

Written comments and recommendations on the proposed information collection should be sent to Ms. Jaffe at the Office of Management and Budget, Desk Officer for DoD, Room 10236, New Executive Office Building, Washington, DC 20503.

You may also submit comments, identified by docket number and title, by the following method:

- **Federal eRulemaking Portal:** <http://www.regulations.gov>. Follow the instructions for submitting comments.

**Instructions:** All submissions received must include the agency name, docket number and title for this **Federal Register** document. The general policy for comments and other submissions from members of the public is to make these submissions available for public viewing on the Internet at <http://www.regulations.gov> as they are received without change, including any personal identifiers or contact information.

**DOD Clearance Officer:** Ms. Patricia Toppings.

Written requests for copies of the information collection proposal should be sent to Ms. Toppings at WHS/ESD/ Information Management Division, 1777 North Kent Street, RPN, Suite 11000, Arlington, VA 22209-2133.

Dated: March 23, 2007.

**Patricia L. Toppings,**  
*Alternate OSD Federal Register Liaison Officer, Department of Defense.*

[FR Doc. 07-1564 Filed 3-29-07; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 5001-06-M**

## DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE

### Department of the Air Force

[No. USAF-2007-0002]

### Submission for OMB Review; Comment Request

**ACTION:** Notice.

The Department of Defense has submitted to OMB for clearance, the following proposal for collection of information under the provisions of the Paperwork Reduction Act (44 U.S.C. Chapter 35).

**DATES:** Consideration will be given to all comments received by April 30, 2007.

**Title, Form, and OMB Number:**  
United States Air Force Academy Candidate Activities Record; USAFA Form 147; OMB Control Number 0701-0063.

**Type of Request:** Extension.  
**Number of Respondents:** 8,150.  
**Responses per Respondent:** 1.  
**Annual Responses:** 8,150.  
**Average Burden per Response:** 45 minutes.

**Annual Burden Hours:** 6,383.

**Needs and Uses:** This information collection is necessary to obtain data on candidates background and aptitude in determining eligibility and selection to the Air Force Academy.

**Affected Public:** Individuals or Households.

**Frequency:** On Occasion.

**Respondent's Obligation:** Required to Obtain or Retain Benefits.

**OMB Desk Officer:** Ms. Hillary Jaffe.

Written comments and recommendations on the proposed information collection should be sent to Ms. Jaffe at the Office of Management and Budget, Desk Officer for DoD, Room 10236, New Executive Office Building, Washington, DC 20503. You may also submit comments, identified by docket number and title, by the following method:

- **Federal eRulemaking Portal:** <http://www.regulations.gov>. Follow the instructions for submitting comments.

**Instructions:** All submissions received must include the agency name, docket number and title for this **Federal Register** document. The general policy for comments and other submissions from members of the public is to make these submissions available for public viewing on the Internet at <http://www.regulations.gov> as they are received without change, including any personal identifiers or contact information.

**DOD Clearance Officer:** Ms. Patricia Toppings.

Written requests for copies of the information collection proposal should be sent to Ms. Toppings at WHS/ESD/ Information Management Division, 1777 North Kent Street, RPN, Suite 11000, Arlington, VA 22209-2133.

Dated: March 23, 2007.

**Patricia L. Toppings,**  
*Alternate OSD Federal Register, Liaison Officer, Department of Defense.*

[FR Doc. 07-1565 Filed 3-29-07; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 5001-06-M**

## DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE

### Department of the Army

### Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Renewal of Special Use Permit for Military Activities on the De Soto National Forest and Implementation of Installation Mission Support Activities at Camp Shelby, MS

**AGENCY:** Department of the Army, DoD.

**ACTION:** Notice of availability.

**SUMMARY:** This Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) has been

prepared by National Guard Bureau (NGB) and the United States Department of Agriculture—Forest Service (USDA—FS). NGB is the lead agency and the USDA—FS is serving as a cooperating agency in the development of this DEIS for the renewal of the current Special Use Permit (SUP) that authorizes military training activities at Camp Shelby Joint Forces Training Center.

**DATES:** The public comment period for the DEIS will end 45 days after publication of an NOA in the **Federal Register** by the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency.

**ADDRESSES:** Written comments or questions regarding the DEIS may be forwarded to Major Danny Blanton, Public Affairs Officer, Joint Forces Headquarters, Mississippi National Guard, P.O. Box 5027, Jackson, MS 39296-5027.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Major Danny Blanton, Public Affairs Officer, Joint Forces Headquarters, Mississippi National Guard, at (601) 313-6349. The alternate point of contact for this action is Lieutenant Colonel Robert A. Piazza, Mississippi Army National Guard, Director Environmental Program at (610) 313-6228.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** This DEIS discusses in-depth two alternatives: The Preferred Alternative and the No-Action Alternative. Under the Preferred Alternative, the Mississippi National Guard (MSNG) proposes the renewal of the USDA—FS SUP for a 20-year timeframe and authorizes current activities and mission requirements to continue on State of Mississippi, DoD, and National Forest lands. This alternative will help meet the Army requirements associated with the Proposed Action by constructing various new ranges and facilities at Camp Shelby and allowing for the continuation of necessary maintenance, repair, and rehabilitation of the infrastructure at Camp Shelby. The No Action Alternative would authorize the renewal of the SUP for a 10-year timeframe (same as previous SUP) and military activities would continue as currently permitted. This alternative would not authorize the proposed construction of new ranges and facilities and improved management practices. Other alternatives considered but eliminated from detailed study are addressed in the DEIS. The potential for significant impacts exists for both alternatives, however with the implementation of the ongoing and proposed mitigation and monitoring measures, the unavoidable adverse impacts can be mitigated to an acceptable level. Under the preferred