online registration link. An open comment period will be provided to allow members of the public to make a statement as time allows. Callers can expect to incur regular charges for calls they initiate over wireless lines, according to their wireless plan. The Commission will not refund any incurred charges. Callers will incur no charge for calls they initiate over landline connections to the toll-free telephone number. Individuals who are deaf, deafblind and hard of hearing may also follow the proceedings by first calling the Federal Relay Service at 1-800-877-8339 and providing the Service with the conference call number and conference ID number.

Members of the public are entitled to submit written comments; the comments must be received by the regional office within 30 days following the meeting. Written comments may be emailed to Corrine Sanders at csanders@usccr.gov. Persons who desire additional information may contact the Regional Programs Unit at (312) 353–8311

Records generated from this meeting may be inspected and reproduced at the Regional Programs Unit Office, as they become available, both before and after the meeting. Records of the meeting will be available via www.facadatabase.gov under the Commission on Civil Rights, Mississippi Advisory Committee link. Persons interested in the work of this Committee are directed to the Commission's website, http://www.usccr.gov, or may contact the Regional Programs Unit at the above email address.

Agenda

I. Welcome & Roll Call
II. SAC Discussion: Qualified Immunity
of Law Enforcement in Mississippi
IV. Public Comment
VI. Adjournment

Dated: May 17, 2021.

David Mussatt,

Supervisory Chief, Regional Programs Unit. [FR Doc. 2021–10735 Filed 5–20–21; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A-201-805]

Certain Circular Welded Non-Alloy Steel Pipe From Mexico: Rescission of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review: 2018–2019

AGENCY: Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: The Department of Commerce (Commerce) is rescinding its administrative review of the antidumping duty order on certain circular welded non-alloy steel pipe from Mexico for the period of review (POR) November 1, 2019, through October 31, 2020.

DATES: Applicable May 21, 2021. **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Mark Flessner, AD/CVD Operations, Office VI, Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 1401 Constitution Avenue NW, Washington,

DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482-6312.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On November 3, 2020, Commerce published in the Federal Register a notice of opportunity to request an administrative review of the antidumping duty order 1 on certain circular welded non-alloy steel pipe from Mexico for the POR.2 On November 30, 2020, Commerce received a timely request from domestic interested party Nucor Tubular Products Inc. (Nucor Tubular), in accordance with section 751(a) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act), and 19 CFR 351.213(b), to conduct an administrative review of the Order for 36 companies.3 No other party requested an administrative review.

On January 6, 2021, Commerce published in the **Federal Register** a notice of initiation with respect to 36 companies. 4 On April 6, 2021, Nucor Tubular timely withdrew its request for an administrative review for all 36 companies for which it had requested a review. 5

Rescission of Administrative Review

Pursuant to 19 CFR 351.213(d)(1), Commerce will rescind an administrative review, in whole or in part, if the parties that requested a review withdraws the request within 90 days of the date of publication of the notice of initiation of the requested review. In this instance, the party that requested an administrative review withdrew its request for review for all companies by the 90-day deadline, and no other party requested an administrative review of this order. Therefore, we are rescinding the administrative review of the *Order* covering the POR, in its entirety.

Assessment

Commerce will instruct U.S. Customs and Border Protection (CBP) to assess antidumping duties on all appropriate entries. Antidumping duties shall be assessed at rates equal to the cash deposit of estimated antidumping duties required at the time of entry, or withdrawal from warehouse, for consumption, in accordance with 19 CFR 351.212(c)(1)(i). Commerce intends to issue appropriate assessment instructions to CBP 41 days after publication of this notice in the **Federal Register**.

Notification to Importers

This notice serves as the final reminder to importers of their responsibility, under 19 CFR 351.402(f)(2), to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during this review period. Failure to comply with this requirement may result in the presumption that reimbursement of antidumping duties occurred and the subsequent assessment of double antidumping duties.

