.S.C. app. secs. 2401-2420 (1994 & Supp. V. 1999) (The "Act") 1 and the **Export Administration Regulations** (currently codified at 15 CFR parts 730-774 (20012) (the "Regulations"),² based on allegations that, on two separate occasions, between on or about January 30, 1996 and on or about February 14, 1996, Federal Parts exported U.S.-origin auto parts from the United States to Iran in violation of § 787.6 of the former regulations; that, in connection with the January 30, 1996 shipment, Federal Parts violated the provisions of § 787.5(a) of the former regulations by making a false or misleading statement of material fact directly or indirectly to a United States government agency in connection with the preparation, submission, issuance or use or an export control document; that, on two separate occasions, on or about March 27, 1996 and on or about April 2, 1996, Federal Parts attempted to export from the United States to Iran U.S.-origin auto parts in violation of §§ 787.3(a) and 787.4(a) of the former regulations; and that on or about April 2, 1996, Federal Parts violated the provisions of § 785.5(a) of the former regulations by making false or misleading statements of material fact either directly to BXA or indirectly through any other person for the purpose of or in connection with the preparation, submission, issuance, use or maintenance or an export control

BXA and Federal Parts having entered into a Settlement Agreement pursuant to § 766.18(b) of the regulations whereby they agreed to settle this matter in accordance with the terms and conditions set forth therein, and the terms of the Settlement Agreement having been approved by me:

It is therefore ordered:

First, that a civil penalty of \$50,000 is assessed against Federal Parts. Federal Parts shall pay \$10,000 of the civil penalty to the U.S. Department of Commerce within 30 days from the date of entry of this Order. Payment of the remaining \$40,000 shall be made in four equal, monthly installments of \$10,000 beginning on the first day of the second month after the date of entry of this Order. Payment shall be made in the manner specified in the attached instructions.

Second, that, pursuant to the Debt Collection Act of 1982, as amended (31 U.S.C. 3701–3720E (1983 and Supp. V 1999)), the civil penalty owed under this Order accrues interest as more fully described in the attached Notice, and, if payment is not made by the due date specified herein, Federal Parts will be assessed, in addition to interest, a penalty charge and an administrative charge, as more fully described in the attached Notice.

Third, Federal Parts International, Inc., 5455 Peachtree Industrial Blvd., Norcross, Georgia 30092, ("the denied person") and, when acting in behalf of it, all of its successors or assigns, officers, representatives, agents and employees, may not, for a period of 10 years from the date of this Order, participate, directly or indirectly, in any way in any transaction involving any commodity, software or technology (hereinafter collectively referred to as item) exported or to be exported from the United States that is subject to the EAR, or in any other activity subject to the regulations, including, but not limited to:

¹From August 21, 1994 through November 12, 2000, the Act was in lapse. During that period, the President, through Executive Order 12924, which had been extended by successive Presidential Notices, the last of which was August 3, 2000 (3 CFR, 2000 Comp. 397 (2001)), continued the regulations then in effect under the International Emergency Economic Powers Act (50 U.S.C. 1701–1706 (1994 & Supp. IV 1999)) (IEEPA). On November 13, 2000, the Act was reauthorized and it remained in effect through August 20, 2001. Since August 21, 2001, the Act has been in lapse and the President, through Executive Order 13222 of August 17, 2001 (66 FR 44025 (August 22, 2001)), has continued the regulations in effect under IEEPA.

² The alleged violations occurred in 1996. The Regulations governing the violations at issue are found in the 1996 version of the Code of Federal Regulations (15 CFR parts 768–799 (1996)). Those regulations define the violations that BXA alleges occurred and are referred to hereinafter as the former regulations. Since that time, the Regulations have been reorganized and restructured; the restructured regulations establish the procedures that apply to this matter.