

Disclosure

We intend to disclose the calculations performed within five days of the date of publication of this notice to parties in this proceeding, in accordance with 19 CFR 351.224(b).

Assessment Rates

Pursuant to section 751(a)(2)(C) of the Act, and 19 CFR 351.212(b)(1), Commerce has determined, and U.S. Customs and Border Protection (CBP) shall assess, antidumping duties on all appropriate entries of subject merchandise in accordance with the final results of this review.

Pursuant to 19 CFR 351.212(b)(1), because Ajmal and Universal reported the entered value of their U.S. sales, we calculated importer-specific *ad valorem* duty assessment rates for antidumping duties based on the ratio of the total amount of dumping calculated for the examined sales to each importer to the total entered value of those sales. Where an importer-specific assessment rate is *de minimis* (i.e., less than 0.5 percent), the entries by that importer will be liquidated without regard to antidumping duties.

Commerce's "automatic assessment" will apply to entries of subject merchandise during the POR produced or exported by Ajmal or Universal for which the company did not know that the merchandise they sold to the intermediary (e.g., a reseller, trading company, or exporter) was destined for the United States. In such instances, we will instruct CBP to liquidate unreviewed entries at the all-others rate if there is no rate for the intermediate company(ies) involved in the transaction.¹⁰

For the companies that were not selected for individual review, the assessment rate for antidumping duties will be equal to the weighted-average dumping margin determined in these final results.

We intend to issue assessment instructions to CBP no earlier than 35 days after the date of publication of the final results of this review in the **Federal Register**. If a timely summons is filed at the U.S. Court of International Trade, the assessment instructions will direct CBP not to liquidate relevant entries until the time for parties to file a request for a statutory injunction has expired (i.e., within 90 days of publication).

¹⁰ For a full discussion of this practice, see *Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Proceedings: Assessment of Antidumping Duties*, 68 FR 23954 (May 6, 2003).

Cash Deposit Requirements

The following cash deposit requirements will be effective for all shipments of the subject merchandise entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after the publication date of the final results of this administrative review, as provided by section 751(a)(2)(C) of the Act: (1) the cash deposit rate for each company listed above will be that established in the final results of this review, except if the rate is less than 0.50 percent and, therefore, *de minimis* within the meaning of 19 CFR 351.106(c)(1), in which case the cash deposit rate will be zero; (2) for previously investigated companies not subject to this review, the cash deposit will continue to be the company-specific rate published for the most recently completed segment of this proceeding; (3) if the exporter is not a firm covered in this review, or a previous segment, but the producer is, then the cash deposit rate will be the rate established for the most recent segment for the producer of the merchandise; and (4) the cash deposit rate for all other producers or exporters will continue to be 5.95 percent, the all-others rate established in the LTFV investigation.¹¹

These cash deposit requirements, when imposed, shall remain in effect until further notice.

Notification to Importers

This notice serves as a final reminder to importers of their responsibility under 19 CFR 351.402(f)(2) to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during this review period. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in Commerce's presumption that reimbursement of antidumping duties occurred and the subsequent assessment of double antidumping duties.

Administrative Protective Order

This notice serves as the only reminder to parties subject to an administrative protective order (APO) of their responsibility concerning the disposition of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305(a)(3), which continues to govern business proprietary information in this segment of the proceeding. Timely written notification of return or destruction of APO materials or conversion to judicial

¹¹ See *Circular Welded Carbon-Quality Steel Pipe from the Sultanate of Oman, Pakistan, and the United Arab Emirates: Amended Final Affirmative Antidumping Duty Determination and Antidumping Duty Orders*, 81 FR 91906, 91908 (December 19, 2016).

protective order is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and the terms of an APO is a sanctionable violation.

Notification to Interested Parties

We are issuing and publishing this notice in accordance with sections 751(a)(1) and 777(i) of the Act.

Dated: April 27, 2023.

Lisa W. Wang,

Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance.

