nonattainment area is not making reasonable further progress towards attainment, and that the additional emissions from the proposed polypropylene unit will adversely affect the ozone situation. Ms. Orr also submitted a letter supplementing the petition on behalf of LEAN on January 5, 1999, and another letter on March 1, 1999, requesting that the Exxon permit be reopened. The Region 6 Regional Administrator also addressed the second issue in a separate letter to the Petitioners.

On April 12, 2000, the Administrator issued an order denying the petition. The order explains the reasons for denying the Petitioners' claims.

Dated: April 28, 2000.

### Carl E. Edlund,

Acting Regional Administrator, Region 6. [FR Doc. 00–11567 Filed 5–8–00; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6560–50–P

## ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[FRL-6602-7]

Notice of Proposed Administrative Settlement Pursuant to the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation and Liability Act ("CERCLA"), Union Pacific Railroad Wallace-Mullan Branch

**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

**ACTION:** Notice; request for comment.

**SUMMARY:** In accordance with section 122(i) of the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act, as amended ("CERCLA"), 42 Ŭ.S.C. 9622(i), notice is hereby given of a proposed administrative settlement with the Union Pacific Railroad Company for recovery of certain response costs concerning the Union Pacific Railroad Wallace-Mullan Branch in northern Idaho. The settlement requires Union Pacific to pay a total of \$650,000 to the Hazardous Substance Superfund. The settlement includes a limited covenant not to sue pursuant to 42 U.S.C. 9607(a) and provides for contribution protection pursuant to 42 U.S.C. 9622(h). This administrative settlement will be superseded upon entry of a consent decree lodged on December 23, 1999, by the United States, State of Idaho, Coeur d'Alene, and Union Pacific, Case No. 99-606-N-EJL (D. Idaho), or will otherwise terminate three months from the effective date of the administrative settlement, unless otherwise agreed by the parties to this settlement. EPA will

consider public comments on the proposed administrative settlement for thirty days. EPA may withdraw from or modify this proposed settlement should such comments disclose facts or considerations which indicate this proposed settlement is inappropriate, improper, or inadequate.

DATES: Written comments must be provided on or before June 8, 2000.

ADDRESSES: Comments should be addressed to Clifford J. Villa, Assistant Regional Counsel, Environmental Protection Agency, Region 10, 1200 Sixth Ave., ORC–158, Seattle, Washington 98101 and refer to In the Matter of Union Pacific Railroad Wallace-Mullan Branch Notice of Proposed Administrative Settlement.

Copies of the proposed settlement are available from: Clifford J. Villa, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region 10, Office of Regional Counsel, 1200 Sixth Avenue, Seattle, Washington, 98101, (206) 553–1185.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Clifford J. Villa at (206) 553–1185.

Authority: The Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation and Liability Act of 1980, as amended ("CERCLA"), 42 U.S.C. 9622(i).

#### Sheila M. Eckman,

Acting Regional Administrator, Region 10. [FR Doc. 00–11570 Filed 5–8–00; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6560–50–P

## ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[FRL-6604-1]

### Public Water System Supervision Program Revision for the State of South Dakota

**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

**ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** The State of South Dakota has revised its Public Water System Supervision (PWSS) Primacy Program. South Dakota's PWSS program, administered by the Drinking Water Program of the South Dakota Department of Environment and Natural Resources (DENR), has adopted regulations for lead and copper in drinking water that correspond to the National Primary Drinking Water Regulations (NPDWR) in 40 CFR part 141 Subpart I (56 FR 26460-26564). The Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) published a proposed primacy revision on August 16, 1999 at 64 FR 44521 and provided for public comment. The EPA also held a public hearing on December 2, 1999, in Badlands National Park,

South Dakota (64 FR 61109). No comments were received regarding PWSS program issues. The EPA has completed its review of South Dakota's primacy revisions and has determined that they are no less stringent than the NPDWR. EPA therefore approves South Dakota's primacy revisions for the Lead and Copper Rule.

Today's approval action does not extend to public water systems in Indian Country as that term is defined in 18 U.S.C. 1151. Please see SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION, Item B.

**DATES:** This primacy revision approval will be effective June 8, 2000.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Linda Himmelbauer, Municipal Systems Unit, EPA Region 8 (8P–W–MS), 999 18th Street, Suite 500, Denver, Colorado 80202–2466, telephone 303–312–6263.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

# A. Why Are Revisions to State Programs Necessary?

States which have received primacy from EPA under the SDWA must maintain a safe drinking water program that is equivalent to, consistent with, and no less stringent than the Federal program. As the Federal program changes, States must change their program and ask EPA to approve the revisions to their programs. Changes to State programs may be necessary when Federal or State statutory or regulatory authority is modified or when certain other changes occur.

### B. How Does Today's Action Affect Indian Country (18 U.S.C. Section 1151) in South Dakota?

South Dakota is not authorized to carry out its Public Water System Supervision program in Indian country, as defined in 18 U.S.C. 1151. This includes, but is not limited to: Lands within the exterior boundaries of the following Indian Reservations located within the State of South Dakota:

- a. Cheyenne River Indian Reservation.
- b. Crow Creek Indian Reservation.
- c. Flandreau Indian Reservation.
- d. Lower Brule Indian Reservation.
- e. Pine Ridge Indian Reservation.
- f. Rosebud Indian Reservation.
- g. Standing Rock Indian Reservation. h. Yankton Indian Reservation.
- EPA held a public hearing on December 2, 1999, in Badlands National Park, South Dakota, and accepted public comments on the question of the location and extent of Indian country within the State of South Dakota. In a forthcoming **Federal Register** notice, EPA will respond to comments and more specifically identify Indian country areas in the State of South

Dakota.