DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[Docket No. ER01-410-000]

Consumers Energy Company; Notice of Filing

November 22, 2000.

Take notice that on November 9, 2000, Consumers Energy Company (Consumers) tendered for filing a Facilities Agreement between Consumers and SEI Michigan, L.L.C. [SEI] (Agreement). Under the Agreement, Consumers is to provide electrical connection facilities between a generating plant to be built by SEI and Consumers transmission system. Consumers requested that the Agreement be allowed to become effective October 4, 2000.

Copies of the filing were served upon SEI and the Michigan Public Service Commission.

Any person desiring to be heard or to protest such filing should file a motion to intervene or protest with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street, NE., Washington, DC 20426, in accordance with Rules 211 and 214 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 385.211 and 385.214). All such motions and protests should be filed on or before November 30, 2000. Protests will be considered by the Commission to determine the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceedings. Any person wishing to become a party must file a motion to intervene. Copies of this filing are on file with the Commission and are available for public inspection. This filing may also be viewed on the Internet at http://www.ferc.fed.us/ online/rims.htm (call 202-208-2222 for assistance). Comments and protests may be filed electronically via the internet in lieu of paper. See, 18 CFR 385.2001(a)(1)(iii) and the instructions on the Commission's web site at http:/ /www.ferc.fed.us/efi/doorbell.htm.

David P. Boergers,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 00–30356 Filed 11–28–00; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6717–01–M

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[Docket No. RP99-351-002]

Florida Gas Transmission Company; Notice of Compliance Filing

November 22, 2000.

Take notice that on November 14, 2000, Florida Gas Transmission Company (FGT) tendered for filing to become part of its FERC Gas Tariff, Third Revised Volume No. 1, the following tariff sheets, effective December 1, 2000:

Fourth Revised Sheet No. 650 Third Revised Sheet No. 651 Third Revised Sheet No. 652 Third Revised Sheet No. 653 Fourth Revised Sheet No. 654

FGT states that on June 5, 1999 FGT filed revised tariff sheets to reflect the certification of the Data Verification Committee (DVC) of the "Index of Requirements by End Use Priority for the 12 Month Period Ending June 30, 1998." The DVC certification is pursuant to Section 17.A.4 of the General Terms and Conditions of the FGT FERC Gas Tariff, which requires (i) that shippers submit data showing usage of Priority 1 and/or Priority 2 Exempt Uses for a one-year period ending every third July 31 and (ii) that the DVC certify the volumes which qualify as Priority 1 or Priority 2 Exempt Uses. On July 7, 1997 Coronet Industries, Inc. (Coronet) and U.S. Agri-Chemicals Corporation (US Agri-Chem) jointly filed a protest objecting to the DVC's reading of the tariff to exclude agricultural uses having alternative fuel capability on August 29, 1979 and requesting that their entire usage be included as a Priority 2 Exempt Use. Coronet and US Agri-Chem also objected to the DVC decision to allow shippers having no growth to use existing Exempt Use data collected for the period for July 1, 1994 to June 31, 1995 in lieu of resubmitting actual daily average gas usage for the period July 1, 1997 to June 30, 1998. On July 16, 1999 FGT filed a response to the Protest.

Also, FGT states that on July 23, 1999 the Commission issued its "Order Accepting and Suspending Tariff Sheets Subject to Refund and Establishing a Briefing Schedule." Coronet/US Agri-Chem and FGT filed Initial Briefs on August 12, 1999 and Reply Briefs on August 23, 1999. On September 15, 2000 the Commission issued its "Order on Briefs." The Commission determined that the DVC was correct in its decision to exclude agricultural uses with

alternate fuel capability on August 29, 1979. However, the Commission determined that it was inappropriate to utilize Exempt Use data from the prior data collection. The Commission required the collection of actual data for the 12-month period ending in June 30, 1998.

And, FGT states that by letter dated September 27, 2000, FGT gave notice that customers were required to submit actual end use data pursuant to the Commission's September 15, 2000 Order. This data was submitted and on November 2, 2000 the DVC met to vote on the certification of requirements.