Notification Regarding Administrative Protective Orders

This notice serves as a reminder to parties subject to administrative protective order (APO) of their responsibility concerning the disposition of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305(a)(3). Timely written notification of the return or destruction of APO materials or conversion to judicial protective order is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and the terms of an APO is a violation which is subject to sanction.

This notice is issued and published in accordance with sections 751(a)(1) and 777(i)(1) of the Act, and CFR 351.213(d)(4).

¹ See Notice of Antidumping Duty Orders: Certain Circular Welded Non-Alloy Steel Pipe from Brazil, the Republic of Korea (Korea), Mexico, and Venezuela and Amendment to Final Determination of Sales at Less Than Fair Value: Certain Welded Non-Alloy Steel Pipe from Korea, 57 FR 49453 (November 2, 1992) (the Order).

² See Antidumping or Countervailing Duty Order, Finding, or Suspended Investigation; Opportunity to Request Administrative Review, 85 FR 69586 (November 3, 2020).

³ See Nucor Tubular's Letter, "Certain Circular Welded Non-Alloy Steel Pipe from Mexico: Request for Administrative Review," dated November 30, 2020

⁴ See Initiation of Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Administrative Reviews, 86 FR 511 (January 6, 2021).

⁵ See Nucor Tubular's Letter, "Certain Circular Welded Non-Alloy Steel Pipes and Tubes from Mexico: Withdrawal of Request for Administrative Review," dated April 6, 2021.

Dated: May 18, 2021.

James Maeder,

Deputy Assistant Secretary for Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Operations.

[FR Doc. 2021-10779 Filed 5-20-21; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration
[A-570-904]

Certain Activated Carbon From the People's Republic of China: Notice of Court Decision Not in Harmony With the Results of Antidumping Administrative Review; Notice of Amended Final Results

AGENCY: Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: On May 11, 2021, the Court of International Trade (CIT) issued its final judgment in Calgon Carbon Corporation et al. v. United States, Consol. Court No. 18-00232, sustaining the Department of Commerce's (Commerce's) second remand results pertaining to the tenth administrative review of the antidumping duty (AD) order on certain activated carbon from the People's Republic of China (China) covering the period of April 1, 2016, through March 31, 2017. Commerce is notifying the public that the CIT's final judgment is not in harmony with Commerce's final results of the administrative review, and that Commerce is amending the final results with respect to the dumping margin assigned to Carbon Activated Tianiin Co., Ltd. (Carbon Activated) and Ningxia Guanghua Cherishmet Activated Carbon Co., Ltd. (GHC). DATES: Applicable May 21, 2021. FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Jinny Ahn, AD/CVD Operations, Office VIII, Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 1401 Constitution Avenue NW, Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482-0339.

Background

On October 22, 2018, Commerce published its *Final Results* in the 2016–2017 AD administrative review of certain activated carbon from China. Commerce calculated a weighted-average dumping margin of 0.00 U.S. dollars (USD)/kilogram (kg) for Datong

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Juqiang Activated Carbon Co., Ltd. (Datong Juqiang) and a weighted-average dumping margin of 0.45 USD/kg for Carbon Activated, and assigned GHC a separate rate of 0.45 USD/kg.²

After correcting ministerial errors contained in the *Final Results*, on November 19, 2018, Commerce published the *Amended Final Results*. Commerce calculated a weighted-average dumping margin of 0.00 USD/kg for Datong Juqiang and a weighted-average dumping margin of 0.23 USD/kg for Carbon Activated, and assigned GHC a separate rate of 0.23 USD/kg.³

Carbon Activated, Datong Juqiang, and GHC (collectively, the Respondents) appealed Commerce's Final Results/Amended Final Results. On May 13, 2020, the CIT remanded the Final Results to Commerce, and directed Commerce to reconsider Commerce's determination to include the imports from France and Japan in the Thai import data used to value the mandatory respondents' (i.e., Carbon Activated and Datong Juqiang) carbonized material input, and also to reconsider Commerce's adjustments to the surrogate financial ratios.⁴