Appendix

List of Topics Discussed in the Issues and Decision Memorandum

- I. Summary
- II. Background
- III. Scope of the Order
- IV. Changes From the *Preliminary Results*
- V. Discussion of the Issues
 - Comment 1: Differential Pricing Analysis
 - Comment 2: Treatment of Section 232 Duties
 - Comment 3: Selection of the Correct Universes of Sales for Ajmal for the Period of Review (POR)
 - Comment 4: Calculation of Universal's Imputed Credit Expense for U.S. Sales
 - Comment 5: Application of Partial Adverse Facts Available (AFA) for Universal's Reported Freight Revenue on U.S. Sales
- VI. Recommendation

[FR Doc. 2023-09422 Filed 5-3-23; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A-588-874]

Certain Hot-Rolled Steel Flat Products From Japan: Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review; 2020-2021

AGENCY: Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: The U.S. Department of Commerce (Commerce) determines that Nippon Steel Corporation (NSC), producer and exporter of hot-rolled steel flat products (hot-rolled steel) from Japan, sold subject merchandise in the United States at prices below normal value during the period of review (POR) October 1, 2020, through September 30, 2021.

DATES: Applicable May 4, 2023.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Jun Jack Zhao AD/CVD Operations, Office VII, Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 1401 Constitution Avenue NW, Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482-1396.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**Background**

On November 2, 2022, Commerce published the *Preliminary Results* of this review in the **Federal Register**.¹ We invited interested parties to comment on the *Preliminary Results*. Between December 2 and 9, 2022, Commerce received timely filed briefs and rebuttal briefs from NSC, Nucor (the petitioner), and Tokyo Steel Manufacturing Co., Ltd. (Tokyo Steel) and Optima Steel International, LLC.² On December 2, 2022, Commerce received hearing requests from NSC and Nucor.³ On December 16, 2022 and February 10, 2023, NSC and Nucor each withdrew its hearing request, respectively.⁴

On February 13, 2023, we extended the deadline for the final results, in accordance with section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act), and 19 CFR 351.213(h)(2).⁵ The deadline for the final results of this review is April 28, 2023.

These final results cover two producers and/or exporters of subject merchandise.⁶ Based on an analysis of the comments received, we made certain changes to the weighted-average dumping margins determined for NSC. The weighted-average dumping margins are listed in the “Final Results of Review” section, below. Commerce conducted this review in accordance with section 751(a) of the Act.

Scope of the Order⁷

The merchandise covered by the *Order* is certain hot-rolled steel flat

products. For a complete description of the scope of the *Order*, see the Issues and Decision Memorandum.⁸

Analysis of Comments Received

We addressed all issues raised in the case and rebuttal briefs in the Issues and Decision Memorandum, which is hereby adopted with this notice. The issues are identified in Appendix I to this notice. The Issues and Decision Memorandum is a public document and is on file electronically via Enforcement and Compliance’s Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Centralized Electronic Service System (ACCESS). ACCESS is available to registered users at <https://access.trade.gov>. In addition, a complete version of the Issues and Decision Memorandum can be accessed directly at <https://access.trade.gov/public/FRNoticesListLayout.aspx>.

Changes Since the Preliminary Results

Based on our review and analysis of the comments received from parties, we made certain changes to NSC’s margin calculations. For a discussion of these changes, see the Issues and Decision Memorandum.

Rate for Non-Examined Companies

The statute and Commerce’s regulations do not address the establishment of a rate to be applied to companies not selected for individual examination when Commerce limits its examination in an administrative review pursuant to section 777A(c)(2) of the Act. Generally, Commerce looks to section 735(c)(5) of the Act, which provides instructions for calculating the all-others rate in a market economy investigation, for guidance when calculating the rate for companies which were not selected for individual examination in an administrative review. Under section 735(c)(5)(A) of the Act, the all-others rate is normally “an amount equal to the weighted-average of the estimated weighted-average dumping margins established for exporters and producers individually investigated, excluding any zero or *de minimis* margins, and any margins determined entirely {on the basis of facts available}.”

In this review, we have calculated a weighted-average dumping margin for NSC that is not zero, *de minimis*, or determined entirely on the basis of facts

available. Accordingly, Commerce has assigned to the non-examined company, Tokyo Steel, a margin of 7.72 percent, which is NSC’s calculated weighted-average dumping margin.

Final Results of Review

We are assigning the following weighted-average dumping margins to the firms listed below for the period October 1, 2020, through September 30, 2021:

Exporter/producer	Weighted-average dumping margin (percent)
Nippon Steel Corporation/Nippon Steel Nisshin Co., Ltd./Nippon Steel Trading Corporation ⁹	7.72
Tokyo Steel Manufacturing Co., Ltd.	7.72

Assessment

Consistent with its recent notice,¹⁰ Commerce intends to issue assessment instructions to CBP no earlier than 35 days after the date of publication of the final results of this review in the **Federal Register**. If a timely summons is filed at the U.S. Court of International Trade, the assessment instructions will direct CBP not to liquidate relevant entries until the time for parties to file a request for a statutory injunction has expired (*i.e.*, within 90 days of publication).