Any person desiring to protest said filing should file a protest with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street, NE., Washington, DC 20426, in accordance with Section 385.211 of the Commission's Rules and Regulations. All such protests must be filed in accordance with Section 154.210 of the Commission's Regulations. Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceedings. Copies of this filing are on file with the Commission and are available for public inspection in the Public Reference Room. This filing may be viewed on the web at http:// www.ferc.fed.us/online/rims.htm (call 202-208-2222 for assistance). Comments and protests may be filed electronically via the internet in lieu of paper. See, 18 CFR 385.2001(a)(1)(iii) and the instructions on the Commission's web site at http:// www.ferc.fed.us/efi/doorbell.htm.

David P. Boergers,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 00–30341 Filed 11–28–00; 8:45 am]

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[Docket No. CP01-31-000]

Kern River Gas Transmission Company; Notice of Application

November 22, 2000.

On November 15, 2000, Kern River Gas Transmission Company (Kern River), 295 Chipeta Way, Salt Lake City, Utah 84158, filed in Docket No. CP01– 31–000 an application pursuant to Section 7 of the Natural Gas Act (NGA) and the Commission's Rules and Regulations for a certificate of public convenience and necessity authorizing Kern River to construct and operate facilities required to expand its transportation capacity from Wyoming to California to serve 124,500 Mcf of new firm, long-term capacity, commencing May 1, 2002. Kern River requests an up-front determination that the project qualifies for rolled-in rate treatment, and for approval of a proforma tariff provision establishing an electric compressor fuel surcharge and approval of its proposed accounting treatment for certain expansion costs, all as more fully set forth in the application which is on file with the Commission and open to public inspection. The filing may be viewed at http:// www.ferc.fed.us/online/rims.htm (call 202–208–2222 for assistance).

Kern River proposes to install the following facilities: (1) Three new compressor stations, the Elberta Compressor Station, in Utah County, Utah, the Veyo Compressor Station in Washington County, Utah, and the Daggett Compressor Station in San Bernardino County, California; (2) an additional compressor unit at the existing Muddy Creek Compressor Station in Lincoln County, Wyoming; (3) restaging of the compressor at the existing Fillmore Compressor in Millard County, Utah; and (4) upgrades of the existing Opal Meter Station in Lincoln County, Wyoming and the Wheeler Ridge Meter Station in Kern County, California. It is indicated that the proposed compression facilities will add a total of 49,500 horsepower to the Kern River system at a cost of approximately \$80 million.

Kern River states that the proposed expansion facilities are designed to accommodate the 124,500 dt per day of commitments for new firm service from Wyoming to California under four longterm (10- and 15-year) agreements resulting from a recent open season. It is stated that Kern River in the open season solicited requests for capacity turnback, but received no offers to release capacity. Kern River also states that the expansion transportation agreements are subject to the applicable extended term (ET) rates under the ET rate program recently approved for future implementation on the Kern River system. Kern River estimates that the rolled-in effect of the proposed expansion will be an approximately 4 to 6 percent reduction in otherwise applicable rates for existing shippers, partially offset by an increase in fuel reimbursement obligations as a result of the added compression. It is indicated that, pursuant to a rate settlement obligation, Kern River will submit a timely compliance filing to adjust its rates effective with the in-service date of the expansion to reflect the beneficial impact of the expansion project.

It is also stated that the proposed California compressor station will have an electric motor-driven compressor unit. To ensure recovery of the associated actual electric fuel costs from its shippers flowing gas through that point, Kern River proposes an electric compressor fuel surcharge under its tariff. It is indicated that, based on the stated assumptions for electricity costs, the initial surcharge is \$0.0051 per dt of service flowing through that station.

