means EPA will not know your identity or contact information unless you provide it in the body of your comment. If you send an e-mail comment directly to EPA without going through RME or regulations.gov, your e-mail address will be automatically captured and included as part of the comment that is placed in the public docket and made available on the Internet. If you submit an electronic comment, EPA recommends that you include your name and other contact information in the body of your comment and with any disk or CD-ROM you submit. If EPA cannot read your comment due to technical difficulties and cannot contact you for clarification, EPA may not be able to consider your comment. Electronic files should avoid the use of special characters, any form of encryption, and be free of any defects or viruses.

Docket: All documents in the electronic docket are listed in the RME index at http://docket.epa.gov/rmepub/. Although listed in the index, some information is not publicly available, i.e., CBI or other information whose disclosure is restricted by statute. Certain other material, such as copyrighted material, is not placed on the Internet and will be publicly available only in hard copy form. Publicly available docket materials are available either electronically in RME or in hard copy at the Regulatory Development Section, Air Planning Branch, Air, Pesticides and Toxics Management Division, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region 4, 61 Forsyth Street, SW., Atlanta, Georgia 30303-8960. EPA requests that if at all possible, you contact the person listed in the FOR **FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT** section to schedule your inspection. The Regional Office's official hours of business are Monday through Friday, 8:30 to 4:30, excluding federal holidays.

Michele Notarianni, Air Planning Branch, Air, Pesticides and Toxics Management Division, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Region 4, 61 Forsyth Street, SW., Atlanta, Georgia 30303–8960. Phone:

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

(404) 562–9031. E-mail: notarianni.michele@epa.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: For additional information, see the direct final rule which is published in the Rules section of this **Federal Register**.

Dated: August 12, 2005.

A. Stanley Meiburg,

Acting Regional Administrator, Region 4. [FR Doc. 05–16803 Filed 8–23–05; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6560–50–P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

40 CFR PART 52

[R05-OAR-2005-OH-0002; FRL-7958-4]

Approval and Disapproval of Ohio Implementation Plan for Particulate Matter

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Proposed rule; reopening of the public comment period.

SUMMARY: EPA is reopening the comment period for a proposed rule published June 27, 2005 (70 FR 36901). On June 27, 2005, EPA proposed to disapprove revisions to Ohio rules that provide for use of continuous opacity monitoring data but allow more exceedances of the general opacity limit in cases where the owner of an eligible large coal fired boiler opts to use these data for determining compliance. EPA also proposed to approve other elements of Ohio's rule submittal that clarified Ohio's rules. In response to requests from the Ohio Environmental Protection Agency and from the law firm of Shumaker, Loop & Kendrick, EPA is reopening the comment period through August 24, 2005. All comments received on or before August 24, 2005 will be entered into the public record and considered by EPA before taking final action on the proposed rule.

DATES: Comments must be received on or before August 24, 2005.

ADDRESSES: Submit comments, identified by Regional Material in EDocket (RME) ID No. R05–OAR–2005–OH–0002, to: John Mooney, Chief, Criteria Pollutant Section, (AR–18J), U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, 77 West Jackson Boulevard, Chicago, Illinois 60604. Phone: (312) 886–4447. E-mail: mooney.john@epa.gov. Additional instructions to comment can be found in the notice of proposed rulemaking published June 27, 2005 (70 FR 36901).

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: John Summerhays, Criteria Pollutant Section (AR–18J), U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, 77 West Jackson Boulevard, Chicago, Illinois 60604, Telephone Number: (312) 353–4761, Email Address: summerhays.john@epa.gov.

Dated: August 2, 2005.

Bharat Mathur,

Acting Regional Administrator, Region 5. [FR Doc. 05–16811 Filed 8–23–05; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6560–50–P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

40 CFR Part 52

[R01-OAR-2005-ME-0007; A-1-FRL-7959-4]

Approval and Promulgation of Air Quality Implementation Plans; Maine; Nitrogen Oxides Exemption Request for Northern Maine

AGENCY: Environmental Protection

Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Proposed rule.

SUMMARY: The EPA is proposing to approve an exemption request from the requirements contained in Section 182(f) of the Clean Air Act (CAA or Act) for Northern Maine (specifically, Oxford, Franklin, Somerset, Piscataquis, Penobscot, Washington, Aroostook, and portions of Hancock and Waldo Counties). This area, along with the rest of the State of Maine, are part of the Ozone Transport Region (OTR) as provided for in section 184(a) of the Act. Section 182(f) in combination with section 184 (relating to ozone transport regions) of the Act requires States in the OTR, such as Maine, to adopt reasonably available control technology (RACT) rules for major stationary sources of nitrogen oxides (NO_X) and to provide for nonattainment area new source review (NSR) for new sources and modifications that are major for NO_X. This exemption request, submitted by the State of Maine on March 24, 2005 with supplemental submittals dated April 19, 2005 and June 28, 2005, is based on a demonstration that NO_X emissions in the exemption area are not impacting Maine's nonattainment areas or other nonattainment areas in the OTR during times when elevated ozone levels are monitored in those areas. As such, additional reductions in NO_x emissions from this area beyond what the State regulations already provide for are not necessary for future attainment in any of Maine's ozone nonattainment areas or other ozone nonattainment areas in the OTR. Thus, as provided for in section 182(f)(2), additional NO_X reductions in these areas would constitute excess reductions that can be waived under the Act. This action is being taken under the CAA.