[FR Doc. 05–16475 Filed 8–19–05; 8:45 am] $\tt BILLING\ CODE\ 6560–50–P$

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

40 CFR Part 52

[R06-OAR-2005-LA-0001; FRL -7955-7]

Approval and Promulgation of Air Quality Implementation Plans; Louisiana; Attainment Demonstration for the Shreveport-Bossier City Early Action Compact Area

AGENCY: Environmental Protection

Agency (EPA). **ACTION:** Final rule.

SUMMARY: The EPA is approving revisions to the State Implementation Plan (SIP) submitted by the Louisiana Department of Environmental Quality (LDEQ) on December 28, 2004. The revisions will incorporate the Shreveport-Bossier City Metropolitan Statistical Area (MSA) Early Action Compact (EAC) Air Quality Improvement Plan (AQIP) into the Louisiana SIP. EPA is approving the photochemical modeling in support of the attainment and maintenance demonstration for the 8-hour ozone standard within the Shreveport-Bossier City EAC area and is approving the associated control measures. These actions strengthen the SIP in accordance with the requirements of sections 110 and 116 of the Federal Clean Air Act (the Act) and will result in emission reductions needed to ensure continued attainment and maintenance of the 8hour National Ambient Air Ouality Standard (NAAQS) for ozone.

DATES: This final rule is effective on September 21, 2005.

ADDRESSES: EPA has established a docket for this action under Regional Materials in EDocket (RME) ID No. R06-OAR-2005-LA-0001. All documents in the docket are listed in the RME index at http://docket.epa.gov/rmepub/; once in the system, select "quick search," then type in the appropriate RME docket identification number. Although listed in the index, some information is not publicly available, i.e., confidential business information or other information the disclosure of which is restricted by statute. Certain other material, such as copyrighted material, is not placed on the Internet and will be publicly available only in hard copy form. Publicly available docket materials are available either electronically in RME or in hard copy at the Air Planning Section (6PD-L), Environmental Protection Agency, 1445

Ross Avenue, Suite 700, Dallas, Texas 75202-2733. The file will be made available by appointment for public inspection in the Region 6 FOIA Review Room between the hours of 8:30 a.m. and 4:30 p.m. weekdays except for legal holidays. Contact the person listed in the FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT paragraph below, or Mr. Bill Deese at 214–665–7253, to make an appointment. If possible, please make the appointment at least two working days in advance of your visit. There will be a 15 cents per page fee for making photocopies of documents. On the day of the visit, please check in at the EPA Region 6 reception area at 1445 Ross Avenue, Suite 700, Dallas, Texas.

The State submittal is also available for public inspection at the State Air Agency listed below during official business hours by appointment:

Louisiana Department of Environmental Quality, Air Quality Division, 7290 Bluebonnet Boulevard, Baton Rouge, Louisiana 70810.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Carrie Paige, Air Planning Section (6PD–L), EPA Region 6, 1445 Ross Avenue, Dallas, Texas 75202–2733,

telephone (214) 665–6521, paige.carrie@epa.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Throughout this document, wherever "we," "our," and "us" is used, we mean EPA.

Outline

 I. Background
 II. What Action Is EPA Taking?
 III. What Comments Did EPA Receive on the May 12, 2005 Proposed Rulemaking for the Shreveport-Bossier City EAC Area?
 IV. Final Action
 V. Statutory and Executive Order Reviews

I. Background

On May 12, 2005, EPA proposed approval of the Shreveport-Bossier City EAC area's Air Quality Improvement Plan (AQIP), the photochemical modeling in support of the attainment and maintenance demonstration and related control measures as revisions to the SIP submitted to EPA by the State of Louisiana. The photochemical modeling predicts that the 8-hour ozone standard should continue to be attained through December 31, 2007 and maintained through 2012. The proposal provides a detailed description of these revisions and the rationale for EPA's proposed actions, together with a discussion of the opportunity to comment. The public comment period for these actions closed on June 13, 2005. See the Technical Support Document or our proposed rulemaking at 70 FR 25000 for more information.