Kern River also states that the \$800,000 estimated cost to restage the existing compressor unit at the Fillmore Compressor Station will be expensed consistent with the FERC's Gas Plant Instructions in Part 201 of the Commission's Regulations. Kern River requests approval to amortize the restaging expense over 15 years, consistent with the contract terms applicable to most of the expansion capacity. It is also indicated that use of the approved ET rate levelization methodology for the proposed roll-in results in the new regulatory depreciation rates shown in Exhibit O of the application. Kern River requests that, since the total debt-related depreciation expenses still will be recovered over the primary terms of the service agreements, it should be permitted to continue accounting for the differences between its book depreciation and its regulatory depreciation as a regulatory asset or liability, with amortization over the primary terms of the underlying service agreements.

Kern River avers that the expansion shippers require service by May 1, 2002, in order to serve the fuel requirements of new and existing electric power generation facilities in California, and that the new facilities will require seven months to construct.

Questions regarding the details of this proposed project should be directed to Gary Kotter, Manager, Certificates, at (801)–584–7117, or in writing to his attention at Kern River Gas Transmission Company, P.O. Box 58900, Salt Lake City, Utah 84158.

There are two ways to become involved in the Commission's review of this project. First, any person wishing to obtain legal status by becoming a party to the proceedings for this project should, on or before December 13, 2000, file with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street, NE., Washington, DC 20426, a motion to intervene in accordance with the requirements of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 385.214 or 385.211) and the Regulations

under the NGA (18 CFR 157.10). A person obtaining party status will be placed on the service list maintained by the Secretary of the Commission and will receive copies of all documents filed by the applicant and by all other parties. A party must submit 14 copies of filings made with the Commission and must mail a copy to the applicant and to every other party in the proceeding. Only parties to the proceeding can ask for court review of Commission orders in the proceeding.

However, a person does not have to intervene in order to have comments considered. The second way to participate is by filing with the Secretary of the Commission, as soon as possible, an original and two copies of comments in support of or in opposition to this project. The Commission will consider these comments in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but the filing of a comment alone will not serve to make the filer a party to the proceeding. The Commission's rules require that persons filing comments in opposition to the project provide copies of their protests only to the party or parties directly involved in the protest.

Persons who wish to comment only on the environmental review of this project should submit an original and two copies of their comments to the Secretary of the Commission. Environmental commenters will be placed on the Commission's environmental mailing list, will receive copies of the environmental documents, and will be notified of meetings associated with the Commission's environmental review process. Environmental commenters will not be required to serve copies of filed documents on all other parties. However, the non-party commenters will not receive copies of all documents filed by other parties or issued by the Commission (except for the mailing of environmental documents issued by the Commission) and will not have the right to seek court review of the Commission's final order.

The Commission may issue a preliminary determination on non-environmental issues prior to the completion of its review of the environmental aspects of the project. This preliminary determination typically considers such issues as the need for the project and its economic effect on existing customers of the applicant, on other pipelines in the area, and on landowners and communities. For example, the Commission considers the extent to which the applicant may need to exercise eminent domain to obtain rights-of-way for the proposed

project and balances that against the non-environmental benefits to be provided by the project. Therefore, if a person has comments on community and landowner impacts from this proposal, it is important either to file comments or to intervene as early in the process as possible.

Comments and protests may be filed electronically via the internet in lieu of paper. See, 18 CFR 385.2001(a)(1)(iii) and the instructions on the Commission's web site at http://www.ferc.fed.us/efi/doorbell.htm.

If the Commission decides to set the application for a formal hearing before an Administrative Law Judge, the Commission will issue another notice describing that process. At the end of the Commission's review process, a final Commission order approving or denying a certificate will be issued.

David P. Boergers,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 00-30355 Filed 11-28-00; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-M

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[Docket No. RP00-628-001]

Kinder Morgan Interstate Gas Transmission LLC; Notice of Compliance Filing

November 22, 2000.