Where the respondent reported reliable entered values, we calculated importer—(or customer-) specific *ad valorem* rates by aggregating the dumping margins calculated for all U.S. sales to each importer (or customer) and dividing this amount by the total entered value of the sales to each importer (or customer).¹¹ Where Commerce calculated a weighted-average dumping margin by dividing the total amount of dumping for reviewed

¹ See *Certain Hot-Rolled Steel Flat Products from Japan: Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review; 2020–2021*, 87 FR 66130 (November 2, 2022) (*Preliminary Results*), and accompanying Preliminary Decision Memorandum (PDM).

² See NSC’s Letter, “NSC’s Case Brief,” dated December 2, 2022; Nucor’s Letter, “Nucor’s Case Brief,” dated December 2, 2022; Tokyo Steel’s Letter, “Tokyo Steel Manufacturing Co., Ltd. and Optima Steel International LLC’s Case Brief,” dated December 2, 2022; NSC’s Letter, “NSC’s Rebuttal Brief,” dated December 9, 2022; Nucor’s Letter, “Nucor’s Rebuttal Brief,” dated December 9, 2022.

³ See NSC’s Letter, “NSC’s Hearing Request,” dated December 2, 2022; Nucor’s Letter, “Request for Hearing,” dated December 2, 2022.

⁴ See NSC’s Letter, “Withdrawal of NSC’s Hearing Request,” dated December 16, 2022; Nucor’s Letter, “Withdrawal of Request for Hearing,” dated February 10, 2023.

⁵ See Memorandum, “Extension of Deadline for Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review; 2020–2021,” dated February 13, 2023.

⁶ See *Initiation of Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Administrative Reviews*, 86 FR 67685 (November 29, 2021).

⁷ See *Certain Hot-Rolled Steel Flat Products from Australia, Brazil, Japan, the Republic of Korea, the Netherlands, the Republic of Turkey, and the United Kingdom: Amended Final Affirmative Antidumping Determinations for Australia, the Republic of Korea, and the Republic of Turkey and*

Antidumping Duty Orders, 81 FR 67962 (October 3, 2016) (*Order*).

⁸ See Memorandum, “Issues and Decision Memorandum for the Final Results of the Antidumping Duty Administrative Review: Certain Hot-Rolled Steel Flat Products from Japan; 2020–2021,” dated concurrently with, and hereby adopted by, this notice (Issues and Decision Memorandum).

⁹ Commerce found in a changed circumstances review that NSC, Nippon Steel Nisshin Co., Ltd., and Nippon Steel Trading Corporation are affiliated companies that should be treated as a single entity and as the successor-in-interest to Nippon Steel & Sumitomo Metal Corporation, Nisshin Steel Co., Ltd., and Nippon Steel & Sumikin Bussan Corporation, respectively. See *Certain Hot-Rolled Steel Flat Products from Japan: Notice of Final Results of Antidumping Duty Changed Circumstances Review*, 84 FR 46713 (September 5, 2019). Because there is no information on the record of this administrative review that would lead us to revisit this determination, we are continuing to treat these companies as part of a single entity for the purposes of this administrative review.

¹⁰ See *Notice of Discontinuation of Policy to Issue Liquidation Instructions After 15 Days in Applicable Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Administrative Proceedings*, 86 FR 3995 (January 15, 2021).

¹¹ See 19 CFR 351.212(b)(1).

sales to that party by the total sales quantity associated with those transactions, Commerce will direct CBP to assess importer- (or customer-) specific assessment rates based on the resulting per-unit rates.¹² Where an importer- (or customer-) specific *ad valorem* or per-unit rate is greater than *de minimis* (i.e., 0.50 percent), Commerce will instruct CBP to collect the appropriate duties at the time of liquidation.¹³ Where an importer- (or customer-) specific *ad valorem* or per-unit rate is zero or *de minimis*, Commerce will instruct CBP to liquidate appropriate entries without regard to antidumping duties.¹⁴

For the company which were not selected for individual review, we will assign an assessment rate based on the methodology described in the “Rates for Non-Examined Companies” section, above.