Two comment letters were received on EPA's proposed approval of the Shreveport-Bossier City EAC area's AQIP and 8-hour ozone attainment demonstration for the EAC area.

II. What Action Is EPA Taking?

Today we are approving revisions to the Louisiana SIP under sections 110 and 116 of the Act. The revisions demonstrate continued attainment and maintenance of the 8-hour ozone standard within the Shreveport-Bossier City EAC area. The revisions include the Shreveport-Bossier City EAC AQIP, photochemical modeling and related control measures. The intent of the SIP revisions is to reduce ozone pollution and thereby maintain the 8-hour ozone standard.

III. What Comments Did EPA Receive on the May 12, 2005 Proposed Rulemaking for Shreveport-Bossier City?

We received two comment letters on the May 12, 2005 proposed rulemaking for Shreveport-Bossier City. The comments provided both supportive and adverse discourse.

Comment: One letter supports EPA's approval of the EAC SIP revisions and one letter commends the State of Louisiana for steps it has taken to

improve air quality.

Response: We appreciate the support expressed towards the State of Louisiana and towards the efforts made to ensure that the citizens in the Shreveport-Bossier City EAC area continue to breathe clean air. We continue to believe that the EAC program, as designed, gives the Shreveport-Bossier City area the flexibility to develop their own approach to maintaining the 8-hour ozone standard and believe the Shreveport-Bossier City area is serious in their commitment to control emissions from local sources. By involving diverse stakeholders, including representatives from industry, local and State governments, and local environmental and citizen groups, the Shreveport-Bossier City area is implementing regional cooperation in solving air quality problems that affect the health and welfare of its citizens. Through implementation of the AQIP, people living in the Shreveport-Bossier City area will realize reductions in pollution levels and enjoy the health benefits of cleaner air sooner than might otherwise occur.

Comment: One letter opposes approval of the SIP revision. The letter contends that, should the area experience a violation of the 8-hour ozone standard, the SIP revision (1)

provides for the deferment of the area's nonattainment designation to as late as December 31, 2007, and (2) relieves the area of its obligations under Title I, Subpart D of the Act. The letter further contends that EPA does not have the legal authority to defer the effective date of an area's nonattainment designation nor to relieve areas of the obligations of Part D of Title I of the Act when areas are violating the standard and designated nonattainment.

Response: In the April 2004 designation rule (69 FR 23858), the Shreveport-Bossier City EAC area was designated as attainment for the 8-hour ozone NAAQS. The commenter incorrectly asserts that approval of this SIP revision provides for deferment of the designation of the area as nonattainment should the area experience a violation of the 8-hour ozone standard. Nor does EPA's approval of this SIP alter the applicability of the redesignation provision of the Act should the Shreveport-Bossier City EAC area experience a violation of the 8-hour ozone NAAQS in the future. Section 107(d)(3)(A) provides that EPA may redesignate an area "on the basis of air quality data, planning and control considerations, or any other air qualityrelated considerations." Should the Shreveport-Bossier City EAC area experience a violation of the 8-hour ozone NAAOS in the future, EPA would consider these statutory factors in determining whether to redesignate the area to nonattainment for the 8-hour ozone NAAQS. Finally, approval of this SIP does not relieve the Shreveport-Bossier City EAC area of the requirements of Part D of Title I of the Act. These provisions apply to areas designated nonattainment. Because the Shreveport-Bossier City EAC area is designated attainment for the 8-hour ozone NAAQS, these provisions do not apply in the Shreveport-Bossier City EAC area.

IV. Final Action

EPA is approving the attainment and maintenance demonstration, the Shreveport-Bossier City EAC AQIP, and the related control measures and incorporating these revisions into the Louisiana SIP. We have determined that the control measures included in the attainment demonstration are quantified, surplus, permanent, and are Federally enforceable once approved into the SIP. The modeling of ozone and ozone precursor emissions from sources in the Shreveport-Bossier City EAC area demonstrate that the specified control strategies will provide for continued attainment of the 8-hour ozone NAAQS

by December 31, 2007 and maintenance of that standard through 2012. We have reviewed the AQIP and the attainment and maintenance demonstration and determined that they are consistent with the requirements of the Act, EPA's policy, and the EAC protocol.

