reimbursement of antidumping duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during this POR. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in the Department's presumption that reimbursement of antidumping duties has occurred and the subsequent assessment of doubled antidumping duties.

Administrative Protective Orders

This notice also serves as a reminder to parties subject to administrative protective order ("APO") of their responsibility concerning the return or destruction of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305, which continues to govern business proprietary information in this segment of the proceeding. Timely written notification of the return/destruction of APO materials or conversion to judicial protective order is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and terms of an APO is a violation which is subject to sanction.

We are issuing and publishing this administrative review and notice in accordance with sections 751(a)(1) and 777(i) of the Act.

Dated: October 24, 2011.

Ronald K. Lorentzen,

Deputy Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

Appendix I—Decision Memorandum General Issues

Comment 1: Assignment of the Separate Rate.

Comment 2: Ad Valorem Deposit Rates.

Comment 3: Zeroing.

Comment 4: Surrogate Values:

- a. Energy Coal.
- b. Carbonized Material.
- c. Surrogate Financial Ratios.
- d. Labor Rate

Comment 5: Issues Regarding CCT:

- a. Hydrochloric Acid Purity Level Adjustment.
 - b. Freight Cost Calculation.
 - c. Plastic Wrapping Weight Conversions.
 - d. Raw Material Reporting by CCT and JB. Comment 6: Issues Regarding Jacobi
 - a. Brokerage and Handling.
- b. Adverse Facts Available for NXGH's Water Usage.

[FR Doc. 2011-28158 Filed 10-28-11; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A-475-828]

Stainless Steel Butt-Weld Pipe Fittings From Italy; Extension of Time Limit for Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

DATES: Effective Date: October 31, 2011.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Edythe Artman or Angelica Mendoza, AD/CVD Operations, Office 7, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482–3931 or (202) 482–3019, respectively.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On March 31, 2011, the Department of Commerce (the Department) published the initiation of the administrative review of the antidumping duty order on stainless steel butt-weld pipe fittings from Italy in the **Federal Register**. See Initiation of Antidumping Duty Administrative Reviews, Requests for Revocation in Part, and Deferral of Administrative Review, 76 FR 17825 (March 31, 2011). This review covers the period of February 1, 2010, to January 31, 2011. The current deadline for the preliminary results of the review is October 31, 2011.

Extension of Time Limits for Preliminary Results of Review

Section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act), requires that the Department complete the preliminary results of an administrative review within 245 days after the last day of the anniversary month of an order for which a review is requested. However, if it is not practicable to complete the review within this time period, section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Act allows the Department to extend the 245-day time period for the preliminary results up to 365 days.

The Department finds that it is not practicable to complete the preliminary results of this review within the original time frame because it needs to obtain additional information from the respondent company, Tectubi Raccordi S.p.A., in order to complete its analysis. Because the Department requires additional time to obtain and analyze this information, it is not practicable to complete this review within the original

time limit (i.e., October 31, 2011) and, accordingly, the Department is extending the time limit for completion of the preliminary results of this administrative review until no later than December 15, 2011, which is 290 days from the last day of the anniversary month of this order. We intend to issue the final results no later than 120 days after publication of the preliminary results notice.

This extension is issued and published in accordance with sections 751(a)(3)(A) and 777(i) of the Act.

Dated: October 24, 2011.

Christian Marsh,

Deputy Assistant Secretary for Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Operations. [FR Doc. 2011–28185 Filed 10–28–11; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration [A-570-851]

Certain Preserved Mushrooms From the People's Republic of China: Final Results of Antidumping Duty New Shipper Reviews

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: On August 2, 2011, the Department of Commerce (the Department) published in the Federal **Register** the preliminary results of the new shipper reviews (NSRs) of the antidumping duty order on certain preserved mushrooms from the People's Republic of China (PRC) for Guangxi Hengyong Industrial & Commercial Dev., Ltd. (Hengyong) and Zhangzhou Hongda Import & Export Trading Co., Ltd. (Co.) (Hongda). See Certain Preserved Mushrooms From the People's Republic of China: Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty New Shipper Reviews, 76 FR 46270 (August 2, 2011) (Preliminary Results). We gave interested parties an opportunity to comment on the preliminary results. We received a case brief from Hongda on August 31, 2011. We received no rebuttal briefs from any parties. Furthermore, as described further below, we also received various comments/responses from the parties on

¹In its request for review, Hengyong certified that it was the exporter and Hengyong Industrial & Commercial Dev. Ltd. Hengxian Food Division (Hengxian) was the manufacturer. See September 24, 2010, submission from Hengyong. In its request for NSR, Hongda certified it was the exporter and Fujian Haishan Foods Co., Ltd. (Haishan) was the manufacturer. See September 24, 2010, submission from Hongda.