Consistent with Commerce’s assessment practice, for entries of subject merchandise during the POR produced by NSC, or the non-examined companies for which the producer did not know that its merchandise was destined for the United States, we will instruct CBP to liquidate unreviewed entries at the all-others rate if there is no rate for the intermediate company(ies) involved in the transaction.¹⁵

Cash Deposit Requirements

The following cash deposit requirements will be effective for all shipments of subject merchandise entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after the publication date of the final results of this administrative review, as provided for by section 751(a)(2)(C) of the Act: (1) the cash deposit rates for the companies listed in these final results will be equal to the weighted-average dumping margins established in the final results of this review; (2) for merchandise exported by producers or exporters not covered in this review but covered in a prior segment of this proceeding, the cash deposit rate will continue to be the company-specific rate published for the most recently completed segment in which the company was reviewed; (3) if the exporter is not a firm covered in this review or the original less-than-fair-value (LTFV) investigation, but the producer is, the cash deposit rate will be the rate established for the most recently completed segment of this proceeding

for the producer of the subject merchandise; and (4) the cash deposit rate for all other producers or exporters will continue to be 5.58 percent,¹⁶ the all-others rate established in the LTFV investigation. These cash deposit requirements, when imposed, shall remain in effect until further notice.

Notification to Importers

This notice serves as a final reminder to importers of their responsibility under 19 CFR 351.402(f)(2) to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during this POR. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in the presumption that reimbursement of antidumping duties occurred and the subsequent assessment of double antidumping duties.

Administrative Protective Order

This notice also serves as a reminder to parties subject to administrative protective order (APO) of their responsibility concerning the destruction of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305(a)(3). Timely written notification of the return or destruction of APO materials or conversion to judicial protective order is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and terms of an APO is a sanctionable violation.

Notification to Interested Parties

We are issuing and publishing these results in accordance with sections 751(a)(1) and 777(i)(1) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.213(h) and 351.221(b)(5) of Commerce’s regulations.

Dated: April 28, 2023.

Lisa W. Wang,

Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance.

Appendix I

List of Topics Discussed in the Issues and Decision Memorandum

- I. Summary
- II. Background
- III. Scope of the Order
- IV. Changes Since the Preliminary Results
- V. Discussion of the Issues
 - Comment 1: Whether Commerce Should Deduct Section 232 Duties From U.S. Price
 - Comment 2: Whether Commerce Erred in Applying its Differential Pricing Analysis
 - Comment 3: Whether Commerce Should Include Certain U.S. and Home Market

- Sales in its Calculation of NSC’s Dumping Margin
- Comment 4: Whether Commerce Should Include Certain U.S. Revenue Fields for Certain Extra Services in Calculating NSC’s Dumping Margin
- Comment 5: Whether NSC’s Fees Paid to Unaffiliated Trading Companies Should Be Treated as Commissions
- Comment 6: Whether Commerce Should Calculate a Company Specific AD Assessment Rate for Tokyo Steel
- VI. Recommendation

[FR Doc. 2023–09508 Filed 5–3–23; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510–DS–P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A–533–887]

Carbon and Alloy Steel Threaded Rod From India: Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review, 2021–2022

AGENCY: Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: The U.S. Department of Commerce (Commerce) preliminarily determines that carbon and alloy steel threaded rod (steel threaded rod) from India was sold in the United States at below normal value. The period of review (POR) is April 1, 2021, through March 31, 2022. Interested parties are invited to comment on these preliminary results.

DATES: Applicable May 4, 2023.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Nicolas Mayora or Samuel Frost, AD/CVD Operations, Office V, Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 1401 Constitution Avenue NW, Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482–3053 or (202) 482–8180, respectively.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On April 9, 2020, Commerce published in the **Federal Register** an antidumping duty order on steel threaded rod from India.¹ On April 1, 2022, we published in the **Federal Register** a notice of opportunity to request an administrative review of the Order.² On June 9, 2022, based on

¹ See *Carbon and Alloy Steel Threaded Rod from India: Amended Final Determination of Sales at Less Than Fair Value and Antidumping Duty Order*, 85 FR 19925 (April 9, 2020) (Order).

² See *Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Order, Finding, or Suspended Investigation; Opportunity to Request Administrative Review and Join Annual Inquiry Service List*, 87 FR 19075 (April 1, 2022).

¹² *Id.*

¹³ *Id.*

¹⁴ See 19 CFR 351.106(c)(2).

¹⁵ For a full discussion of this practice, see *Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Proceedings: Assessment of Antidumping Duties*, 68 FR 23954 (May 6, 2003).

¹⁶ See *Certain Hot-Rolled Steel Flat Products from Japan: Final Determination of Sales at Less Than Fair Value and Final Affirmative Determination of Critical Circumstances*, 81 FR 53409 (August 12, 2016).