V. Statutory and Executive Order Reviews

Under Executive Order 12866 (58 FR 51735, October 4, 1993), this action is not a "significant regulatory action" and therefore is not subject to review by the Office of Management and Budget. For this reason and because this action will not have a significant, adverse effect on the supply, distribution, or use of energy, this action is also not subject to Executive Order 13211, "Actions Concerning Regulations That Significantly Affect Energy Supply, Distribution, or Use" (66 FR 28355, May 22, 2001). This action merely approves state law as meeting Federal requirements and imposes no additional requirements beyond those imposed by state law. Accordingly, the Administrator certifies that this rule will not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities under the Regulatory Flexibility Act (5 U.S.C. 601 et seq.). Because this rule approves pre-existing requirements under state law and does not impose any additional enforceable duty beyond that required by state law, it does not contain any unfunded mandate or significantly or uniquely affect small governments, as described in the Unfunded Mandates Reform Act of 1995 (Pub. L. 104-4).

This rule also does not have tribal implications because it will not have a substantial direct effect on one or more Indian tribes, on the relationship between the Federal Government and Indian tribes, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities between the Federal Government and Indian tribes. as specified by Executive Order 13175 (65 FR 67249, November 9, 2000). This action also does not have Federalism implications because it does not have substantial direct effects on the States, on the relationship between the national government and the States, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities among the various levels of government, as specified in Executive Order 13132 (64 FR 43255, August 10, 1999). This action merely approves a state rule implementing a Federal standard, and does not alter the relationship or the distribution of power and responsibilities established in the Clean Air Act. This rule also is not subject to Executive Order 13045 "Protection of Children from

Environmental Health Risks and Safety Risks'' (62 FR 19885, April 23, 1997), because it is not economically significant.

In reviewing SIP submissions under the National Technology Transfer and Advancement Act of 1995 (15 U.S.C. 272 note), EPA's role is to approve state choices, provided that they meet the criteria of the Clean Air Act. In this context, in the absence of a prior existing requirement for the State to use voluntary consensus standards (VCS), EPA has no authority to disapprove a SIP submission for failure to use VCS. It would thus be inconsistent with applicable law for EPA, when it reviews a SIP submission, to use VCS in place of a SIP submission that otherwise satisfies the provisions of the Clean Air Act. Thus, the requirements of section 12(d) of the National Technology Transfer and Advancement Act of 1995 do not apply. This rule does not impose an information collection burden under the provisions of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. 3501 et seq.).

The Congressional Review Act, 5 U.S.C. section 801 *et seq.*, as added by the Small Business Regulatory Enforcement Fairness Act of 1996, generally provides that before a rule may take effect, the agency promulgating the rule must submit a rule report, which includes a copy of the rule, to each House of the Congress and to the Comptroller General of the United States. EPA will submit a report containing this rule and other required information to the U.S. Senate, the U.S. House of Representatives, and the Comptroller General of the United States prior to publication of the rule in the Federal Register. A major rule cannot take effect until 60 days after it is published in the **Federal Register**. This action is not a "major rule" as defined by 5 U.S.C. section 804(2).

Under section 307(b)(1) of the Clean Air Act, petitions for judicial review of this action must be filed in the United States Court of Appeals for the appropriate circuit by October 21, 2005. Filing a petition for reconsideration by the Administrator of this final rule does not affect the finality of this rule for the purposes of judicial review nor does it extend the time within which a petition for judicial review may be filed, and shall not postpone the effectiveness of such rule or action. This action may not be challenged later in proceedings to enforce its requirements. (See section 307(b)(2).)

List of Subjects in 40 CFR Part 52

Environmental protection, Air pollution control, Intergovernmental

relations, Nitrogen dioxides, Ozone, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements, Volatile Organic Compounds.

Dated: August 12, 2005.

Richard E. Greene,

Regional Administrator, Region 6.

■ 40 CFR part 52 is amended as follows:

PART 52—[AMENDED]

■ 1. The authority citation for part 52 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 42 U.S.C. 7401 et seq.