Take notice that on November 17, 2000, Kinder Morgan Interstate Gas Transmission LLC, (KMIGT) tendered for filing as part of its FERC Gas Tariff, Fourth Revised Volume No. 1–B, the following sheet, with an effective date of November 1, 2000:

Sub. First Revised Sheet No. 54A

KMIGT states that the filing is being filed in compliance with the Commission's Order dated October 25, 2000 in this docket.

KMIGT states that it has served copies of the filing upon the company's transportation service and storage customers.

Any person desiring to protest said filing should file a protest with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street, NE., Washington, DC 20426, in accordance with Section 385.211 of the Commission's Rules and Regulations. All such protests must be filed in accordance with Section 154.210 of the Commission's Regulations. Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will

not serve to make protestants parties to the proceedings. Copies of this filing are on file with the Commission and are available for public inspection in the Public Reference Room. This filing may be viewed on the web at http://www.ferc.fed.us/online/rims.htm (call 202–208–2222 for assistance). Comments and protests may be filed electronically via the internet in lieu of paper. See, 18 CFR 385.2001(a)(1)(iii) and the instructions on the Commission's web site at http://www.ferc.fed.us/efi/doorbell.htm.

David P. Boergers,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 00–30350 Filed 11–28–00; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6717–01–M

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[Docket No. CP00-433-001]

Northern Natural Gas Company; Notice of Compliance Filing

November 22, 2000.

Take notice that on November 15, 2000, Northern Natural Gas Company (Northern) tendered for filing to become part of Northern's FERC Gas Tariffs, Fifth Revised Volume No. 1, the following tariff sheets proposed to be effective on November 3, 2000:

Fifth Revised Volume No. 1
Third Revised Sheet No. 7
Original Volume No. 2
Ninth Revised Sheet No. 1A.2
38 Revised Sheet No. 1C.a
Second Revised Sheet No. 2144

Northern states that the above sheets represent cancellation of Rate Schedule T–44 from Northern's Original Volume No. 2 FERC Gas Tariff, and its associated deletion from the Table of Contents in Northern's Volume Nos. 1 and 2 tariffs.

Northern states that copies of the filing were served upon the company's customers and interested state Commissions.

Any person desiring to protest said filing should file a protest with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street, NE., Washington, DC 20426, in accordance with Section 385.211 of the Commission's Rules and Regulations. All such protests must be filed in accordance with Section 154.210 of the Commission's Regulations. Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceedings. Copies of this filing are

on file with the Commission and are available for public inspection in the Public Reference Room. This filing may be viewed on the web at http://www.ferc.fed.us/online/rims.htm (call 202–208–2222 for assistance). Comments and protests may be filed electronically via the internet in lieu of paper. See, 18 CFR 385.2001(a)(1)(iii) and the instructions on the Commission's web site at http://www.ferc.fed.us/efi/doorbell.htm.

David P. Boergers,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 00–30343 Filed 11–28–00; 8:45 am]

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[Docket No. RP01-10-001]

Ozark Gas Transmission, L.L.C.; Notice of Compliance Filing

November 22, 2000.

Take notice that on November 17, 2000, Ozark Gas Transmission, L.L.C. (Ozark) tendered for filing as part of its FERC Gas Tariff, Original Volume No. 1, the following revised tariff sheets, to be effective November 1, 2000:

Substitute First Revised Sheet No. 104A Substitute First Revised Sheet No. 105

Ozark asserts that the purpose of this filing is to comply with the Commission's order issued October 27, 2000, in Docket No. RP01–10–000.

Ozark states that it is filing to remove from its tariff provisions providing for the imposition of transportation charges for imbalance netting and trading pursuant to the directive of the Commission's order in this proceeding.

Ozark further states that it has served copies of this filing upon the company's jurisdictional customers and interested state commissions.

Any person desiring to protest said filing should file a protest with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street, NE., Washington, DC 20426, in accordance with Section 385.211 of the Commission's Rules and Regulations. All such protests must be filed in accordance with Section 154.210 of the Commission's Regulations. Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceedings. Copies of this filing are on file with the Commission and are available for public inspection in the Public Reference Room. This filing may