In its first remand redetermination, issued in August 2020, Commerce (1) reconsidered and further explained Commerce's determination to include the French and Japanese import data in the Thai import data used to value carbonized material in the Final Results: and (2) reconsidered and further explained Commerce's allocation of certain line items in valuing financial ratios using the 2016 financial statements from the Romanian company, Romcarbon SA (Romcarbon).5 Specifically, Commerce excluded the imports from Japan from the Thai import data and continued to include the imports from France. In addition, Commerce made necessary changes in the allocation of certain line items in calculating the financial ratios using the 2016 financial statements from Romcarbon. Accordingly, Commerce made changes to the margin calculations for the mandatory respondents and revised the separate rate for GHC.6 On December 21, 2020, the CIT remanded

for a second time, and directed Commerce to again reconsider Commerce's inclusion of the imports from France in the Thai surrogate value for carbonized material.⁷

In its second remand redetermination, issued in March 2021, Commerce reconsidered its determination to include the imports from France in the Thai import data used to value carbonized material and, under protest, excluded the imports from France from the Thai surrogate value for carbonized material. Accordingly, Commerce made necessary changes to the margin calculations for the mandatory respondents and revised the separate rate for GHC.⁸ The CIT sustained Commerce's final redetermination.⁹

Timken Notice

In its decision in *Timken*, ¹⁰ as clarified by Diamond Sawblades, 11 the Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit held that, pursuant to section 516A(a) and (e) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act), Commerce must publish a notice of court decision that is not "in harmony" with a Commerce determination and must suspend liquidation of entries pending a "conclusive" court decision. The CIT's May 11, 2021 judgment constitutes a final decision of the CIT that is not in harmony with Commerce's Final Results/Amended Final Results. Thus, this notice is published in fulfillment of the publication requirement of Timken.

Amended Final Results

Because there is now a final court decision, Commerce amends the *Final Results* and *Amended Final Results* with respect to Carbon Activated and GHC as follows: ¹²

¹ See Certain Activated Carbon from the People's Republic of China: Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review; 2016–2017, 83 FR 53214 (October 22, 2018) (Final Results).

² *Id*.

³ See Certain Activated Carbon from the People's Republic of China: Amended Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review; 2016– 2017, 83 FR 58229 (November 19, 2018) (Amended Final Results).

⁴ See Calgon Carbon Corporation et al. v. United States, 443 F. Supp. 3d. 1334 (CIT 2020).

⁵ See Final Results of Redetermination Pursuant to Court Remand, Calgon Carbon Corporation et al. v. United States, Consol. Court No. 18–00232, Slip Op. 20–65, dated August 4, 2020, available at https://enforcement.trade.gov/remands/20-65.pdf.

⁶ Id. at 1-3, 23-25.

⁷ See Calgon Carbon Corporation et al. v. United States, 487 F. Supp. 3d 1359 (CIT 2020).

^{*}See Final Results of Redetermination Pursuant to Court Remand, Calgon Carbon Corporation et al. v. United States, Consol. Court No. 18–00232, Slip Op. 20–187, dated March 16, 2021, available at https://enforcement.trade.gov/remands/20-187.pdf (Second Final Results of Redetermination) at 1–2, 18–19. Commerce notes that although Datong Juqiang participated in the litigation, in the Second Final Results of Redetermination, subsequently sustained by the CIT, Datong Juqiang's rate remained unchanged from the Amended Final Results at 0.00 USD/kg.

⁹ See Calgon Carbon Corporation et al. v. United States, Consol. Court No. 18–00232, Slip Op. 21–58 (CIT May 11, 2021).

 $^{^{10}\,}See$ Timken Co. v. United States, 893 F.2d 337, 341 (Fed. Cir. 1990) (Timken).

¹¹ See Diamond Sawblades Mfrs. Coalition v. United States, 626 F.3d 1374 (Fed. Cir. 2010) (Diamond Sawblades).

¹²Commerce notes that Datong Juqiang's rate remains unchanged from the *Amended Final Results* at 0.00 USD/kg.