Subpart T—Louisiana

■ 2. The second table in § 52.970(e) entitled "EPA approved Louisiana"

nonregulatory provisions and quasiregulatory measures" is amended by adding a new entry, immediately following the last entry in the table, to read as follows:

§ 52.970 Identification of plan.

* * * * * * (e) * * *

EPA APPROVED LOUISIANA NONREGULATORY PROVISIONS AND QUASI-REGULATORY MEASURES

Name of SIP provision	Applicable geographic or nonattainment area	State submittal date/effective date	EPA approval date	Explanation
* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	l ster Parishes, LA.	* 12/28/2004	* 8/22/05 [Insert FR page number where document begins].	*

[FR Doc. 05–16476 Filed 8–19–05; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6560–50–P

CORPORATION FOR NATIONAL AND COMMUNITY SERVICE

45 CFR Parts 2510, 2520, 2521, 2522, 2540 and 2550

RIN 3045-AA41

AmeriCorps National Service Program

ACTION: Final rule; correction.

SUMMARY: The Corporation for National and Community Service (hereinafter the "Corporation") is correcting a final rule that appeared in the Federal Register of July 8, 2005. The document amended several provisions relating to the AmeriCorps national service program, and added rules to clarify the Corporation's requirements for program sustainability, performance measures and evaluation, capacity-building activities by AmeriCorps members, qualifications for tutors, and other requirements.

DATES: Effective September 6, 2005.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Amy Borgstrom, Associate Director for Policy, Department of AmeriCorps, Corporation for National and Community Service, 1201 New York Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20525, (202) 606–5000, ext. 132. T.D.D. (202) 606–3472. Persons with visual impairments may request this rule in an alternative format.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

■ In FR Doc. 05–13038 appearing on page 39562 in the **Federal Register** of Friday, July 8, 2005 (70 FR 39562), the following corrections are made:

■ 1. On page 39585, in the second column, in the first full paragraph, the beginning of the first sentence "Note, however, that 133" is corrected to read "Note, however, that section 133".

PART 2521—ELIGIBLE AMERICORPS SUBTITLE C PROGRAM APPLICANTS AND TYPES OF GRANTS AVAILABLE FOR AWARD

§ 2521.35 [Corrected]

■ 2. On page 39598, in the second column, in § 2521.35, in paragraph (b), after the comma, "and you are also responsible for meeting an aggregate overall match based on your grantees' match individual match requirements." is corrected to read "and you are also responsible for meeting an aggregate overall match based on your grantees' individual match requirements."

PART 2522—AMERICORPS PARTICIPANTS, PROGRAMS, AND APPLICANTS

§ 2522.520 [Corrected]

■ 3. On page 39603, in the third column, in § 2522.520, the second paragraph (h) is correctly redesignated as paragraph (i).

Dated: August 15, 2005.

Frank R. Trinity,

General Counsel.

[FR Doc. 05–16511 Filed 8–19–05; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6050-28-P

GENERAL SERVICES ADMINISTRATION

48 CFR Part 6101

[GSABCA Amendment 2005–01; BCA Case 2005–61–1]

Board of Contract Appeals; Rules of Procedure of the General Services Administration Board of Contract Appeals

AGENCY: Board of Contract Appeals, General Services Administration (GSA). **ACTION:** Final rule.

SUMMARY: This document contains final revisions to the rules governing proceedings before the General Services Administration Board of Contract Appeals (Board). The Board is revising the language regarding the processing of awards for payment in contract appeals. The Board, by majority vote, has adopted this revised rule pursuant to its authority contained in the Contract Disputes Act of 1978 (41 U.S.C. 601–613).

DATES: Effective Date: August 22, 2005. FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT Margaret S. Pfunder, Chief Counsel, GSA Board of Contract Appeals, telephone (202) 501–0272, internet address margaret.pfunder@gsa.gov. Please cite GSABCA Amendment 2005–01, BCA Case 2005–61–1.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

A. Background

Section 6101.36 is amended to conform to procedures required by the Department of the Treasury in processing awards for payment from the Judgment Fund. The Contract Disputes Act of 1978, 41 U.S.C. 612, provides that a monetary award to a contractor from